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**Abstract**

**Purpose:** To support clinical pharmacodynamic evaluation of the Smac mimetic TL32711 (birinapant) and other apoptosis-targeting drugs, we describe the development, validation, and application of novel immunoassays for 15 cytosolic and membrane-associated proteins indicative of the induction, onset, and commitment to apoptosis in human tumors.

**Experimental Design:** The multiplex immunoassays were constructed on the Luminescent platform with apoptosis biomarkers grouped into three panels. Panel 1 contains Bak, Bax, total caspase-3, total lamin-B (intact and 45 kDa fragment), and Smac; panel 2 contains Bad, Bax–Bcl-2 heterodimer, Bcl-XL, Bim, and Mcl1; and panel 3 contains active (cleaved) caspase-3, Bcl-xL–Bak heterodimer, Mcl1–Bak heterodimer, pS99-Bad, and survivin. Antibody specificity was confirmed by immunoprecipitation and Western blot analysis.

**Introduction**

Overexpression of prosurvival proteins in cancer cells often shifts the equilibrium between proapoptotic and prosurvival proteins in favor of cell survival (1, 2); considerable effort is therefore being directed toward developing novel therapeutic agents that target apoptotic control points in tumor cells (3–9). One critical limitation for the clinical characterization of such agents is the absence of assays capable of measuring targeted effects in tumor tissue. To date, measurable pharmacodynamic biomarkers of target engagement and action in the apoptotic pathway have been limited to a few circulating fragments of proteins generated during apoptosis, such as cytokeratin 18, that provide indirect measurements of drug effect with no indication that the biomarker originated from the tumor (10). Immunohistochemical determination of Ki67 and cleaved caspases are the most studied pharmacodynamic biomarkers of drug-induced apoptosis in tumor samples (11, 12). Immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting (Western blot; WB) assays for apoptosis pathway proteins have also been used to demonstrate drug effects and can measure critical heterodimeric proteins that control apoptosis; however, protein acquired from 18-gauge needle biopsies is insufficient to make the intraperitoneal approach feasible in the clinical trial setting (13, 14). Assays capable of quantifying apoptotic biomarkers in clinical trials.

**Results:** Two laboratories analytically validated the multiple immunoassays for application with core-needle biopsy samples to control preanalytical variables; the biologic variability for each biomarker was estimated from xenograft measurements. Studies of TL32711 in xenograft models confirmed a dose-dependent increase in activated caspase-3 6 hours after dosing and provided assay fit-for-purpose confirmation. Coincident changes in cytosolic lamin-B and subsequent changes in Bcl-XL provided correlative evidence of caspase-3 activation. The validated assay is suitable for use with clinical specimens; 14 of 15 biomarkers were quantifiable in patient core-needle biopsies.

**Conclusions:** The validated multiplex immunoassays developed for this study provided proof of mechanism data for TL32711 and are suitable for quantifying apoptotic biomarkers in clinical trials. *Clin Cancer Res; 1–11. ©2015 AACR.*
Apoptosis (IAP) and Bcl-2 protein families, some of which promote cell survival while others promote cell death (17–21). A subset of the Bcl-2 family proteins, including Bad, Bid, Bim, p53 upregulated modulator of apoptosis (Puma), and phosphor-12-myristate-13-acetate-induced protein 1 (Noxa), are involved in sensing stress signals in the cell. When triggered, these sensor proteins mediate the activation of proapoptotic effector proteins such as Bax and Bak. The effector proteins insert into the outer mitochondrial membrane and homo- or hetero-oligomerize to form pores and release caspase-activating factors such as cytochrome c and second mitochondrial activator of caspases (Smac) into the cytosol. Smac, in turn, binds to IAPs such as cellular IAP1/2 (cIAP1/2), X chromosome-linked IAP (XIAP), and survivin, to deactivate the IAPs and favor caspase activation. Under normal cellular conditions, proapoptotic proteins are kept in check by heterodimerization with pro-survival members of the Bcl-2 family, such as Bcl-2, Bcl-xL, Bcl-w, Mcl1, and Bcl-2-related protein A1 (Bcl-2/A1).

