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Abstract

Purpose: Additional targeted therapeutics are needed for the treatment of lymphoma. Abexinostat is an oral pan-histone deacetylase inhibitor (HDACi) displaying potent activity in preclinical models. We conducted a multicenter phase I/II study (N = 55) with single-agent abexinostat in relapsed/refractory lymphoma.

Experimental Design: In phase I, 25 heavily pretreated patients with any lymphoma subtype received oral abexinostat ranging from 30 to 60 mg/m² twice daily 5 days/week for 3 weeks or 7 days/week given every other week. Phase II evaluated abexinostat at the maximum tolerated dose in 30 patients with relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma or mantle cell lymphoma.

Results: The recommended phase II dose was 45 mg/m² twice daily (90 mg/m² total), 7 days/week given every other week. Of the 30 follicular lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma patients enrolled in phase II, 25 (14 follicular lymphoma, 11 mantle cell lymphoma) were response-evaluable. Tumor size was reduced in 86% of follicular lymphoma patients with an investigator-assessed ORR of 64.3% for evaluable patients [intent-to-treat (ITT) ORR 56.3%]. Median duration of response was not reached, and median progression-free survival (PFS) was 20.5 months (1.2–22.3+). Of responding follicular lymphoma patients, 89% were on study/drug >8 months. In mantle cell lymphoma, the ORR was 27.3% for evaluable patients (ITT ORR 21.4%), and median PFS was 3.9 months (range, 0.1–11.5). Grade 3–4 treatment-related adverse events (phase II) with ≥10% incidence were thrombocytopenia (20%), fatigue (16.7%), and neutropenia (13.3%) with rare QTc prolongation and no deaths.

Conclusions: The pan-HDACi, abexinostat, was overall well tolerated and had significant clinical activity in follicular lymphoma, including highly durable responses in this multiply relapsed patient population. Clin Cancer Res; 1–8. ©2015 AACR.

Introduction

Epigenetic modulation by histone deacetylation plays a critical regulatory role in normal cell processes and has been implicated in cancer development and progression (1, 2). Histone deacetylases (HDAC) and histone acetylases can be aberrantly expressed or deregulated in malignant tissues, resulting in inhibition of certain tumor suppressor genes and development of malignancy.

HDAC inhibitors (HDACi) promote an open chromatin structure by allowing the continued presence of acetyl groups resulting in transcription of relevant tumor suppressor genes that may favor apoptosis. Clinically, inhibition of HDAC has shown promise for the treatment of B-cell (3) and T-cell lymphomas (4). The HDAC inhibitors vorinostat, romidepsin, and belinostat are FDA-approved in cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (CTCL), CTCL and peripheral T-cell lymphoma (PTCL), and PTCL, respectively (5, 6). There remains an unmet need for additional targeted therapeutic options for the treatment of patients with relapsed/refractory B- and T-cell lymphomas.

The novel HDACi abexinostat is an oral broad-spectrum phenyl hydroxamic acid-based compound being evaluated in the treatment of neoplastic diseases. Abexinostat treatment of non-Hodgkin lymphoma (NHL) cell lines (7) resulted in dose-dependent apoptosis, G0–G1 arrest, and decreased S-phase and increased p21 protein expression. Abexinostat-induced cell death occurred through caspase-8 and the Fas-associated death domain, and was associated with a prominent increase in reactive oxygen species (8). Similar apoptotic responses were observed in neuroblastoma and soft tissue sarcoma models (9–11). Abexinostat also affects recombination by reducing RAD51, a recA homolog that binds single-stranded DNA-forming nucleoprotein filaments essential for recombination repair (12, 13).

