Epigenetic Inactivation of microRNA-34b/c Predicts Poor Disease-Free Survival in Early-Stage Lung Adenocarcinoma

Ernest Nadal[1], Guoan Chen[1], Marc Gallegos[2], Lin Lin[1], Daysha Ferrer-Torres[1], Anna Truini[1], Zhuwen Wang[1], Jules Lin[1], Rishindra M. Reddy[1], Roger Llatjos[3], Ignacio Escobar[4], Juan Moya[4], Andrew C. Chang[1], Felipe Cardenal[5], Gabriel Capellà[2], and David G. Beer[1]

Abstract

Purpose: The microRNA-34b/c (miR-34b/c) is considered a tumor suppressor in different tumor types and a transcriptional target of *TP53*. The main objectives of this study were to investigate the clinical implications of miR-34b/c methylation in patients with early-stage lung adenocarcinoma and to determine the functional role of miR-34b/c re-expression in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines.

Experimental Design: Aberrant methylation and expression of miR-34b/c were assessed in 15 lung adenocarcinoma cell lines and a cohort of 140 early-stage lung adenocarcinoma. Lung adenocarcinoma cell lines were transfected with miR-34b/c and the effects upon cell proliferation, migration, invasion, and apoptosis were investigated.

Results: Aberrant methylation of miR-34b/c was detected in 6 (40%) of 15 lung adenocarcinoma cell lines and 64 of 140 (46%) primary lung adenocarcinoma. Expression of miR-34b/c was significantly reduced in all methylated cell lines and primary tumors, especially with *TP53* mutations. Patients with increased miR-34b/c methylation had significantly shorter disease-free and overall survival as compared to patients with unmethylated or low level of miR-34b/c methylation. Ectopic expression of miR-34b/c in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines decreased cell proliferation, migration, and invasion.

Conclusions: Epigenetic inactivation of miR-34b/c by DNA methylation has independent prognostic value in patients with early-stage lung adenocarcinoma. Reexpression of miR-34b/c leads to a less aggressive phenotype in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines. *Clin Cancer Res; 19(24); 6842–52. ©2013 AACR.*

Introduction

Lung cancer is the second most common cancer and the leading cause of cancer-related death in industrialized countries (1, 2). Non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC) is a heterogeneous disease, with adenocarcinomas and squamous cell carcinomas the most common subtypes (3). These histologic subtypes have diverse clinical outcomes, different treatments, revealing heterogeneity in both disease aggressiveness and underlying molecular alterations (4). Complete resection gives the highest probability of long-term remission and cure. Even among patients with surgically treated early-stage, the 5-year survival rate is only 52% (2). Postoperative adjuvant chemotherapy is the standard of care for resected stage II and III and provides an absolute benefit of 4% to 5% in 5-year survival rates (5, 6). However, a subset of stage I patients also have poor prognosis and it is important to identify these high-risk patients who might benefit from an additional therapeutic intervention.

Molecular biomarkers incorporated with clinicopathologic factors might improve NSCLC patient management (7). *KRAS* and *TP53* mutations (8, 9) and gene-expression signatures can classify surgically resected patients with different outcomes (10). MiRs are small non-coding regulatory RNAs that are upstream regulators of gene expression and contribute to cancer development and progression by acting as oncogenes or tumor suppressor genes (11–13). MiRs are promising biomarkers and involved in regulating diverse biologic processes such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, adhesion, migration, invasion, and angiogenesis.

MiR-34b and miR-34c, two members of the miR-34 family, are encoded by a bicistronic transcript from chromosome 11q23 (14). Their expression may be induced by *TP53* in response to DNA damage or cell stress (15) as well as regulated by DNA methylation. The promoter regions of

American Association for **Cancer Research**

Translational Relevance

MiR-34b/c are members of microRNA (miR) 34 family that target relevant genes in lung adenocarcinoma involved in cell cycle, apoptosis, and invasion including *CCDE2*, *MYC*, *BCL2*, *NOTCH1*, or *MET*. We analyzed the DNA methylation status of miR-34b/c in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines and in primary tumors and correlated to miR expression. Interestingly, early-stage lung adenocarcinoma with increased miR-34b/c methylation had worse outcome. Ectopic expression of miR-34b/c in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines decreased cell proliferation, migration, and invasion. These results suggest that miR-34b/c methylation is an independent prognostic marker in patients with early-stage lung adenocarcinoma and potential therapeutic target.

miR-34b/c are hypermethylated in several tumor types resulting in silencing of miR-34b/c expression (16–21). MiR-34b/c methylation was prognostic in patients with NSCLC stage I (22), but this result has not been validated in an independent cohort. In addition, miR-34 family acts as a tumor suppressor among different tumor types, inducing a less aggressive phenotype (16, 20, 23, 24); however, the functional role of miR-34b/c has not been examined in lung adenocarcinoma.