Cancer therapeutics targeting the intrinsic apoptosis pathway attempt to alter the balance of Bcl-2 family oligomers to trigger apoptosis (18, 20, 22–24). TL32711 (birinapant) is a biindole-based bivalent Smac mimic that promotes apoptosis by mimicking the binding of Smac to cIAP1/2 and XIAP, deactivating their caspase inhibition (5, 7, 25, 26). This agent is currently in phase II trials, and early reports indicate on-target activity (27). To provide greater insight into the pharmacodynamic effects of TL32711, and potentially other drugs targeting the programmed cell death pathway, we describe here the development of multiplex immunoassays measuring 15 potential pharmacodynamic biomarkers found in the cytosolic and nuclear/membrane fractions of tumor biopsy extracts indicating induction, onset, and commitment to apoptosis. We employed multiplexed sandwich immunoassays to quantify both monomeric and heterodimeric forms of Bcl-2 family proteins in tumor lysates, a novel approach that evaluates the pathway based on alterations in protein interactions and dynamics rather than absolute protein levels. Observed changes in caspase-3 activation and the subsequent degradation of lamin-B and Bcl-xL in xenograft tumor biopsies demonstrated TL32711 drug action and assay fitness-for-purpose. Finally, we established the feasibility of measuring potential pharmacodynamic effects in early-phase clinical trials by testing needle biopsy specimens from several patients with solid tumors to establish baseline expression levels of these 15 members of the apoptotic pathway.

**Materials and Methods**

**Antibodies, recombinant calibrators, and linked heterodimer fusion proteins**

Antibodies and several calibrator proteins were purchased from commercial sources (R&D Systems, Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Trevigen, Abcam, Thermo Scientific, Epitomics, Inc., Origene, Enzo, and Proteintech). Antibody preparations free from carrier proteins and stabilizers were conjugated to biotin using Sulfo-NHS-LC-Biotin (Thermo Scientific, Pierce EZ-Link) according to manufacturer's recommended procedures employing a 25:1 biotin:antibody ratio (Supplementary Data). Recombinant protein calibrators lamin-B, Mcl-1, Bcl-xL, survivin, intact caspase-3, active (cleaved) caspase-3, Bak, Bak, Bad, and Bim were obtained commercially, while Smac, p53, S, and all heterodimers (Bcl-xL–Bak, Mcl1–Bak, and Bax–Bcl-2) were designed at the Protein Expression Laboratory (Leidos Biomedical Research, Inc., Frederick National Laboratory for Cancer Research). Recombinant protein calibrator concentrations were based on BCA protein measurements.

Linked heterodimer fusion protein constructs used the Bcl-xL amino acids (aa) 2-85, Mcl1 aa 2-300, Bak aa 2-185, and Bax aa 2-187 as appropriate, and included a 20 aa linker composed of Gly, Ala, and Ser (GSGAGGSAGGSGAGGSAGSG) between the two proteins in each heterodimer. Variable linker lengths were tested; the 20 aa linker allowed free formation of the proper multimeric structure while constraining the two proteins in a single molecule and providing maximum structural flexibility. Proteins were expressed in either insect SF5 or HEK293 mammalian cells using the baculovirus expression vector system and purified (Supplementary Data). The presence of individual proteins in the purified heterodimers was confirmed by immunoblotting (data not shown).

**Preparation of fractionated tumor tissue and cell lysates**

Prechilled (4°C) cytosolic extraction buffer (CEB, Myriad RBM), supplemented with PhosSTOP (Roche) and protease inhibitor tablets (Roche) per manufacturer recommendation, was added to xenograft tissues (350 µL CEB per 5–15 mg wet weight tissue) or cell pellets (350 µL CEB per 1–3 × 10^7 cells). Tissues were first minced with fine scissors, then immediately homogenized with a PRO200 homogenizer (PRO Scientific) with MultiGen adaptor and 5 mm generator at a medium (3) setting for 5 seconds. Samples were placed in an ice/water bath and incubated for 10 minutes. Lysates were centrifuged at 16,000 × g for 30 minutes at 4°C. The supernatant (cytoplasmic fraction) was carefully removed without disturbing the pellet (containing the nucleus, membrane and mitochondrial fraction), supplemented with detergents [17.5 µL 20% Triton X-100 (Roche) and 21 µL 10% CHAPS (Sigma)], and stored at −80°C for later analysis. The pellet was washed twice with 350 µL CEB and then resuspended in 350 µL membrane extraction buffer (MEB, Myriad RBM, with PhosSTOP and protease inhibitors) by vortexing and incubated at 4°C for 45 minutes with orbital shaking. After centrifugation at
16,000 × g for 10 minutes at 4°C, the clarified supernatant (mitochondrial/nuclear fraction) was carefully removed and aliquoted for analyses; the pellet was discarded. Total protein levels in both the cytoplasmic and mitochondrial/nuclear fractions were measured by Pierce BCA Protein Assay (Thermo Scientific).

