

A Phase II Trial of Vorinostat (Suberoylanilide Hydroxamic Acid) in Metastatic Breast Cancer: A California Cancer Consortium Study

Thehang H. Luu,¹ Robert J. Morgan,¹ Lucille Leong,¹ Dean Lim,¹ Mark McNamara,¹ Jana Portnow,¹ Paul Frankel,² David D. Smith,² James H. Doroshow,¹ David R. Gandara,³ Ana Aparicio,⁴ George Somlo,¹ and Carol Wong⁵

Abstract Purpose: The primary goal of this trial was to determine the response rate of single-agent vorinostat in patients with metastatic breast cancer. The secondary goals included assessment of time to progression, evaluation of toxicities, and overall survival.

Experimental Design: From June 2005 to March 2006, 14 patients received vorinostat, 200 mg p.o., twice daily for 14 days of each 21 day cycle. Response and progression were evaluated using Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) criteria.

Results: The median age for all patients was 60.5 years (range, 37-88). Eight patients were estrogen receptor and/or progesterone positive, four were Her-2 positive. Sites of metastatic disease included brain, liver, lungs, bones, pelvis, pleura, chest wall, and distant lymph nodes. Patients received a median of 1.5 prior (range, 0-2) chemotherapeutic regimens for metastatic disease. Fatigue, nausea, diarrhea, and lymphopenia were the most frequent clinically significant adverse effects. The median number of cycles delivered was 2 (range, 1-20). There were no complete or partial responses, and the study was terminated after the first stage; however, 4 patients were observed with stable disease with time to progression of 4, 8, 9, and 14 months. The median number of months that patients received treatment on this study was 1.7 (range, 0.5-14).

Conclusions: Although not meeting the RECIST response criteria for adequate single-agent activity, the observed tolerable toxicities and the potential for clinical benefit in terms of stable disease suggest that further assessment of vorinostat as a part of combination therapy with either chemotherapeutic or targeted agents in metastatic breast might be undertaken.

Epigenetic changes associated with the development and progression of cancer occur through a variety of mechanisms; for example, hypermethylation in the mammalian genome results in transcriptional repression (1). Similarly, DNA that is wrapped around condensed, nonacetylated histones is transcriptionally inactive, whereas acetylation promotes transcriptional activity (2-4). The dynamic equilibrium between

histone acetylation and deacetylation is regulated by histone acetyltransferases and histone deacetylases (HDAC; ref. 5). The HDACs exert their targeted action during posttranslational acetylation of core nucleosomal histones, thereby regulating gene expression. The effect of HDAC inhibitors may vary depending on the specific experimental, or physiologic environment. For example, HDAC inhibition causing decreased estrogen receptor (ER) α expression can lead to a switch to agonist activity of partial antiestrogens, and such inhibition can also sensitize ER α -negative breast cancer cells via up-regulation of ER β activity. In general, data suggest that a decrease in histone acetylation may be associated with adverse outcome in human cancer (6, 7). Because aberrant HDAC activity has been implicated in a variety of cancers, development of HDAC inhibitors is a rational approach to the design of targeted anticancer therapeutics.

Vorinostat (suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid) is a small molecule inhibitor of both class I and II HDAC enzymes. While effecting acetylation, vorinostat also interferes with apoptotic pathway activities (8). In the preclinical setting, vorinostat inhibits MCF-7, MDA-MB 231, MDA-MB-435, and SKBr-3 breast cancer cell lines by inducing G₁ and G₂-M arrest and apoptosis (9). In HER-2 overexpressing breast cancer cell lines, in addition to dose-dependent facilitation of apoptosis, vorinostat induced acetylation of

Authors' Affiliations: ¹Division of Medical Oncology and Therapeutics Research and ²Department of Biostatistics, City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, Duarte, California; ³University of California, Davis Cancer Center, Sacramento, California; ⁴University of Southern California/Norris Comprehensive Cancer Center, Los Angeles, California; and ⁵Division of Diagnostic Radiology, City of Hope, Duarte, California

Received 1/15/08; revised 6/10/08; accepted 6/12/08.

The costs of publication of this article were defrayed in part by the payment of page charges. This article must therefore be hereby marked *advertisement* in accordance with 18 U.S.C. Section 1734 solely to indicate this fact.

Note: Reported in part in *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment* 100:2006 (Suppl 1;abstr 1109) and in *Proc Am Soc Clin Onc* 25 (suppl 18). Supported by National Cancer Institute Contract N01-CM-62209.