In the present study, we sought to determine the role of miR-34b/c methylation and expression in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines and primary tumors, the relationship to patient prognosis in two independent cohorts of early-stage resected lung adenocarcinoma and the functional impact of miR-34b/c ectopic expression on lung adenocarcinoma invasion and proliferation.

Materials and Methods

Tissue samples

Frozen primary tumors and associated nonmalignant lung tissue of 140 patients with stage I–II lung adenocarcinomas who underwent surgical resection were collected at the Bellvitge Hospital (Barcelona, Spain; 2001–2007) and the University of Michigan Health System (Ann Arbor, MI; 1991–2007). Informed consent, approved by the respective Institutional Review Board, was obtained and specimens collected, immediately frozen and stored at −80°C. Regions containing more than 70% tumor cellularity were used for nucleic acid isolation. Patients receiving preoperative radiation or chemotherapy were not included. Clinical data was retrospectively collected and all cases were staged according to the revised 7th TNM classification and provided in Supplementary Table S1. Patients from the University of Michigan were older and included more females and former-smokers than the Bellvitge Hospital set. These differences reflected specific patterns of lung adenocarcinoma according to patient's site (25).

Lung cancer cell lines

Fifteen human lung adenocarcinoma cell lines (SK-LU-1, NCI-H2228, NCI-H1838, NCI-H1563, NCI-H2347, NCI-H1395, Calu-3, A549, NCI-H2087, NCI-H1299, NCI-H838, NCI-H23, NCI-H1792, HCC4006, and HCC827) were purchased from American Type Culture Collection and authenticated by genotyping using the Identifier Plus kit (Applied Biosystems). All cells were maintained in RPMI-1640 (except Calu-3 and A549, which used Eagle's minimum essential medium and DMEM-F12 medium, respectively), and supplemented with 10% FBS, 1% Gibco Antibiotic-Antimycotic (Life Technologies) in an atmosphere containing 5% CO_2 at 37°C. Cell line mutational status was obtained from the IARC *TP53* database (26) and Sanger Institute Catalogue of Somatic Mutations In Cancer web site (27) with nine harboring *TP53* mutations or *TP53* deletion and listed in Supplementary Table S2.

Chemicals and demethylation treatment of lung adenocarcinoma cell lines

Stock solutions of 1 mmol/L *cis*-diammine-dichloroplatinum (Sigma-Aldrich), 1 mmol/L pemetrexed (Lilly), 10 mmol/L erlotinib hydrochloride (Selleckchem), and 25 mmol/L 5-aza-2′-desoxycytidine (5-aza-dC; Sigma-Aldrich) were stored at −20°C and freshly dissolved in culture medium before use. SK-LU-1, NCI-H2228, NCI-H1838, NCI-H23, and HCC4006 cells were seeded in 6-well plates, cultured for 24 hours, and treated with 0.5 μmol/L of 5-aza-dC for 5 days in triplicate, replacing drug-containing medium daily. The cells were subjected to DNA and RNA isolation for direct sequencing and miR-34b/c quantification, respectively.

Bisulfite genome sequencing

DNA was isolated using the proteinase K/phenol extraction method. Bisulfite conversion was carried out using 1 μg of DNA using an EZ-DNA Methylation Gold Kit (Zymo Research). The PCR was performed using Immolase DNA Polymerase (Bioline) and the following primers: 5′-GGTTGGGAATTGAAGTTTG-3′ (F) and 5′-TTAATAATTA-TAACCACCACAATACAA-3′ (R). The reactions were cycled at 95°C for 10 minutes, then 30 cycles of 30 seconds at 95°C, 30 seconds at 58°C, and 30 seconds at 72°C, including a final extension step for 15 minutes. PCR products were gel purified using QIAquick Gel Extraction Kit (Qiagen) and cloned into pCR4-TOPO Vector (Invitrogen) using the TOPO TA Cloning Kit (Invitrogen). Five individual clones were sequenced using M13 primers at the University of Michigan DNA Sequencing Core. The region assessed by BGS included 45 CpG sites from the miR-34b/c promoter and average methylation from individual clones was calculated as a percentage of the number of methylated CpG sites over the number of total CpG sites sequenced.