Based in part on these preclinical findings, a phase I/II clinical trial was initiated with abexinostat in patients with relapsed/refractory lymphoma. The phase I study examined safety,
Translational Relevance

Inhibition of histone deacytelases (HDAC) has emerged as a promising therapeutic strategy in hematologic malignancies. Abexinostat is a novel, oral, broad-spectrum phenyl hydroxamic acid–based HDAC inhibitor that has demonstrated preclinical activity in lymphoma cell lines and animal models. This phase I/II study established the appropriate dose and schedule for use in patients with relapsed/refractory non-Hodgkin lymphoma. An intermittent dosing schedule was used to achieve good tolerability even during prolonged drug administration. Incidence of high grade hematologic adverse events and cardiac toxicities were modest and comparatively encouraging among established agents of this class. In addition, abexinostat was particularly efficacious in relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma with prolonged tumor control (>18 months) in most responders. Further evaluation of this agent as a single-agent therapy and in combination is warranted and underway in several tumor types.

Materials and Methods

Study design

This phase I/II study (NCT00724984) was conducted at seven centers across the United States in accordance with Good Clinical Practice guidelines, as provided by the International Conference on Harmonisation and principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The institutional review board at each site approved the study. All patients provided written informed consent. A.M.E., S.B., L.I.G., J.Y., Y.L., T.G., and N.L.B analyzed the data and all authors had access to primary clinical trial data.

Patients received abexinostat (PCI-24781/S78454) capsules orally twice daily (approximately 4–6 hours apart) at 30, 45, and 60 mg/m² (corresponding to total doses of 60, 90, and 120 mg/m² per day, respectively). Dosing at the 4–6 hour window was based upon observed improved preclinical effectiveness and the half-life of abexinostat. Two possible 4-week dosing cycle schedules were explored: 5 days per week for the first 3 weeks [Days 1–5, 8–12, 15–19] and an alternative dosing schedule, 7 days every other week [Days 1–7, 15–21]. Please see Supplementary Table S1 for details of the dosing schedule. Treatment continued until disease progression (PD), unacceptable toxicity, or patient or investigator decision to end therapy. Dose escalation continued until maximum tolerated dose (MTD) was achieved based on protocol-defined dose-limiting toxicities (DLTs), defined as the occurrence in cycle 1 of any of the following: a grade ≥3 nonhematologic adverse event (AE), grade ≥3 prolongation of the QTc interval, grade 4 neutropenia lasting >5 days on growth factors, grade 4 thrombocytopenia, or failure to restart abexinostat administration within 2 weeks. Dose escalation to next level proceeded after DLT assessment of patients at the end of cycle 1. Dose escalation followed a 3 + 3 principle.

Phase I and phase II enrolled different patients and responders who completed treatment were eligible to enroll in a separate long-term extension study. In the efficacy evaluation phase (phase II), dosing was based on MTD results from the initial dose escalation phase and included patients with relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma based on phase I efficacy signals and historical data with other HDAC inhibitors. In phase II, the primary end point was overall response rate (ORR) as defined by disease-specific criteria. Secondary end points included duration of response (DOR); time to PD; progression-free survival (PFS); and safety and tolerability. Refractory disease was defined as no response to prior therapy or relapse within 3 months of completing prior therapy.

Patients

Women and men aged ≥18 years with measurable, histologically confirmed, previously treated lymphoma were included. Phase I included patients with any lymphoma subtype; phase II included patients with follicular lymphoma or mantle cell lymphoma. Patient requirements for both phases included receipt of prior therapies, an Eastern Cooperative Oncology Group (ECOG) performance status (PS) of ≤1, adequate organ function, and estimated life expectancy of >12 weeks. Patients were excluded from the study if they had platelets <75,000/μL (phase I) and <100,000/μL (phase II) or if they had an absolute neutrophil count <1,500/μL.

Patients were excluded if they had received prior HDACi (unless for treatment of mycosis fungoides or Sézary syndrome); allogeneic bone marrow transplantation; immunotherapy, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or experimental therapy within 4 weeks before first study dose. Patients were also excluded for primary central nervous system lymphoma or a history of meningeval carcinomatosis.

Pharmacokinetic analyses

Plasma concentrations of abexinostat were determined by high-performance liquid chromatography (HPLC) with tandem mass spectrometry (MS-MS) detection. Pharmacokinetic parameters including area under the drug concentration–time curve calculated using linear trapezoidal summation from time 0 to time t, where t is the time of the last measurable concentration in hours [AUC0–t], maximum observed drug concentration (Cmax), time to maximum drug concentration (tmax), and terminal half-life (t1/2) were summarized by phase I cohort.