Requests for reprints: George Somlo, Division of Medical Oncology and Therapeutics Research, City of Hope Comprehensive Cancer Center, 1500 East Duarte Road, Duarte, CA 91010. Phone: 626-359-8111; Fax: 626-301-8898; E-mail: gsomlo@coh.org.

©2008 American Association for Cancer Research.
doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-08-0122

Translational Relevance

Epigenetic changes associated with the development and progression of cancer occur through a variety of mechanisms. Data have suggested that a decrease in histone acetylation may be associated with adverse outcome in human cancer. Vorinostat is a small molecule inhibitor of both class I and II histone deacetylase enzymes. Preclinical data have shown growth inhibition of MCF-7, MDA-MB 231, MDA-MB-435, and SKBr-3 breast cancer cell lines by inducing G₁ and G₂-M arrest and apoptosis. Hence, a phase II, single-agent trial was conducted in patients with stage IV breast cancer. No RECIST-based responses were observed, which resulted in stopping the trial at the first stage. However, 4 of 14 (29%) patients experienced a clinical benefit, having stable disease and a median time to disease progression of 8.5 (4-14) months with minimal toxicity. This trial has established that in this new age of targeted therapy, single agent of HDAC inhibitor might not be adequate as a cancer treatment especially in breast cancer. Future trials might need to focus on the combination of histone deacetylase inhibitors with other targeted therapies or cytotoxic chemotherapy.

hsp90, leading to dissociation of HER-2 from the chaperone molecule, and resulting in polyubiquitylation and degradation of Her-2 (10).

Phase I trials showed that oral vorinostat administered as 200 mg twice daily, or 400 mg daily was well-tolerated. Pharmacokinetic analysis suggested that the bioavailability of vorinostat ranged from 34.9% to 52.3% (only slightly improved with food), its half-life was 91 to 127 minutes and the duration of HDAC inhibition lasted ≥ 10 hours. The predominant toxicities included anorexia, fatigue, dehydration, diarrhea, and thrombocytopenia (11). Vorinostat was recently approved by the US Food and Drug Administration in October 2006 for the treatment of advanced, refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma (12).

Based on promising activity noted in preclinical studies, we set out to conduct a phase II study of single-agent vorinostat in patients with metastatic breast cancer (MBC). The primary end point in this trial was to estimate the objective response rate according to Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST).

Materials and Methods

Patient eligibility. Patients with histologically or cytologically confirmed stage IV MBC were eligible. Patients must have had measurable disease by RECIST criteria. Prior adjuvant therapy, any number of courses of hormonal therapy, and up to two lines of prior chemotherapy for MBC (including trastuzumab-containing regimens in Her-2 positive patients), and prior radiation treatment were allowed. Patients must have been ages ≥ 18 y, and an estimated life expectancy of ≥ 6 mo and an Eastern Cooperative Group performance score of 0 to 2. Required baseline hematologic and metabolic requirements also included an absolute neutrophil count of $\geq 1,000/\mu\text{L}$, platelets of $\geq 100,000/\mu\text{L}$, serum creatinine of ≤ 1.6 mg/dl, or a calculated measured creatinine clearance of ≥ 60 mL/min, total bilirubin ≤ 2 mg/dl, and

serum aspartate and alanine transaminases of less than or equal to thrice the institutional upper limit of normal. Patients with known, stable, treated brain metastases, who had not received steroids for at least 2 mo, were eligible. Women of child-bearing potential were required to use adequate contraception before study entry and for the duration of study participation. All patients were required to understand and voluntarily sign the informed consent forms approved by the institutional review boards. Exclusion criteria included having received chemotherapy or radiotherapy within 4 wk (6 wk for nitrosoureas or mitomycin C) before entering the study. Patients with uncontrolled intercurrent illness and pregnancy were excluded. As a precaution, a list of drugs or substances with the potential to affect selected P450 isoenzymes were provided to all participating clinicians due to potential suppression of the P450 system by vorinostat.

The study was approved by the Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program of the National Cancer Institute, Bethesda, MD.

Treatment plan. Vorinostat, 200 mg p.o. twice daily, was administered for the first 14 d of each 21-d cycle. Treatment was continued unless either disease progression was determined, the patient requested to be withdrawn from the study, or excessive toxicity was noted. All subjects were asked to keep a diary and to return all medication bottles with unused medication. Pill counts were done to verify doses taken.