Real-time PCR temperature dissociation (melting curve analysis)

Genomic DNA treated with *Sss*I methylase (New England Biolabs) was used as positive control to amplify fully

methylated DNA for the dissociation curve. Whole genome amplified DNA obtained by REPLI-G Kit (Qiagen) was used as a negative control to amplify fully unmethylated DNA for dissociation curve. Methylation standards (100%, 75%, 50%, 25%, 10%, 5%, 1%, and 0%) were prepared by mixing the positive and negative controls accordingly. All primers used for BGS and melting curve analysis (MCA) were designed using the Methyl Primer Express v1.0 and did not target CpG dinucleotides to specifically amplify the bisulfite-modified sequences. Melting curve analysis was carried out as described before (28). Bisulfite converted DNA was first amplified in a 20 cycle external PCR reaction using the primers and conditions as described for BGS. One microliter of amplified DNA was used as a template for a nested PCR using a LightCycler 480 II (Roche Applied Science) in the presence of LightCycler 480 SYBR Green I Master (Roche) and the following oligonucleotides: 5′-GTATTTTTGGGGGTTATGG-3′ (F) and 5′-TCAACTAATAA-CACTACCTACAAACC-3′ (R). The reactions were cycled for 30 cycles of 10 seconds at 95°C, annealing at 67°C for 20 seconds and extension at 72°C for 15 seconds. After the amplification, temperature was gradually increased from 65 to 95°C to obtain the melting curves. Lightcycler480 software (Roche) plotted the melting peaks by calculating the negative derivative of fluorescence over temperature and quantified the area under the curve (AUC) for the melting peak corresponding to the unmethylated and methylated alleles. AUC values for the melting peak corresponding to the methylated alleles were highly correlated with the percentage of methylated DNA of the methylation standards, shown in Supplementary Fig. S1. In addition, the percentage of DNA methylation was estimated on the basis of the linear regression between the AUC values and the methylation standards. The estimated value of DNA methylation was normalized within each cohort by subtracting the mean and dividing by the SD. Samples were classified as methylated when the estimated methylation value was higher than 5%.

RNA isolation and miR and mRNA quantification in cell lines and tumors

Total RNA was isolated using miRNeasy kit (Qiagen) following manufacturer's instructions. Expression of mature miR-34b and miR-34c was assessed by quantitative real-time PCR (qRT-PCR) using TaqMan Micro-RNA assays (Applied Biosystems) and the 7900 HT-Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Expression of reported miR-34b/c targets (*AXL*, *BCL2*, *HMGA2*, *MET*, *NOTCH1*, and *NOTCH2*) was assessed by qRT-PCR in miR-34b/c–transfected cells (14, 29). cDNA was prepared from total RNA using a High Capacity cDNA Reverse Transcription Kit (Applied Biosystems) following manufacturer's instructions and using Power SYBR Green and the 7900 HT-Fast Real-Time PCR System (Applied Biosystems). Primers, designed using Primer-BLAST (30), are shown in Supplementary Table S3. Data were analyzed using the SDS 2.2.2 software (Applied Biosystems) with threshold setting at 0.2 and manual baseline from 3 to 18

cycles. Relative quantification was performed using the $2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ method, using endogenous RNU48 and β-actin expression as controls for miR and mRNA quantification, respectively.

Plasmid construction and stable transfection

The miR-34b/c was subcloned into pSilencer 4.1-CMV puro Expression Vector (Ambion) containing the flanking regions of the mature miR-34b/c (16). Ten micrograms of the constructed plasmid and the empty vector were introduced into H1838 and SK-LU-1 cells using FUGENE 6 Transfection Reagent (Promega). Forty-eight hours after transfection, cells were cultured in selection media with puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich). Resistant clones were selected for further cell culture and experiments.

Western blot analysis

Cells were grown to 60% confluence and harvested in radioimmunoprecipitation buffer supplemented with proteinase inhibitor to extract protein. Ten to 20 μg of protein were separated by SDS-PAGE, transferred to PVDF membranes, and incubated overnight at 4°C with the primary antibodies: anti-MET (25H2, Cell Signaling Technology), anti-PARP antibody (Cell Signaling Technology), anti-BCL2 (clone 10, Millipore), and β-actin (AC-15; Abcam) followed by goat anti-rabbit (Cell Signaling Technology) or anti-mouse (Southern Biotech) IgG-conjugated horseradish peroxidase and detected by chemiluminescence using Amersham ECL Prime Western Blotting Detection Reagents (GE Healthcare Life Sciences).