Safety and efficacy assessments

Toxicity was graded using the NCI Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events, Version 4.0 (14). All AE were recorded from the first abexinostat dose until 30 days after the last dose. Serious AEs (SAE) were those events that were fatal, life threatening, required hospitalization, disabling, or judged to be medically significant. Electrocardiograms were performed at screening and both prefirst dose and 1 to 2 hours postfirst dose on each of days 1, 8, and 15 of cycle 1, and day 1 of each additional cycle. In phase I, an additional electrocardiogram was obtained postsecond dose in cycle 1.

Efficacy assessments

Patients were assessed for clinical response during days 22 to 28 of every even-numbered cycle beginning at cycle 2 using the modified International Workshop Lymphoma Response Criteria
Results

Patient characteristics and disposition

A total of 55 patients were enrolled and treated on this phase I/II study over 22 months. This included 25 patients in the phase I component and 30 patients in the phase II study who received one or more doses of abexinostat. The baseline patient characteristics for the phase I and II portions of the study are detailed in Table 1. Sixteen patients with follicular lymphoma and 14 patients with mantle cell lymphoma were enrolled and treated in phase II. The median age in the ITT phase II population was 67 years (range 36–81). The median number of prior therapies was 3 (range, 1–11) and 7 (range, 1–13) in follicular lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma, respectively, with most patients having received prior rituximab and CHOP chemotherapy. One-third of phase II patients had received prior autologous stem cell transplantation. Five patients (16.7%) were refractory to their last prior therapy, defined as PD < 3 months from completion of last prior therapy after responding.

The median follow-up time on drug for the phase II ITT population was 10.3 months for patients with follicular lymphoma and 2.4 months for those with mantle cell lymphoma. Of the 30 patients enrolled in phase II, 11 (36.7%; 4 follicular lymphoma and 7 mantle cell lymphoma) discontinued due to PD after cycle 1, 11 (36.7%) discontinued due to an AE, and 3 (10%) withdrew consent.

Pharmacokinetics and pharmacodynamics

The pharmacokinetic parameters of abexinostat are summarized by cohort in Table 2. Approximate dose-proportional increases in abexinostat exposure were observed from 30 to 60 mg/m² after the first dose on day 1. Abexinostat was rapidly absorbed with median time to maximum drug concentration values ranging from 1.00 to 1.08 hours across all doses. The pharmacokinetic samples were collected following the first dose of the day primarily for correlations with pharmacodynamics and thrombocytopenia for which the AUC is the primary parameter of interest. The true mean elimination half-life value could therefore not be calculated due to the 4-hour sampling window before the second dose, but it has been previously established as 4 to 5 hours in two other phase I studies with sampling up to 24 hours (16, 17). Data from 125 patients have been analyzed and modeled (18, 19), and the pharmacokinetics have been shown to be very consistent between the two daily doses.
For pharmacodynamic studies, increased levels of acetylated tubulin were observed postdose versus predose in 11 patients treated with 45 mg/m² abexinostat twice daily (cohorts 2 and 3 pooled) and significant increases in the 11 patients treated with 60 mg/m² abexinostat twice daily, with the mean fold-increase of normalized acetylated tubulin being 1.48 and 1.46, respectively (Fig. 1A). Increased levels of acetylated tubulin were not observed in the 5 patients receiving 30 mg/m² twice daily. In patients with follicular lymphoma, (phase II study), the mean fold-increase of normalized acetylated tubulin was 1.31; in patients with mantle cell lymphoma, it was 0.7750. The difference between the two groups was not significant \( (P = 0.193) \) due to the high variability (Fig. 1B).