In anticipation of nausea, prochlorperazine, 10 mg p.o. every 8 h, or ondansetron ODT, 8 mg every 12 h, was prescribed as needed. Diarrhea was treated promptly with appropriate supportive care, including loperamide.

Patient evaluation. Pretreatment evaluation included a complete medical history, with details of prior treatments, physical examination, Eastern Cooperative Group performance status, hematologic and biochemical profiles, serum pregnancy test as indicated, a 12-lead electrocardiogram as indicated, and tumor target assessment with appropriate radiographic examinations. When feasible, tumor biopsies of metastatic sites were also done.

During the first cycle, patients were evaluated weekly for toxicity. During subsequent courses of treatment, a physical evaluation and laboratory assessment (serum chemistry and hemogram) were done every 3 wk. Toxicity was assessed at the beginning of each cycle and as clinically indicated using the National Cancer Institute Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (CTCAE version 3.0) scale. Reasons for dose delay or dose modifications were recorded.

Response evaluation. Tumor assessments were carried out every 2 cycles (every 6 wk), unless earlier evaluations were clinically indicated. Responses were described according to RECIST criteria. Radiographic response review occurred at two levels: measurement of tumors was undertaken by the clinical investigator in addition to the standard radiology report. A central radiology review of any observed objective response was to be subsequently done by the response review committee of the California Cancer Consortium.

Toxicity evaluation and dose modifications. Vorinostat was to be held for \geq grade 3 toxicity (or \geq grade 2 diarrhea) until the toxicity resolved to \leq grade 1. The drug was then restarted at a 25% dose reduction (by reducing the morning dose from 200 to 100 mg). A second episode of \geq grade 3 toxicity (\geq grade 2 for diarrhea) resulted in a 50% dose reduction (100 mg twice daily). A third episode of \geq grade 3 toxicity (\geq grade 2 for diarrhea), or any incidence of \geq grade 3 toxicity (or \geq grade 2 diarrhea) that did not resolve to \leq grade 1 in < 21 d resulted in discontinuation of vorinostat.

Specimen procurement for correlative studies. When feasible, specimens from tumor blocks and/or slides from the tissue were to be obtained at the time of the original diagnosis, at the time of diagnosis of metastatic disease, during treatment at day 14, and at the time the patient discontinued treatment. All formalin-preserved and fresh-frozen specimens were shipped to a designated consortium laboratory and to Merck laboratories. Gene expression profiling for exploratory assessment was carried out by Merck Research laboratories/Rosetta Inpharmatics (MRI/Rosetta; ref. 13).

The gene expression profiling from tumor tissues was done by James S. Hardwick, PhD, at Oncology Molecular Profiling, Merck Research Laboratories. However, only 5 specimens—all pretreatment—were suitable for such assessment due to a variety of logistical factors. Microarray analysis was done on samples from these five patients (three with prolonged stable disease and two who progressed rapidly on vorinostat therapy). RNA isolated from tumor cells macrodissected from the pretherapy biopsies was used to generate mRNA expression profiles with custom Agilent microarrays. Agilent microarrays use a two-channel competitive hybridization technology where mRNA abundance in an experimental sample (the individual breast tumor biopsy specimens) is measured relative to a reference RNA pool. The reference RNA pool used for this project was the "Universal Human Reference RNA" that is available commercially through Agilent Technologies.

Statistical analysis. To evaluate the response rate (confirmed complete and partial response) of MBC patients to vorinostat, a two-stage Simon optimum design was used. Patients who completed at least two cycles of therapy, terminated treatment due to toxicity, or who progressed before completion of two cycles of therapy, were evaluable for response and included in decisions regarding early termination of the study. The design provides for the probability of falsely declaring a regimen with a 5% response rate as warranting further study of 0.10 (α error), and the probability of correctly declaring an agent with a 20% response rate as warranting further study of 0.90 (power). The planned first-stage target accrual was 12 patients evaluable for response. If no responses were observed, accrual would be discontinued with the conclusion that oral single-agent vorinostat was not adequately promising for further study as a single agent. Secondary end points include overall and progression-free (time to progression or death) survival, using Kaplan-Meier estimates.

Gene expression in the rapidly progressing patients was compared with those having prolonged stable disease using Significance Analysis of Microarrays (SAM) analysis (14). SAM computes a modulated t-statistic for each gene, which measures the statistical strength between expression and the explanatory grouping. After repeated permutations of the data, SAM tests whether there are any significant statistical associations between the gene expression values and the qualitative categories. SAM also features a tuning value that directly influences the false-discovery rate. In SAM, we specified two-class, unpaired analyses with a false discovery rate of 5%. SAM analysis was followed by a search through Oncomine⁶ database to compare to previous observations.