Cell proliferation assay

Empty vector and miR-34b/c stably transfected H1838 and SK-LU-1 cells were plated in 96-well plates for 24 hours. Chemosensitivity was tested by treating miR-34b/c stably transfected cells with cisplatin, pemetrexed, and erlotinib for 48 hours or with drug-free medium. The cell proliferation and viability was assessed using 10 μL/well of WST-1 reagent (Roche). Absorbance at 450 nm and reference at 630 nm were measured with an automated plate reader (ELx808 Bio-Tek) at different time-points. Relative proliferation rates were calculated as a percentage of the initial T_0 reading within each sub-cell line.

Apoptosis assay

Both empty vector and miR-34b/c stably transfected SK-LU-1 cells were plated in 60 mm plates, treated for 48 hours with 5 μmol/L of cisplatin, harvested and processed for Western blot analysis.

Wound-healing assay

Empty vector and miR-34b/c stably transfected cells were grown to confluence. A wound was made through the monolayer using a p20 tip and measurements taken over time to calculate the migration rate according to the equation: percentage wound healing = [(wound length at 0 h) − (wound length at 4, 6, 20 or 27 h)]/(wound length at 0 h) × 100. Two independent experiments were performed.

Transwell invasion assay

Cancer cells were resuspended in media without growth factors then seeded at 25,000 cells per well into Matrigel-coated (BD Matrigel), growth factor–reduced, invasion chambers (8 μm pore size, BD Biosciences). The bottom chamber contained 20% FBS media as chemoattractant and incubated overnight in a humidified incubator at 37°C, 5% CO_2 atmosphere. The top noninvading cells were removed with a cotton swab moistened with medium and the bottom surface of the membrane was stained with Diff-Quick Stain Set (Siemens). The number of cells migrating to the basal side of the membrane was visualized with an Olympus microscope at 20× magnification. Pictures of five random fields from replicate wells were obtained and the number of cells stained quantified relative to the migration of cells through the uncoated membrane.

Statistical analysis

Differences between both cohorts used in the methylation analysis were calculated with Student t test and Fisher exact tests. Nonparametric tests were used for differences in miR-34b/c expression or methylation between different clinical variables. Survival curves were plotted using the Kaplan–Meier method and survival differences were assessed using the log-rank test. The univariate and multivariate Cox proportional hazards model were used to assess survival results. Disease-free survival (DFS) was measured from the date of surgery to the time of recurrence, death, or censoring. Overall survival (OS) was measured from date of surgery to the time of death or censoring.

Results

MiR-34b/c methylation and underexpression are a frequent alteration in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines

MCA was used to assess miR-34b/c methylation in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines and BGS performed to confirm results in selected cell lines and primary tumors. Representative examples of MCA are shown in Fig. 1. An excellent concordance between the results obtained by both methods was observed. On the basis of BGS, NCI-H2087 and NCI-H1838 cells had 3% and 78% of 45 CpG sites methylated, respectively, whereas the estimated percentage of methylation by MCA was 0.5% and 77%. The concordance was also optimal for primary tumors with BGS demonstrating 59% methylation of CpG sites, whereas the estimated percentage of methylation by MCA was 53% (Fig. 1). MCA is a suitable alternative for reliable, efficient, and quantitative assessment of DNA from both cell lines and clinical samples.

Six of the lung adenocarcinoma cell lines (40%) had miR-34b/c promoter methylation: H2228, SK-LU-1, H1838, H1563, H2347, and H1395. Expression of miR-34b/c was lower in cell lines with miR-34b/c methylation relative to unmethylated cell lines ($P = 0.001$ for both miRs) and not different according to TP53 status. Interestingly, a significant difference in miR-34b/c expression was detected when the cells were classified on the basis of the promoter methylation status and their TP53 mutational status ($P = 0.011$

and 0.015, for miR-34b and miR-34c, respectively). As shown in Fig. 2A, the cells with lowest expression contained miR-34b/c promoter methylation and TP53 mutation.

The miR-34b/c expression was significantly increased from 29- to more than 500-fold when cells with hypermethylated miR-34b/c promoter (SK-LU-1, H2228, and H1838) were treated with 5-aza-2′-deoxycytidine (5-aza-dC) for 5 days (Supplementary Fig. S2A). A relative change in the methylation level was detected by direct bisulfite sequencing in cell lines treated with 5-aza-dC (Supplementary Fig. S2B).