**Luminex bead multiplex immunoassays**

The immunoassays were built on the Luminex xMAP multiplex technology platform using magnetic bead capture. Washes were performed with a 96-well magnetic plate washer (Epx405, BioTek). During incubation, the plates were placed on an orbital titer plate shaker (VWR International). Liquid handling was performed manually with calibrated, adjustable, precision multichannel pipettes (Rainin 8-Channel). All assays were performed in 96-well plates (BioPlex, BioRad) at 25°C for 1 hour at 25°C ± 3°C by adding 10 µL of blocking solution (Myriad RBM) and 30 µL of calibrator, control, or unknown sample. Antibody-coupled beads were sonicated for 5 seconds and then vortexed at medium speed for 10 to 20 seconds to disperse the beads, and 10 µL beads (250 beads/analyte/µL) were added to each well per analyte. Plates were protected from light (Black Microplate Lid, VWR) and incubated for 1 hour at 25°C ± 3°C with shaking. Plates were washed with wash solution (Myriad RBM), and then 40 µL of detection antibody–biotin conjugate was added and plates were incubated for an additional hour with shaking. After incubation with the antibody–biotin conjugate, 40 µL of R-phycocerythrin–labeled streptavidin (Invitrogen) was added, and plates were incubated for 30 minutes with shaking. After a final wash, 100 µL of assay buffer was added to each well and plates were read on a Luminex 200 reader.

**Analytical validation of the multiplex immunoassays**

To determine reproducibility, control specimens were prepared by Myriad RBM using tumor lysates (and spiked with recombinant proteins when necessary for low abundance analytes) to bring analyte concentrations close to the EC₂₀, EC₅₀, and EC₈₀ of the individual calibration curves. The sensitivity or lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) for each marker was determined by calculating the mean of the blank ± 10 SD for 20 blank wells (Table 1). The functional limit of detection (F-LOD) was defined as the lowest control sample measurement above LLOQ that resulted in an assay precision <30% CV. The upper limit of quantitation (ULOQ) was set at the highest measured calibrator for each biomarker standard curve.

Analysis of dilution linearity was carried out on high, medium, and low control samples generated by Myriad RBM using PC-3 and MCF-7 xenograft and human colorectal tumor tissue lysates obtained from Proteogenex (spiked with recombinant proteins for low abundance analytes) diluted 1:2, 1:4, and 1:8 with assay diluent; recoveries between 70% and 130% were considered acceptable to demonstrate minimal effect of sample matrix on biomarker measurements. Accuracy was evaluated by spike/recovery of standards for each biomarker in pooled xenograft extracts at four concentrations representing the dynamic range of the assay; data were calculated as the proportion of observed-to-expected standard. Interlaboratory performance was determined using the high, medium, and low control samples described above prepared independently at each site and adjusted to a final concentration of 250 to 500 µg/mL. Reproducibility was determined by intra-plate (n = 20) and inter-day (n = 5) variation measured by multiple operators over a minimum of five different days.

**Specificity of multiplex measurements**

To establish whether any single antibody cross-reacted with other calibrator proteins, we measured the signal intensity of each bead using multiplexed beads, a cocktail of all calibrators, and single detection (or reporter) antibodies. If there was a signal from a particular bead region from an unrelated detection antibody, further studies were performed to determine the extent of cross-reactivity. Specificity of capture and detector antibodies was demonstrated by immunoprecipitation and subsequent Western blotting of approximately 500 µg of cell or tissue lysates (Supplementary Data).

**Evaluation of preanalytical factors**

Preanalytical variables such as stability of extracts at different storage temperatures and freeze-thaw cycles were determined in six different total cell lysates (Supplementary Data).

**Animal models and drug administration**

Athymic nude mice [nu/nu NCr; Animal Production Program, NCI at Frederick, Frederick, MD] 50 days of age (~18 g) were implanted with human MDA-MB-231 (breast adenocarcinoma),