Results

Patient characteristics. Patient characteristics are summarized in Table 1. From June 2005 to March 2006, 14 female patients with measurable MBC were enrolled. Two patients did not complete two cycles of treatment but were included for toxicity assessment and intent to treat analysis. The median age was 60.5 years (range, 37-88 years). Tumors from 6 patients (43%) were ER/progesterone receptor–negative and 10 (71%) were HER-2 nonoverexpressing at diagnosis. Metastatic sites included brain (having stable disease posttreatment), lungs, liver, bones, pleura, pelvis, chest wall and distant lymph nodes. One-half (50%) of the patients had previously received two lines of standard chemotherapy for MBC.

Efficacy. In this two-stage phase II trial, with a first stage of accrual of 12 evaluable patients, there was no confirmed response observed. As a result, it was decided by the Cancer

Table 1. Patient characteristics

Number of patients	14
Median age (range), y	60.5 (37-89)
Hormone receptor status	
ER/PR status	
Positive	8
Negative	6
Her-2 status	
Positive	4
Negative	10
Metastatic sites	
Brain	1
Lung, liver, bones	2
Lung	2
Pelvic and chest wall	1
Bone	1
Liver and bone	2
Distant nodes	2
Pleura and bone	1
Distant node and bone	1
Liver	1
Prior line of systemic chemotherapy for MBC	
0	2
1	5
2	7
Prior systemic trastuzumab for MBC	3

Abbreviation: PR, progesterone receptor.

Therapy Evaluation Program of the National Cancer Institute not to proceed to complete stage II, or to amend the trial to focus on a different end point such as clinical benefit inclusive of stable disease, or time to progression.

All patients enrolled were evaluable for response. The median time on therapy was 1.7 months (range, 1.2-9.0). Stable disease was observed in 4 (29%) patients with a median progression-free survival of 8.5 months (range, 4-14 months). The median progression-free survival was 2.6 months (95% confidence interval, 1.4-NR), and the median overall survival was 24 months (95% confidence interval, 17.4-NR) for the 14 patients. Overall survival at 12 months was 71% (95% confidence interval, 51-100%).

Three of four patients with stable disease on study had their original diagnosis made between 1993 and 1995, and their metastasis diagnosed in 2005. One of these patients, having received one prior chemotherapeutic regimen for treatment of MBC, progressed after 6 cycles of vorinostat. The 2 other patients, who received 2 prior regimens for MBC, received 10 and 11 cycles of vorinostat. A fourth patient was originally diagnosed with triple disease in 2003, relapsed 2 years later, and remained on vorinostat for 20 cycles (Table 2).

Treatment. Fourteen patients received 66 cycles of vorinostat (range, 1-20). The median number of treatment cycles to patients observed to have stable disease was 10.5 (range, 6-20), and 2 cycles (range, 1-20) in all patients. The reasons for treatment discontinuation included progressive disease in 11 patients, 1 patient did not receive all prescribed treatments because of lack of compliance, and 2 patients refused further treatment due to general symptoms and patient preference before 2 cycles. These two patients were replaced for evaluation of the response rate for interim analysis but are included in this final report.

⁶ <http://www.oncomine.org>

Table 2. Characteristics of stable disease patients

Patient	Sites of MBC	ER	PR	HER2	Prior treatment for MBC	Cycles received on study
1	Lung, liver, bone	Pos	Neg	Neg	1	6
2	Liver	Neg	Neg	Pos	2	11
3	Bones	Pos	Pos	Neg	2	10
4	Mediastinal nodes	Neg	Neg	Neg	0	20

Abbreviations: Pos, positive; neg, negative.

Toxicity. Vorinostat was well-tolerated in this population of patients. The major observed toxicities are illustrated in Table 3. As expected, nausea, fatigue, and leucopenia were observed, with grade 3 fatigue reported in one patient. Two patients withdrew from the trial early but were included in the analysis for toxicity. These patients requested discontinuation of treatment due to grade 2 nausea after cycle 1; however, one of these patients was observed to have progression of disease at the time of this evaluation. One patient stopped taking medication during cycle 3 because of malaise, and 1 patient required a 50% dosage reduction because of grade 3 fatigue, grade 2 diarrhea, and grade 2 anorexia. However, this patient went on to receive a total of 10 cycles at 50% dose reduction. No treatment-related deaths occurred during the study.