MiR-34b/c methylation and underexpression are a frequent event in lung adenocarcinoma

MiR-34b/c methylation was assessed by MCA in 140 lung adenocarcinoma tumors and 10 nonmalignant lung tissues. Fifty-nine tumors (42%) showed more than 5% DNA methylation (Supplementary Fig. S3A) and only one nonmalignant lung tissue was methylated, which was confirmed by bisulfite sequencing. Expression of miR-34b and miR-34c were quantified in a subset of 49 early-stage lung adenocarcinoma tumors and 10 matched nonmalignant lung samples. Nonmalignant lung samples had a higher expression of both miRs in non-tumoral samples (median: 3.67 for miR-34b and 2.83 for miR-34c) as compared with lung adenocarcinoma tumors (median: 0.95 for miR-34b and 0.86 for miR-34c; $P = 0.002$ and 0.003, respectively). Thirty tumors (61%) were methylated and had lower expression levels of miR-34b and miR-34c (median: 0.73 and 0.62, respectively) as compared with unmethylated tumors (median: 4.46 and 2.57, $P = 0.002$ and 0.003, respectively, Supplementary Fig. S3B).

MiR-34b/c methylation/expression and clinicopathological correlations

The level of methylation according to AUC values was correlated with clinical characteristics and survival (Table 1). MiR-34b/c methylation was associated with higher tumor stage among the 140 stage I and II patients examined ($P = 0.033$), recurrence ($P = 0.017$), and death ($P = 0.019$). Tumors from patients with a smoking history had a trend of higher levels of miR-34b/c methylation.

We determined the correlation between miR-34b/c expression with clinical and molecular variables for the 49 cases analyzed (Supplementary Table S4). Interestingly, lower expression of miR-34b was detected among smokers compared with nonsmokers ($P = 0.025$). Tumors harboring TP53 mutation had a trend of lower miR-34b and 34c expression ($P = 0.043$ and 0.060, respectively). A significant difference in the expression of miR-34b/c was observed in primary tumors when classified on the basis of the promoter methylation status and the TP53 mutational status ($P = 0.0007$ and 0.001, respectively; Fig. 2B). MiR-34b/c methylation appears to be the predominant mechanism of regulation of miR-34b/c expression in cooperation with TP53 function. Indeed, tumors with methylated miR-34b/c consistently expressed low levels of miR-34b/c even when TP53 was not mutated. Of note, higher miR-34b/c

Figure 1. Methylation status of miR-34b/c in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines and primary tumors. A and B, representative examples of MCA for miR-34b/c. Melting curves and derivative peaks from two cell lines (H2087 and H1838) are shown in the left (A) and from a lung adenocarcinoma and its corresponding nonmalignant lung tissue in the right (B). Differences in the GC content after bisulfite treatment results in variation of the melting temperature that make possible to discriminate the methylation status of samples assessed. While homogeneously unmethylated or methylated samples yielded a sharply defined melting curve, heterogeneously methylated samples resulted in a double-peak pattern. This bimodal pattern may correspond to the presence of nontumoral DNA from stromal cells within the tumoral samples or also may correspond to methylation that affects only one allele. C and D, representative chromatograms from bisulfite genomic sequencing of the miR-34b/c promoter. CpG sites are depicted as pink bars and the transcription start sites as blue bars. Five clones of each sample were sequenced and each row represents one sequenced allele. Black and white squares represent methylated and unmethylated cytosines at CpG sites, respectively.

expression was associated with *EGFR* mutation ($P = 0.007$ and 0.013, respectively; Supplementary Table S4). MiR-34b/c expression was not associated with outcome as previously reported by others (31).

MiR-34b/c methylation as independent prognostic marker in early-stage resected lung adenocarcinoma

We determined the prognostic value of miR-34b/c methylation in the training set and we found that patients with higher AUC for miR-34b/c methylation (top third) had a significantly shorter DFS (median: 28.5 months) compared with patients with low AUC levels (bottom two thirds) (median: not reached, log-rank test $P = 0.016$; Fig. 3A). We used two-thirds cutoff (AUC = 1.34, estimated methylation = 20%) instead of the median, because it provided a higher percentage of events within the group of high level of DNA methylation. In the multivariate Cox model, higher levels of miR-34b/c methylation (top third) were independently associated with shorter DFS (HR = 3.04, 95% CI

1.26–7.03, $P = 0.013$) as compared with low-medium levels, after adjusting for age, sex, and disease stage. In the test set, high (top third) also had a shorter DFS (median: 18.6 months) compared with patients with low AUC (bottom two thirds) (median: not reached, log-rank test $P = 0.005$; Fig. 3B). In the multivariate analysis, high levels of miR-34b/c methylation (top third) were associated with shorter DFS [HR = 2.05; 95% confidence interval (CI), 1.09–3.84; $P = 0.025$].