### Table 1. Analytical sensitivity and reproducibility of multiplex immunoassays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Multiplex panel number</th>
<th>Analyte</th>
<th>Sensitivity (LLOQ) ng/mL</th>
<th>Analyte levels, mean ± SD (ng/500 µg total protein)</th>
<th>Interassay %CV</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Low control</td>
<td>Mid control</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel 1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bak</td>
<td>0.78</td>
<td>1.97 ± 0.38</td>
<td>7.93 ± 1.25</td>
<td>101 ± 6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bax</td>
<td>0.89</td>
<td>3.05 ± 0.38</td>
<td>19.08 ± 3.57</td>
<td>105 ± 14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-3, total</td>
<td>0.0073</td>
<td>0.017 ± 0.004</td>
<td>0.52 ± 0.07</td>
<td>3.02 ± 0.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lamin-B, total</td>
<td>0.14</td>
<td>0.84 ± 0.16</td>
<td>5.55 ± 1.16</td>
<td>22 ± 3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smac</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>1.09 ± 0.29</td>
<td>10.36 ± 1.42</td>
<td>86 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel 2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bad</td>
<td>0.52</td>
<td>2.40 ± 0.32</td>
<td>28 ± 3</td>
<td>39 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacl-Bcl-2</td>
<td>1.9</td>
<td>9.65 ± 1.67</td>
<td>97 ± 12</td>
<td>370 ± 36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-xL</td>
<td>0.089</td>
<td>0.28 ± 0.07</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.22</td>
<td>25 ± 118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bim</td>
<td>0.046</td>
<td>0.16 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.01 ± 0.13</td>
<td>7.82 ± 103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panel 3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bcl-xL–Bak</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>0.68 ± 0.10</td>
<td>5.49 ± 0.64</td>
<td>23 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caspase-3, active</td>
<td>0.062</td>
<td>0.34 ± 0.05</td>
<td>2.39 ± 0.24</td>
<td>9.4 ± 0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mcl-Bak</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>21.95 ± 2.41</td>
<td>100 ± 8</td>
<td>402 ± 37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>p53–Bad</td>
<td>2.1</td>
<td>4.55 ± 0.69</td>
<td>17 ± 2.06</td>
<td>137 ± 8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Survivin</td>
<td>0.11</td>
<td>1.59 ± 0.20</td>
<td>4.73 ± 0.58</td>
<td>19 ± 1.76</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviation: LLOQ, lower limit of quantitation (mean + 10 × SD of blank signal, n = 20).
CD44 tandem repeat screening using the AmpFLSTR Identifiler (Applied Biosystems, Inc.). Cancer cell lines were obtained from the Division of Cancer Treatment & Diagnosis Repository, NCI at Frederick. All frozen stocks of each cell line were tested and authenticated by DNA short tandem repeat screening using the AmpFLSTR Identifier (Applied Biosystems) methodology and held no more than 20 passages. Mice were randomized before initiation of treatment using a commercial software program (Study Director, Studylog Systems, Inc.). Cancer cell lines were obtained from the Division of Cancer Treatment & Diagnosis Repository, NCI at Frederick, except for A375, which was purchased from ATCC. All frozen stocks of each cell line were tested and authenticated by DNA short tandem repeat screening using the AmpFLSTR Identifier (Applied Biosystems) methodology and held no more than 20 passages. TL32711 (NSC 756502) was provided by Tetralogic Pharmaceuticals through a material transfer agreement with the Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program, NCI. TL32711 was administered by intraperitoneal injection in vehicle (10% ethanol, 5% Tween 80, 85% dextrose in water).

NCI at Frederick is accredited by the Association for Assessment and Accreditation of Laboratory Animal Care International and follows USPHS Policy for the Care and Use of Laboratory Animals. All the studies were conducted according to an approved animal care and use committee protocol in accordance with the procedures outlined in the “Guide for Care and Use of Laboratory Animals, Eighth Edition” (National Research Council, 2011; National Academy Press; Washington, D.C.).

Fit-for-purpose validation of the multiplex immunoassays

Female mice bearing MDA-MB-231 or OVCAR3 xenografts were randomized to treatment arms when tumors reached 200 ± 25 mm³ (study day 1) and dosed on study days 1, 4, and 7 with vehicle or TL32711 at either 12 mg/kg or 4 mg/kg per dose (30 mice per treatment group). Tumor quadrants were collected after doses 1 and 3 (29), fractionated, and stored at −80°C until analysis. For evaluation of efficacy, tumor volumes were recorded after dosing on study days 1, 4, and 7.

Patient tumor sample collection

Needle biopsies were collected from patients with various types of solid tumors at the NIH Clinical Center and immediately flash-frozen (<2 minutes from collection) in liquid nitrogen as described (30). All patients gave written informed consent for study inclusion and were enrolled on NCI institutional review board–approved protocols. Study design and conduct compiled with all applicable regulations, guidelines, and local policies. In addition to needle biopsies, Asterand, Inc. supplied surgically resected large intestine adenocarcinoma tissue from three patients that had been flash-frozen within 20 minutes of excision. Board-certified clinical pathologist review of hematoxylin and eosin (H&E)-stained tissue reported tumor content of 50% to 100% and confirmed the tissue and diagnosis (analysis provided by the supplier).