MTD and DLT

No DLTs were observed at 30 mg/m² (cohort 1), and dose level 2 (45 mg/m²; cohort 2) was initiated (DLTs are summarized in Supplementary Table S2). Within cohort 2, 3 of 7 evaluable patients had at least one DLT, resulting in an MTD of the first dosing schedule (5 days/week for the first 3 weeks) of 30 mg/m². DLTs included grade 4 thrombocytopenia and 2 failures to restart abexinostat within 2 weeks of the first missed dose due to thrombocytopenia \( \leq \) grade 3. Dosing continued at 45 mg/m² with the alternative schedule (7 days/week every other week; cohort 3). No patient in cohort 3 experienced a DLT and dosing was escalated to 60 mg/m² (cohort 4). Within cohort 4, 2 of 8 evaluable patients developed a DLT (grade 5 acute renal failure, and grade 3 prolonged diarrhea), resulting in an MTD at the alternative dosing schedule of 45 mg/m². In phase II, a sentinel group of 3 evaluable patients was treated at the MTD and assessed after the first cycle. No DLTs were observed, and the recommended phase II dose and schedule for single-agent abexinostat was established at 45 mg/m² twice daily, 7 days/week, every other week.

Safety

AE data for phase I of the study by cohort are shown in Supplementary Table S3. A summary of treatment-emergent AEs of any grade occurring in at least 20% of ITT patients and a summary of grade 3 or 4 AEs reported in more than 1 patient in phase II of the study are shown in Table 3; AEs occurring in phase II are listed in Supplementary Table S4. The most common phase II any-grade AEs were nausea (63%), fatigue (60%), diarrhea (50%), and thrombocytopenia (46.7%). The most common grade 3 or 4 treatment-emergent AEs reported in phase II were thrombocytopenia (20%), fatigue (16.7%), and neutropenia (13.3%). In 30 ITT patients, 3 (10%) reported a grade 4 thrombocytopenia event; grade 4 neutropenia, anemia, and decreased performance status were seen in one patient each.

In phase II, a total of 13 findings of QTc <480 ms, but >450 ms by the local treating site were observed in 7 patients. Seven findings were not confirmed upon central review, whereas 2 of the findings were confirmed. The central review data for 4 of the findings were missing. The 2 patients with centrally confirmed QT prolongation did not experience cardiac-related AEs other than QT prolongation during the study. The 3 patients with QTc >450 ms who were not centrally reviewed had no cardiac-related adverse events during the study.

---

Table 3. Plasma PCI-24781 pharmacokinetic parameters following oral administration of PCI 24781 on day 1 by cohort (phase I pharmacokinetics evaluable population).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>BID Dose level* (schedule)</th>
<th>30 mg/m²</th>
<th>45 mg/m²</th>
<th>45 mg/m²</th>
<th>60 mg/m²</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Cohort</td>
<td>30 mg/m²</td>
<td>45 mg/m²</td>
<td>45 mg/m²</td>
<td>60 mg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15-19</td>
<td>30 mg/m²</td>
<td>45 mg/m²</td>
<td>45 mg/m²</td>
<td>60 mg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 (n = 5)</td>
<td>1 (n = 5)</td>
<td>2 (n = 7)</td>
<td>3 (n = 3)</td>
<td>4 (n = 9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Smax (h) median (min-max)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.917, 2.00)</td>
<td>1.08 (1.00, 1.18)</td>
<td>1.03 (1.00, 2.08)</td>
<td>1.00 (0.667, 2.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cmax (μmol/L) mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.185 (0.075)</td>
<td>0.339 (0.245)</td>
<td>0.226 (0.088)</td>
<td>0.295 (0.155)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AUC(0–max) (μmol/L/h) mean (SD)</td>
<td>0.409 (0.085)</td>
<td>0.630 (0.424)</td>
<td>0.440 (0.107)</td>
<td>0.693 (0.427)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Administered within 28-day cycles.