When the survival analysis was carried out using the whole study population ($n = 140$), miR-34b/c methylation not only was associated with shorter DFS, but also with shorter OS (Kaplan–Meier plots are shown in Supplementary Fig. S4). In the multivariate Cox regression analysis, higher levels of miR-34b/c methylation (top third) was independently associated with a shorter DFS (HR = 2.16; 95% CI, 1.32–3.52; $P = 0.002$; Table 2) as compared with low to medium levels (bottom two-thirds). MiR-34b/c remained an independent prognostic marker when

Figure 2. MiR-34b/c expression in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines (A) and primary tumors (B). We observed a strong correlation between DNA methylation, *TP53* status and miR-34b/c expression. These boxplots show miR-34b/c expression (log2) in a set of 15 lung adenocarcinoma cell lines (A) and 49 lung adenocarcinoma tumors (B) according to DNA methylation (M, methylated; U, unmethylated) and *TP53* status (mut, mutated; WT, wild-type). *P* values correspond to Kruskal–Wallis test among all 4 categories.

considered as continuous variable in the Cox regression (Supplementary Table S5). Higher levels of miR-34b/c (top third) were also independently associated with shorter OS (HR = 1.79; 95% CI, 1.07–3.02; $P = 0.027$; Supplementary Table S6) as compared with low-medium levels. Remarkably, methylation of miR-34b/c was also a prognostic marker for stage I patients and patients with high AUC for miR-34b/c had a shorter DFS (median: 43.1 months) as compared with those with low to medium levels (median: not reached, log-rank test, $P = 0.009$; Supplementary Fig. S5).

MiR-34b/c ectopic expression reduced cell proliferation, migration, and invasion

Two cell lines with low levels of miR-34b/c (H1838 and SK-LU-1) were transfected with miR-34b/c precursors that mimics endogenous miRs, or alternatively with empty

vector. Expression of miR-34b/c determined by qRT-PCR in the stable transfectants was significantly higher compared with empty vector and parental cells (Supplementary Fig. S6A). Several genes reported as putative targets of miR-34b/c were examined and a significant reduction of the transcript levels were found for *AXL*, *BCL-2*, *HMGA2*, *MET*, *NOTCH1*, and *NOTCH2* in both transfected cell lines (Supplementary Fig. S6B). At the protein level, significant reduction of MET expression was observed (Supplementary Fig. S6C).

Cells expressing miR-34b/c showed a significantly lower proliferation rate as compared with empty vector ($P < 0.001$; Fig. 4A). Accordingly, stable transfection with miR-34b/c mimics resulted in significantly increased PARP cleavage (Supplementary Fig. S7A). We treated the stable cell lines with cisplatin (CDDP), pemetrexed, or erlotinib, all of which are currently used for treating lung adenocarcinoma. A modest sensitization effect to CDDP was

Table 1. Correlation between miR-34b/c DNA methylation and clinicopathologic characteristics ($n = 140$); AUC, area under the methylated curve

Clinical covariates	Total	miR-34b/c Methylation status		P
		AUC, mean ($\pm$SD)	AUC (mean rank)	
Age, y				
<65	60	1.82 ($\pm$2.72)	70.69	
≥65	80	1.48 ($\pm$2.09)	70.36	ns
Sex				
Female	53	1.76 ($\pm$2.05)	76.36	
Male	87	1.54 ($\pm$2.56)	66.93	ns
Smoking history				
Never	15	1.07 ($\pm$1.39)	64.14	
Ever	123	1.65 ($\pm$2.41)	70.15	ns
Stage				
Stage I	96	1.35 ($\pm$2.21)	65.73	
Stage II	44	2.22 ($\pm$2.65)	80.91	0.033
Recurrence				
No	73	1.12 ($\pm$1.85)	62.97	
Yes	67	2.18 ($\pm$2.75)	78.71	0.017
Recurrence location				
Loco-regional	21	1.87 ($\pm$2.33)	34.43	
Distance	46	2.32 ($\pm$2.93)	33.80	ns
Life status				
Alive	63	1.25 ($\pm$2.16)	63.31	
Dead	77	2.06 ($\pm$2.55)	78.80	0.019
Event (death or recurrence)				
No	68	0.93 ($\pm$1.64)	60.17	
Yes	72	2.28 ($\pm$2.76)	80.26	0.002

observed after restoring miR-34b/c expression in SK-LU-1 cells (Supplementary Fig. S7B). Migration and cell invasion was significantly suppressed in miR-34b/c stable transfectants of SK-LU-1 (Fig. 4B and C and Supplementary Fig. S8) as compared with empty vector or parental cells.