Statistical analysis

Regression analysis and descriptive statistics including mean, standard deviation, SEM, and coefficient of variation (CV) were conducted with Microsoft Excel 2007 and GraphPad Prism (v5.04). The significance level was set at α = 0.05 for a two-sided Student t test. Drug-treated xenograft cohorts contained between 4 and 6 animals and were compared with the average biomarker...
level for vehicle-treated animals collected on the same day of the experiment. Multiplex data were generated by Luminex xPONENT software v3.2, and data analysis was performed using Bio-Plex Manager software v4.0 and higher (Bio-Rad Laboratories) using a five-parametric-curve fitting model for each analyte independently. To provide an estimate of the biologic variation of each biomarker for use when multiple sampling is not possible, the intertumor Least Significant Change (LSC) was calculated as described previously \( (LSC = \frac{2 \times (CV_i^2 + CV_a^2)}{z^2}) \) where \( CV_i \) is variance in vehicle-treated group and \( CV_a \) is interday analytical variation; refs. 31, 32) using vehicle-treated SW620 and MDA-MB-231 xenograft samples. For comparison, a within-tumor LSC was also calculated from the variance \( (CV_i) \) between Jurkat xenograft tumor quarters from the same animal. A \( z \)-value of 1.96 was selected for 95% probability of statistical significance.

**Results**

**Assay development and analytical validation**

Screening of commercial antibodies in a sandwich immunoassay format against recombinant calibrator proteins was used to select specific capture and detection antibody pairs. To avoid known protein–protein interactions among Bcl-2 family proteins, the multiplex immunoassays were grouped into three panels (1–3) comprised of five target proteins each (Table 1). Specificity for the heterodimeric biomarkers Bak–Bcl-2, Bcl-xL–Bak, and Mcl1–Bak was achieved by using a capture antibody against one binding partner, and then probing using a detection antibody to the interacting protein. The ability to capture and detect these heterodimers was confirmed by immunoprecipitation followed by Western blot analysis using the paired antibodies (Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1C). Furthermore, Western blotting demonstrated that assay antibodies measure multiple forms of Bim (Bim-EL, Bim-L) and Mcl1 (Mcl1L and Mcl1S), and the lamin-B antibodies detect both intact protein and the 45 kDa cytosolic fragment, the latter of which changed as expected in response to ABT-199 (Supplementary Fig. S1D–S1F).

Calibrator curves for each panel are shown in Fig. 1A–C. For each assay run, tumor sample lysates were adjusted to 250 to 500 μg/mL of total protein to allow for detection of antigens with as little as 7.5 μg total protein. Applying LLOQ, F-LOD, and ULLOQ criteria, the precision for each biomarker in the assay was <30% CV (details not shown). Interassay precision between different operators and instruments on multiple days varied from 4.5% to 26.2% CV (Table 1). Dilution linearity recovery for control lysates diluted 1:2, 1:4, and 1:8 ranged from 59% to 179% (Supplementary Table S1A). Mean recoveries of calibrators spiked into tumor cell or tissue lysates ranged from 46% to 199% (Supplementary Table S1B); recoveries for total lamin-B had the lowest agreement \( (r < 0.5) \) between the two spike concentrations with percent recovery consistently <60%. Overall interlaboratory agreement between two laboratories determined using three xenograft tumor control lysates was within 8% ± 17% (mean ± SD) (Supplementary Table S2A).

The multiplexing process did not affect the specificity of assays; only Bak showed any signal intensity (approximately 5%) in the tissue lysates when evaluated for Bak as a single analyte versus the mixed analyte samples, indicating that the presence of multiple antibodies in the same well was not detrimental to analyte specificity. Bak and Bax are known to interact in cancer cells; therefore, the crossreacting signal could be due to the presence of a Bac–Bak heterodimer. The specificity of each individual antibody was confirmed by immunoprecipitation and immunoblotting, including determination of isofrom and posttranslational modifications (data not shown).

**Preanalytical variables**

Total tissue lysate samples were stable at 2 to 8°C for up to 4 hours in all three panels (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2C). In
contrast, storage at 20 to 25°C for 24 hours resulted in increased levels of activated caspase-3 presumably linked to mixing activators of caspase-3 released from the mitochondrial/nuclear fraction with cytosolic total caspase-3 (Supplementary Fig. S2C). Thus, samples were stable for the duration of assay sample processing. Up to three freeze-thaw cycles had minimal impact (≤20% random error) on analyte concentrations (Supplementary Fig. S3A–S3C).

The fractionation and cell extraction procedure yielded >500 μg/mL total protein from six different xenograft tumor quadrants with satisfactory fractionation efficiency (≤10% contamination) as demonstrated by cytosolic and mitochondrial markers (Fig. 1D). Cytosolic and mitochondrial/nuclear fractions from several untreated tumor xenograft models evaluated with the multiplex immunoassays demonstrated a wide range of baseline biomarker levels (Fig. 2). The fractional distribution of various biomarkers was consistent with known localization, including the previously published absence of any caspase-3 in MCF-7 cells (33). Bak, alone and complexed with Bcl-xL and Mcl1, and lamin-B were primarily detected in the mitochondrial/nuclear fraction. Caspase-3 and the lamin-B degradation products (45 and 67 kD fragments) were primarily detected in the cytosolic fraction (Supplementary Fig. S1F). Other markers were found in both cytosolic and mitochondrial/nuclear fractions.