\( N = 4. \)

Figure 1.
Abexinostat pharmacodynamic correlative analyses. Mean fold-increase of normalized acetylated tubulin postdose compared with predose in phase I (A), and phase II (B). \( \star \), statistically significant increase relative to the 30 mg/m² twice daily dose \( (P = 0.0053) \).
Efficacy

In the phase I efficacy evaluable population (n = 21), 61.9% of patients achieved SD or better, and the ORR was 19.0%, including 1 CR in follicular lymphoma grade 1, and 3 PRs in patients with DLBCL, follicular lymphoma, and mantle cell lymphoma. The ORR in the ITT population (n = 25) was 16%. In phase II, full response assessments were not available for 5 patients (2 follicular lymphoma; 3 mantle cell lymphoma). The ORR was 48%, with 1 CR and 11 PRs (Table 4). The ORR for the ITT population (n = 30) was 40%.

Among the 14 evaluable patients with follicular lymphoma (Table 4), with a median time on study of 11.9 months (range, 1.2–24.8), 9 (64.3%; ITT ORR 56.3%) responded, including 1 patient with a CR, and 12 (86%) had reductions in lymph node diameter, including 5 with >75% reduction (Fig. 2A). The median DOR was not reached. Among the 9 responding patients with follicular lymphoma, 8 were on study more than 8 months, and 5 were treated for more than 18 months (Fig. 2B). The median PFS for follicular lymphoma patients was 20.5 months (range, 1.2–22.3+) as shown in Fig. 2C. There were no differences in response or DOR based on prior treatments, including refractoriness to prior therapies (data not shown).

Among the 11 evaluable phase II patients with mantle cell lymphoma, there were 3 responses (27.3%; ITT ORR 21.4%), all of them PRs per investigator assessment. The DORs in responding patients were 2+, 2.8, and 6.1+ months (Fig 2B) and the median PFS was 3.9 months (0.1–11.5+; Fig. 2C).

Discussion

Inhibition of HDAC has emerged as a promising strategy in hematologic malignancies. A recent phase II study of panobinostat in Waldenstrom macroglobulinemia reported a partial remission rate of 22% and minimal response in 25% of the 36 patients (20). Vorinostat, approved for the treatment of cutaneous T-cell lymphoma, provided a 47% ORR in patients with relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma in a phase II trial, with good tolerability and a median PFS of 15.6 months, but no responses in 9 mantle cell lymphoma patients in this study (3). In a recent Asian multicenter phase II study of vorinostat in 56 patients with relapsed/refractory indolent B-cell NHL, sustained antitumor activity was reported in relapsed patients with follicular lymphoma (n = 39), with an ORR of 49% and a median PFS of 20 months (21). However, with a median prior therapy of 1 (1–4), the follicular lymphoma patients were less heavily pretreated than in the current study, and both the previous studies used a twice daily regimen that differs from the FDA-approved label for vorinostat. In the current phase I/II multicenter study, we demonstrated that single-agent oral abexinostat had rapid oral absorption, was overall well tolerated, and had significant clinical activity in patients with heavily pretreated relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma.

In early-phase clinical trials, the safety profiles of HDACi agents have been mostly favorable, particularly in comparison with cytotoxic chemotherapy (22). The most common toxicities of HDACi are fatigue, nausea, and diarrhea, which were also observed in this study. Grade ≥3 cytopenias (mostly thrombocytopenia) occurred infrequently despite a number of patients receiving prolonged treatment courses during this study (i.e., >12–15 months).

One particular safety concern with HDACi is cardiac toxicity, including ventricular arrhythmia and QT/QTc prolongation, which is a safety issue seen with an increasing number of agents (23–29). In the phase 1 component of the current study, there were no occurrences of prolonged QT intervals or other cardiac abnormalities. In addition, in the 30-patient phase II study, only 1 patient had a confirmed grade 3 QTc prolongation in conjunction with atrial fibrillation, both of which were transient and resolved within 24 hours of abexinostat discontinuation. This is consistent with the initial findings from an ongoing phase I/II trial in patients with relapsed/refractory Hodgkin lymphoma, NHL, or CLL receiving oral abexinostat in an alternative schedule in which prolonged QTc intervals were not observed (16).