In summary, the restoration of miR-34b/c expression in lung adenocarcinoma lines can reduce cell proliferation, cell migration, and invasion, conferring a less aggressive phenotype. Reexpression thus might be a novel potential therapeutic strategy in patients expressing low levels of miR-34b/c.

Discussion

MiRs play an important role in tumorigenesis and cancer progression. The discovery of their regulatory function has added a new level of complexity in our understanding of cancer genetics (32). Interestingly, miR expression is often widely downregulated in cancer cells relative to normal tissues (33), and forced reduction of global miR expression promotes transformation (34). MiR expression is deregulated in human cancer, including NSCLC and several regulatory mechanisms have been identified: DNA copy abnormalities (35), mutation (36), failure of posttranscriptional regulation (37), regulation by transcription factors (38), and a defective miR biogenesis pathway (34). Additional epigenetic mechanisms such as methylation of the 5′ reg-

ulatory regions associated with specific miR downregulation in tumors were observed (16, 39, 40).

The miR-34 family consists of miR-34a, located at the chromosome 1, and miR-34b and miR-34c, located at the chromosome 11 as a bicistronic cluster and primarily expressed in nontumoral lung (23). The putative promoter of miR-34b/c resides 4.5 kb upstream of the miR-coding sequence and includes a dense CpG island and the starting transcription site of *BTG4* gene (21). We found that hypermethylation of miR-34b/c is frequent in lung adenocarcinoma tumors and cell lines (40%–46%), which is similar to the frequency reported previously by other groups in NSCLCs (22). Interestingly, we observe patients with higher levels of miR-34b/c methylation show a worse outcome. A recent study reported that miR-34b/c methylation might be prognostic for patients with stage I NSCLC (22). In this study, DNA methylation was assessed by methylation-specific PCR from formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tissue samples. We used frozen samples and DNA methylation was assessed using MCA, which achieved an excellent analytic sensitivity. We feel that MCA is a useful technique for detecting and estimating DNA methylation by simultaneous assessment of multiple CpG residues, rendering the technique less vulnerable to the behaviour of specific residues. When analyzing other markers using MCA, it is

Figure 3. Kaplan–Meier plots of DFS according to miR-34b/c methylation level. Lung adenocarcinoma tumors were divided according to their source into training (Bellvitge Hospital, A) and test set (University of Michigan, B).

important to determine the analytical sensitivity for any specific gene to define a cutoff for classifying the samples as either methylated or unmethylated. While focusing on lung adenocarcinoma, we validated the prognostic value of miR-34b/c methylation in an independent cohort of these patients.

According to previous data (23), global association between *TP53* mutational status and miR-34b/c expression was not found in lung adenocarcinoma lines. Indeed,

Table 2. DFS analysis (multivariate Cox model) of miR-34b/c methylation in 140 patients with early-stage lung adenocarcinoma

Covariates in the model	HR (95% CI)	P
Age, continuous	1.02 (0.994–1.05)	ns
Gender		
Female	1.00	ns
Male	1.17 (0.72–1.92)	
Stage		
Stage I	1.00	<0.001
Stage II	2.73 (1.69–4.40)	
miR-34b/c Methylation		
Low to medium	1.00	0.002
High (AUC > 1.34)	2.16 (1.32–3.52)	

although miR-34b/c are *bona fide* transcriptional targets of *TP53* and their promoters contain TP53 canonical binding sites, miR-34 family appeared to be not necessary for *TP53* function using a miR-34–deficient mouse (41). A potential interaction between DNA methylation status and *TP53* status was found in lung adenocarcinoma cell lines and primary tumors. In addition, the restoration of miR-34b/c expression with 5-aza-dC suggests that DNA methylation plays a role in the transcriptional regulation of these miRs in lung adenocarcinoma cells.

Interestingly, miR-34b expression was higher in non-smoking patients and both miRs were significantly over-expressed in *EGFR*--mutant cell lines and primary tumors. Although nonsmoking patients had lower levels of miR-34b/c expression as compared with smokers, we did not find a significant correlation between tobacco use history and DNA methylation. Similarly, during bronchial carcinogenesis of squamous cell carcinomas, expression of miR-34c progressively was reported to decrease from normal epithelium of nonsmokers to invasive bronchial lesions of smokers (42).