Tumor growth inhibition following TL32711 administration

TL32711 significantly inhibited MDA-MB-231 xenograft tumor growth compared with vehicle by the sixth day after the initial dose (Fig. 3). Tumor weights were significantly different from vehicle-treated control for both 4 and 12 mg/kg dose groups, but only the 12 mg/kg dose group achieved regression (by 35%, P < 0.001) relative to starting tumor weight (Fig. 3A). In OVCAR3 xenografts, treatment with TL32711 at 12 mg/kg dose (Fig. 3C) resulted in nonsignificant (P = 0.077) changes in tumor weight as compared with control group. Body weight did not change significantly between drug- and vehicle-treated groups over the study period and did not exceed 5% loss in any animal (data not shown).

Fitness-for-purpose modeling in xenograft models

A dose-dependent activation of intratumoral caspase-3 was detected in MDA-MB-231 xenografts 6 hours after administering the first dose of TL32711, increasing 8.4- and 20.9-fold for the 4 mg/kg and 12 mg/kg dose groups, respectively, over the mean of the paired vehicle-treated group (P < 0.01; Fig. 4A). Increased active caspase-3 was accompanied by a dose-dependent 1.8- and 5.1-fold increase in total cytosolic lamin-B at the same time point after the first dose (P < 0.01; Fig. 4B). Cytosolic lamin-B is indicative of the execution of apoptosis, because lamin-B only appears in the cytosol as protein fragments following nuclear lamina breakdown. Corresponding significant reductions in the cytosolic levels of the prosurvival protein Bcl-xl were more pronounced at 12 mg/kg than 4 mg/kg (P ≤ 0.0001 after dose 1; P ≤ 0.05 after dose 3; Fig. 4C). Increased levels of active caspase-3 positively correlated with increased lamin-B levels in individual mouse samples, indicating that biomarker concentrations followed the expected series of events during apoptosis pathway activation (Supplementary Fig. S4). Levels of other biomarkers were not significantly changed after treatment (data not shown). In the nonresponsive OVCAR3 model (25), TL32711 treatment increased cytosolic cleaved caspase-3 levels by only 45% at most (Fig. 4D), and the only significant changes in cytosolic Lamin-B...
levels were modest decreases \((P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 4E})\). Significant decreases in cytosolic Bcl-xL levels were observed after the third dose of TL32711, though the magnitude was smaller than in MDA-MB-231 (20%–42%; \(P < 0.05; \text{Fig. 4F}\)).

**Modeling clinical sample collection, longitudinal sampling, and biologic variability**

When using longitudinal sampling to evaluate biomarker levels (e.g., pre- and posttreatment biopsies), multiple sampling to measure inherent biomarker variability is not possible, so knowledge of the normal biologic variability (i.e., the "sampling variability") of the biomarker at baseline is needed to attribute changes to drug effect rather than random variability. In addition, estimates of biologic variability are required to establish the normal range of biomarker levels expected in the clinic. We simulated this in breast (MDA-MB-231) and colon cancer (SW620) xenograft models by combining the intertumor variance measured from all the individuals in the vehicle-treated groups with the analytical variance of the assay to calculate the LSC needed to be considered drug-induced in serial patient samples.
measurements. The LSC was between 31% and 128% for each of the 15 biomarkers in this assay, but varied by xenograft model and cellular fraction (Supplementary Table S2B). For comparison, we also determined the within-tumor variability by measuring each biomarker in all four quadrants of Jurkat xenografts. The average within-tumor variability of the biomarkers was 9% to 31%, corresponding to within-tumor LSC values of 24% to 66% (Supplementary Table S3). In the context of biomarker measurements in serial biopsies, the larger variability estimates calculated from intertumoral sampling appear to be more appropriate.