### Table 4. Clinical responses in efficacy-evaluable patients, phase II

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Category, n (%)</th>
<th>Follicular lymphoma (n = 14)</th>
<th>Mantle cell lymphoma (n = 11)</th>
<th>Total (n = 25)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ORR</td>
<td>9 (64.3)</td>
<td>3 (27.3)</td>
<td>12 (48)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>95% CI</td>
<td>35.1–87.2</td>
<td>6.02–61.0</td>
<td>27.8–68.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CR</td>
<td>1 (7.1)*</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1 (4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PR</td>
<td>8 (57.1)</td>
<td>3 (27.3)</td>
<td>11 (44)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SD</td>
<td>3 (21.4)</td>
<td>4 (36.4)</td>
<td>7 (28)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD</td>
<td>2 (14.3)</td>
<td>4 (36.4)</td>
<td>6 (24)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median time to progression, days (range)</td>
<td>625 (36–679+)</td>
<td>120 (4–349+)</td>
<td>625 (4–679+)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*CR was confirmed by positron emission tomography.*
**Figure 2.**

Patient outcomes in phase II. A, best on-treatment percent changes in the sum of greatest perpendicular diameters (SPD) of measured lymph nodes. Asterisks indicate values outside of the plot. B, time on study with best responses, phase II ITT population. Asterisks represent patients with >75% reduction in SPD. IND, ongoing; NA, not applicable. C, Kaplan-Meier plot for progression-free survival, phase II efficacy-evaluable population.

*SPD reduction >75%*
The potential risk for QTc prolongation is generally driven by drug concentration. To minimize this potential risk for abexinostat, twice-daily oral administration (versus intravenous or once-daily oral administration) was selected to lower peak concentrations while maintaining similar overall daily exposure (AUC) as compared with once-daily dosing for the equivalent total daily dose. A schedule of twice-daily dosing 4 to 6 hours apart was utilized on the basis of preclinical findings demonstrating its superior efficacy over 12-hour apart dosing. This dosing regimen is consistent with previous work showing a minimum of 6 to 8 hours continuous exposure with HDACi is needed for inducing ROS and apoptosis in tumor cells (30, 31); it is also made possible by the unique pharmacokinetic profile of this drug which has a terminal half life of 4 to 5 hours (16, 17). This unique dosing regimen also allows for longer recovery time off drug per day, and may account for the better tolerability and enhanced efficacy profile of abexinostat relative to other HDACi agents (18).

In the phase I component, we observed preliminary clinical benefits with abexinostat in 21 heavily pretreated relapsed/refractory lymphoma patients (i.e., 1 CR, 3 PRs, and 9 SDs). In phase II, the ORR was 64.3% in 14 evaluable follicular lymphoma patients and 56.3% in the ITT population. Of these 14 patients, 86% had reductions in tumor burden and durable responses, with 64% remaining on the study for >8 months. Rapid and marked reductions in lymphadenopathy were also seen in patients who were refractory to their last prior therapy (18.8%). The clinical response in follicular lymphoma is comparable with the ORR in 57 rituximab-refractory follicular lymphoma patients treated with ibritumomab tiuxetan radioimmunotherapy (32), and in 76 rituximab-refractory patients with B-cell NHL treated with bendamustine (33); however, it should be acknowledged that the sample size in the current study was smaller and needs to be confirmed in larger cohorts. It is also important to note that positron emission tomography (PET) scanning was not utilized for the follicular lymphoma patients enrolled in the phase II study, which may have led to an underestimation of the ORR and CR rates (15). Regarding time-to-event analyses, results with abexinostat appear favorable. With a median follow-up of 10.3 months for follicular lymphoma patients, the median duration of response was not reached with abexinostat.

In conclusion, this phase I/II study demonstrated that the pan-HDACi, abexinostat, is clinically active in patients with relapsed/refractory follicular lymphoma and mantle cell lymphoma, particularly in follicular lymphoma patients who achieved durable tumor control for periods ≥18 months. With a unique intermittent dosing regimen, abexinostat showed good tolerability during prolonged drug administration and little evidence of the cardiac concerns observed with other HDACi. The safety profile of abexinostat allows for combination approaches with other immunotherapy regimens and/or novel agents (34). Abexinostat is currently being tested in a variety of clinical trial settings and further examination in NHL is indicated.
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