Preliminary gene expression data. Although limited to five pretreatment tumor samples, we did an exploratory analysis on gene expression using the SAM algorithm. Our objective was to examine gene expression in the rapidly progressing patients compared with those having prolonged stable disease. We found that in one gene, *dystonin* (*DST* or *BPAG1*), a probe expression was 5.9-fold lower in the two rapidly progressing patients with a false discovery rate of <5%. Although we would not consider this a finding by itself, it was the initial signal that lead us to examine the available Oncomine database for the role of *DST* in other microarray studies as described in our discussion.

Discussion

Vorinostat is the first histone deacetylase inhibitor approved for cancer indication by the US Food and Drug Administration

for the treatment of advanced, refractory cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. It is currently being evaluated for potential activity in solid tumors. This is the first phase II trial describing vorinostat activity in patients with MBC. Kelly et al. (15) treated two breast cancer patients in their phase I trial of oral vorinostat. Neither of these patients was reported to achieve an objective response or stabilization of disease. Rubin et al. (16) observed 1 case among 4 patients treated with MBC who achieved stable disease for >15 months.

As targeted therapeutic agents come of age, it is becoming clear that the classic, RECIST-based assessment of tumor response may not be well-suited for drug screening. In this phase II, single-agent trial of vorinostat, no RECIST-based responses were observed, which resulted in stopping the trial at the first stage. However, 4 of 14 (29%) patients experienced a clinical benefit, having stable disease and a median time to disease progression of 8.5 (4-14) months. Adverse effects in these patients were tolerable. Although one can argue that the promising duration of stable disease was primarily observed in a less heavily pretreated patient group, because these patients had a relatively long relapse-free interval from the time of their original diagnosis, the fact remains that clinically observable single-agent activity was associated with the use of this oral agent.

An increasing number of publications suggest that making therapeutic decisions based solely on RECIST criteria may be deficient. Our experience, and findings from clinical trials with other targeted agents, such as kinase inhibitors, highlights the need to modify both our clinical expectations (17) and our primary objectives regarding end-points.

Table 3. Treatment-related toxicity

	Grade 1-2	Grade 3	Grade 4
Anorexia	3		
Fatigue	9*	1	
Diarrhea	6		
Nausea/vomiting	10	1	
Dyspepsia	1		
Elevated LFT	10		
Dehydration		1	
Hypokalemia	2	1	
Mucositis	1		1
Lymphopenia	8		1
Thrombocytopenia	5	1	
Leukopenia	6		
Constipation	2		

Abbreviation: LFT, liver function tests.

*Two early withdrawals before response evaluation due to grade 2 nausea, fatigue and rapid progression of disease were observed.

Although this study has yielded only very preliminary observation based on the small sample size in our gene array analysis, and highlights the difficulty in obtaining appropriate biopsy material both from pretreatment and posttreatment, a preliminary observation has been made. Dystonin was identified as a potential marker for tumor aggressiveness. We identified through Oncomine several previous microarray studies that evaluated the expression patterns of DST, including three in breast cancer. Lower DST expression was associated with higher tumor grades and breast cancer invasion in all of these reports. Schuetz et al. (18) have the most complete discussion of the potential role of DST in breast cancer. In addition to a microarray analysis, they found that that protein expression of DST is also decreased in IDC compared with DCIS. It was hypothesized that DST is expressed in hemidesmosomes connecting epithelial cells to the basement membrane, and so the lower expression may be a contributing factor to invasiveness. Bergstraesser et al. previously showed that invasive breast cancer cells do not express hemidesmosomes (19). We are in the process of seeking to best classify our tumor specimens (luminal A and B, basal, ERB/Her2, and normal) because the profiles need to be compared with an independent breast tumor data set. Our correlative finding agrees with the previous data suggests

that DST could be a candidate gene marker for tumor aggressiveness. However, given the small sample size of this trial, the microarray data analysis with dystonin is served only as a hypothesis generating concept.

In summary, despite modest clinical benefit observed in this trial, which diminishes enthusiasm as a single agent in this setting, its manageable toxicity and ease of administration, suggest vorinostat and its class should be further evaluated in the treatment of breast cancer as part of a combination therapy. Further assessment of vorinostat, in combination with paclitaxel and bevacizumab, is currently ongoing in first-line MBC treatment (PHII-87 NCI #7703).