Establishing clinical readiness in human tumor needle biopsy samples

Four 18-gauge core needle biopsies (two from the liver of a patient with esophageal cancer, one from the supraclavicular node of a patient with an unknown primary cancer, and one from the liver of a patient with colon cancer) were processed into fractionated cell lysates. Protein concentrations were 860 to 970 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) and 230 to 510 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) for the cytosolic and mitochondrial/nuclear fractions, respectively. The multiplex immunoassays were able to quantify baseline levels of each biomarker assayed from at least one biopsy, with the exception of Bax–Bcl-2 (Fig. 5). Bak, lamin-B, Bim, McI1–Bak, survivin, and pS99-Bad were only measurable in a subset of samples; some values for total caspase-3 and total lamin-B were above the assay ULOQ but would be measurable after sample dilution. Of the 15 biomarkers measured from 4 biopsies, 82% of all analytes in the mitochondrial/nuclear fractions, and 65% of all analytes in the cytosolic fractions were quantifiable (>LLOQ). Of these, 80% of mitochondrial/nuclear levels and 59% of cytosolic levels allowed for drug-induced decreases in the biomarker, as defined by the LSC, within the assay’s dynamic range. All drug-induced biomarker increases should be measurable after sufficient sample dilution.

Discussion

Aberrant expression of antiapoptotic proteins such as Bcl-2 or the suppression of proapoptotic members such as Bax or Smac can lead to tumor formation and promote resistance to therapy in many types of cancer by delaying or blocking the normal execution of apoptosis. Currently, it is believed that initiation of apoptosis does not require transcription or translation of new proteins; rather, apoptotic signals trigger changes in normally dormant proteins including posttranslational modifications and protein–protein and protein–membrane interactions to initiate cell death. In this study, we applied a multimarker immunoassay approach to study the modulation of an array of proteins with established relevance to extrinsic and intrinsic apoptotic pathways in response to TL32711 treatment. Biomarker selection was based on availability of specific antibodies, purified recombinant proteins, and the feasibility of measurement in an immunoassay format; therefore, assays for some potentially

---

**Figure 5.**
Survey of apoptosis biomarkers in needle biopsies from patients. Four 18-gauge tumor needle biopsies from 3 patients with solid tumors (one with esophageal cancer, one with an unknown primary cancer, and one with colon cancer) were fractionated and cytosolic and mitochondrial/nuclear fractions were analyzed by panel 1 (A), panel 2 (B), and panel 3 (C) of the multiplex immunoassays using 30 \( \mu \text{L} \) samples of 500 \( \mu \text{g/mL} \) total protein. Individual analyte measurements below LLOQ were not included in the group average (for example, some Bak, Bim, Mc11–Bak, survivin, and pS99-Bad values, and all Bax–Bcl-2 values), while measurements above ULOQ were included as the ULOQ (for example, some lamin-B and total caspase-3 values). Error bars, mean ± SD.
informative complexes, such as larger multimers or homodimers, were not developed. Recent advances in multiplexed sandwich immunoassays allowed us to design an assay to detect both monomeric and heterodimeric forms of Bcl-2 family proteins to measure neutralization of antiapoptotic (Bcl-2, Bcl-xl, Mcl1) or release of proapoptotic (Bax and Bak) proteins. Assessing the oligomeric state of Bcl-2 family members rather than their absolute levels represents a novel approach in quantitative apoptosis profiling. Because sampling times in the clinic vary widely, the assays were designed to quantify both early apoptotic events, for example, active (cleaved) caspase-3 (17 kDa fragment), and later effects, such as cytosolic lamin-B fragments known to be generated by active caspase-3 during nuclear lamina breakdown (34). Including both early and later biomarkers of drug action should increase the likelihood of detecting an apoptotic signal across clinical trials of multiple agents. The advantages offered by multiplex analysis make it useful in clinical trials where only limited samples are available for pharmacodynamic measurements. The need to fractionate cell lysates to achieve functional specificity may limit how many of the multiplex panels can be used to assay material from a single 18-gauge needle biopsy; limited protein yields may also require prioritization of the panels based on intended drug mechanism of action. Our clinical modeling indicates that absolute protein concentrations per volume rather than total protein yields, per se, were limiting factors for all three panels. Generating a total cell lysate might yield higher protein concentrations than fractionated cell lysates, but is not recommended because, as our stability data show, this can trigger artificial caspase-3 activation.

The validated multiplex immunoassays described herein exhibited robust sensitivity, specificity, and reproducibility using tumor xenographs of human cancer cell lines. As expected, tumor cell lines demonstrated variable biomarker levels, the subcellular distribution of the majority of markers was consistent with previous reports (17, 24, 33). The sensitivity of the assay was adequate to detect levels of the majority of apoptotic proteins in at least one of the six tumor xenograft models under test conditions. Low Bim levels are often caused by reduced message stability and proteasome-dependent turnover (35), but our assay reliably detected baseline levels of Bim in xenografts (LLOQ 0.046 ng/mL). Assay specificity within each panel was excellent; measurement of any protein in the multiplexed format did not interfere with measurement of any other protein.