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Acknowledgments

We thank Dr. James Zwiebel, Cancer Therapy Evaluation Program/National Cancer Institute, the California Cancer Consortium, and Merck Research Laboratories for supporting clinical trial development and correlation studies, Kim Arnold, and Norma Baker, R.N. for helping to conduct this trial, and Cynthia Suarez for secretarial support.

References

- Jones PA, Baylin SB. The fundamental role of epigenetic events in cancer. *Nat Rev Genet* 2002;3:415–28.
- Arts J, de Schepper S, Van Emelen K. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: from chromatin remodeling to experimental cancer therapeutics. *Curr Med Chem* 2003;10:2343–50.
- Mehnert JM, Kelly WK. Histone deacetylase inhibitors: biology and mechanism of action. *Cancer J* 2007;13:23–9.
- Marks PA. Discovery and development of SAHA as an anticancer agent. *Oncogene* 2007;26:1351–6.
- Gui CY, Ngo L, Xu WS, et al. Histone deacetylase (HDAC) inhibitor activation of p21WAF1 involves changes in promoter-associated proteins, including HDAC1. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2004;101:1241–6.
- Jang ER, Lim SJ, Lee ES, et al. The histone deacetylase inhibitor trichostatin A sensitizes estrogen receptor α -negative breast cancer cells to tamoxifen. *Oncogene* 2004;23:1724–36.
- Margueron R, Duong V, Castet A, et al. Histone deacetylase inhibition and estrogen signalling in human breast cancer cells. *Biochem Pharmacol* 2004;68:1239–46.
- Finnin MS, Donigian JR, Cohen A, et al. Structures of a histone deacetylase homologue bound to the TSA and SAHA inhibitors. *Nature* 1999;401:188–93.
- Munster PN, Troso-Sandoval T, Rosen N, et al. The histone deacetylase inhibitor suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid induces differentiation of human breast cancer cells. *Cancer Res* 2001;61:8492–7.
- Bali P, Pranpat M, Swaby R, et al. Activity of suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid against human breast cancer cells with amplification of her-2. *Clin Cancer Res* 2005;11:6382–9.
- Kelly WK, Richon VM, O'Connor O, et al. Phase I clinical trial of histone deacetylase inhibitor: suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid administered intravenously. *Clin Cancer Res* 2003;9:3578–88.
- Mann BS, John R, Johnson KH, et al. Vorinostat for treatment of cutaneous manifestations of advanced primary cutaneous T-cell lymphoma. *Clin Cancer Res* 2007;13:2318–22.
- van 't Veer LJ, Dai H, van de Vijver MJ, et al. Gene expression profiling predicts clinical outcome of breast cancer. *Nature* 2002;415:530–6.
- Tusher VG, Tibshirani R, Chu G. Significance analysis of microarrays applied to the ionizing radiation response. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2001;98:5116–21.
- Kelly WK, O'Connor OA, Krug LM, et al. Phase I study of an oral histone deacetylase inhibitor, suberoylanilide hydroxamic acid, in patients with advanced cancer. *J Clin Oncol* 2005;23:3923–31.
- Rubin EH, Agrawal NGB, Friedman EJ, et al. A study to determine the effects of food and multiple dosing on the pharmacokinetics of vorinostat given orally to patients with advanced cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2006;12:7039–45.
- Benjamin RS, Choi H, Macapinlac HA, et al. We should desist using RECIST, at least in GIST. *J Clin Oncol* 2007;25:1760–4.
- Schuetz CS, Bonin M, Clare SE, et al. Progression-specific genes identified by expression profiling of matched ductal carcinomas *in situ* and invasive breast tumors, combining laser capture microdissection and oligonucleotide microarray analysis. *Cancer Res* 2006;66:5278–86.
- Bergstraesser LM, Bonin M, Clare SE, et al. Expression of hemidesmosomes and component proteins is lost by invasive breast cancer cells. *Am J Pathol* 1995;147:1823–39.

Clinical Cancer Research

A Phase II Trial of Vorinostat (Suberoylanilide Hydroxamic Acid) in Metastatic Breast Cancer: A California Cancer Consortium Study

Thehang H. Luu, Robert J. Morgan, Lucille Leong, et al.

Clin Cancer Res 2008;14:7138-7142.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/14/21/7138>

Cited articles This article cites 19 articles, 10 of which you can access for free at:
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/14/21/7138.full#ref-list-1>

Citing articles This article has been cited by 15 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/14/21/7138.full#related-urls>

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/14/21/7138>.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.