Analytical validation revealed some anomalies such as low dilution and spike recoveries for total lamin-B in every tumor lysate analyzed. Potential explanations for this include: (i) proteolytic degradation, a common biochemical feature of apoptosis that could result in undetectable protein fragments smaller than 45 kDa; (ii) oligomerization that could prevent antibody binding; and (iii) potential interactions with the nuclear lamina that could influence its solubility and thus recovery. Therefore, although lamin-B had low spike recoveries (46%–57%), there is adequate justification to retain this biomarker as long as sample recovery is taken into consideration when interpreting clinical data. The validated assay exhibited robust accuracy for many of the pharmacodynamic biomarkers; however, levels of lamin-B, Bcl-xl–Bak, survivin, Mcl1, and Bim fell outside the acceptable recovery range (100% ± 30%) using extracts of some xenographs. Higher expression of proteins that interact with the Bcl-2 family may interfere in the assay by altering the equilibrium of binding partners (Bak–Bim or Bcl-xl–Bim). In general, these observations reflect a spike recovery artifact unique to the analysis of proteins that are in dynamic equilibrium in individual tumor samples rather than poor accuracy. Longitudinal comparison of baseline to posttreatment should minimize the impact of interfering substrates on tumor biomarker quantitation.

MDA-MB-231 and OVCAR3 xenografts treated with the Smac mimetic TL32711 and sampled at time points relevant for clinical protocols established the fitness of the assay for measuring appropriate biomarkers of apoptosis. TL32711 primarily targets IAPs, suppression of which results in activation of caspases; clinically relevant doses of TL32711 in the responsive MDA-MB-231 model caused up to 21-fold activation of cytosolic caspase-3 within 6 hours and coincided with significant increases in cytosolic lamin-B (intact and 45 kDa fragment), indicating the breakdown of nuclear lamina and the execution of apoptosis (34). Significant reductions in Bcl-xl levels were observed 6 to 24 hours after TL32711 treatment. Previous studies have demonstrated cleavage of Bcl-xl and Bcl-2 by caspase-3, which removes the antiapoptotic BH4 domain and transforms them into proapoptotic proteins resembling Bax and Bak (36, 37). The sequence of caspase-3 activation within 6 hours of TL32711 treatment followed by decreased Bcl-xl levels after 24 hours is consistent with this mechanism, and demonstrates fitness-for-purpose of the multiplex immunoassays in measuring primary (active caspase-3) and secondary (lamin-B and Bcl-xl) pharmacodynamic endpoints. The modulation of these biomarkers was dosedependent and also correlated with therapeutic effect (decreased tumor volume), including in the nonresponsive OVCAR3 xenograft model, which displayed minimal changes in active caspase-3 and lamin-B levels. Together, these preclinical models clearly demonstrated that biomarker modulation correlated with antitumor efficacy.

Clinical readiness of the validated assay was demonstrated by evaluating solid tumors of different histologies from three untreated patients. Of the 15 pharmacodynamic biomarkers, all but Bax–Bcl-2 were quantified in at least one biopsy fraction, although 5 others (Bak, Bim, McI1–Bak, survivin, and p599-Bad) were quantifiable in only a subset of the clinical specimens. A prerequisite for clinical evaluation of drug action on a target protein is measuring the inherent heterogeneity of protein levels at baseline so that biologic variations and drug-induced changes can be distinguished; however, parallel sampling is rarely practical or feasible in the clinic, and our LSC results provide some estimates of this variability. While the biologic variability for some biomarkers may appear to be too large to be of practical value, two-thirds of all biomarker levels in the mitochondrial/nuclear fractions of the patient samples were high enough to observe an LSC-defined drug-induced decrease within the assay dynamic range. In addition, up to 21-fold induction of active caspase-3 was measured after TL32711 administration, so relative changes in biomarkers may be several fold higher than total variability when an optimal sampling time is utilized.

In conclusion, we describe validated multiplex immunoassays for evaluating 15 mechanistic biomarkers likely involved in the pharmacodynamic response to drugs targeting apoptosis; this approach demonstrated utility for confirming the proof-of-mechanism of the Smac mimetic TL32711 in vivo. Determining the most useful of these 15 pharmacodynamic biomarkers for a given clinical application will depend on drug mechanism of action and clinical trial design, including biopsy timing. Assay sensitivity and dynamic range are suitable for use in early-phase trials profiling apoptotic response and evaluating the mechanism of action of
novel compounds targeting the apoptotic pathway. These multi-
plex apoptosis immunoassays therefore allow a more detailed
interrogation of targeted agent activity in tumor biopsies, possibly
guiding the timing, dose, and choice of agents for single or
combination therapies that induce cancer cell death via modu-
lation of pro-survival and pro-apoptosis proteins.
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