The role of miR-34b/c expression as a prognostic marker is controversial. Landi and colleagues (43) found that miR-34b/c expression measured by microarray technology was associated with outcome in patients with surgically resected lung NSCLC. However miR-34b/c measured by qRT-PCR was not prognostic in a large cohort of early-stage NSCLC (31). In our study, we could not find an association between

Figure 4. Impact of miR-34b/c on lung adenocarcinoma cell proliferation, migration and invasion. A, cell proliferation of miR-34b/c transfected cells was assessed using WST-1 assay. Values are expressed as the means ± SD of 3 experiments. Cell proliferation was significantly lower in cells expressing miR-34b/c as compared with cells transfected with the empty vector (ev; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$). B, wound-healing assay in SK-LU-1 cells. Quantitative values indicate the mean distance ± SD between the migration fronts measured at different time-points per 3 wells and are representative of 3 experiments. Cells expressing miR-34b/c closed the induced cell wound significantly slower than cells transfected with ev. C, invasion experiments using Boyden chamber in SK-LU-1 cells. Invasive cells stained by Diff-Quick were counted. The quantitative values represent the mean ± SD of 5 microscopic fields per 2 wells and are representative of 2 experiments. Cells expressing miR-34b/c were significantly less invasive as compared with control empty vector-transfected cells.

miR-34b/c expression and outcome potentially due to the limited number of cases analyzed.

We used stable transfectants expressing miR-34b and 34c to study the pathogenic role of these miRs in lung adenocarcinoma lines. Using this *in vitro* model, we found that the restoration of miR-34b/c expression suppressed cell proliferation, migration, and invasiveness. These findings suggest that these miRs might act as a tumor suppressor in lung adenocarcinoma, which is consistent with its role in other human cancers (20, 24, 44, 45).

MiR-34b/c restoration did not considerably modify the sensitivity to CDDP, pemetrexed, or erlotinib in lung adenocarcinoma lines. Correspondingly, miR-34b/c expression was previously not found to have a predictive effect on survival in patients with lung cancer treated with adjuvant chemotherapy after tumor resection (31). However, miR-34c appears to be significantly overexpressed in erlotinib-sensitive NSCLC cell lines (46) and was associated with a signature predictive for response to erlotinib. In our study, miR-34b/c transfectants did not show higher sensitivity to erlotinib (data not shown).

Several strategies have been proposed to restore the function of miRs with tumor suppressor properties that are downregulated in cancer (47), for example, DNA demethylating agents and histone deacetylase inhibitors, which have demonstrated antitumor activity in chemorefractory NSCLC (48). However, a limitation of this strategy is that

these agents lack specificity. A more promising strategy may be miR replacement therapy using delivery vehicles (49, 50), which are able to restore loss-of-function activity and to reactivate cellular pathways in cancer that drive a therapeutic response.

In conclusion, our findings show that miR-34b/c is frequently inactivated by promoter DNA methylation in lung adenocarcinoma and restoration of miR-34b/c expression induces a less aggressive and invasive phenotype. In addition, miR-34b/c methylation might be an independent prognostic marker in early-stage lung adenocarcinoma and is potentially useful for selecting a subset of stage I tumors with higher risk of recurrence or death after lung resection that would benefit from an additional therapeutic intervention. MiR replacement therapy might be a potential strategy for treating those tumors with hypermethylated miR-34b/c, although further investigation in this area is needed.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: E. Nadal, A.C. Chang, G. Capella, D.G. Beer
Development of methodology: E. Nadal, M. Gallegos, G. Capella, D.G. Beer
Acquisition of data (provided animals, acquired and managed patients, provided facilities, etc.): E. Nadal, M. Gallegos, D. Ferrer-Torres, A. Truini,

References

1. Ferlay J, Autier P, Boniol M, Heanue M, Colombet M, Boyle P. Estimates of the cancer incidence and mortality in Europe in 2006. Ann Oncol 2007;18:581–92.
2. Siegel R, Naishadham D, Jemal A. Cancer statistics, 2012. CA Cancer J Clin 2012;62:10–29.
3. Youlden DR, Cramb SM, Baade PD. The International Epidemiology of Lung Cancer: geographical distribution and secular trends. J Thorac Oncol 2008;3:819–31.
4. Herbst RS, Heymach JV, Lippman SM. Lung cancer. N Engl J Med 2008;359:1367–80.
5. Fruh M, Rolland E, Pignon JP, Seymour L, Ding K, Tribodet H, et al. Pooled analysis of the effect of age on adjuvant cisplatin-based chemotherapy for completely resected non-small-cell lung cancer. J Clin Oncol 2008;26:3573–81.
6. Group NM-aC Arriagada R, Auperin A, Burdett S, Higgins JP, Johnson DH, et al. Adjuvant chemotherapy, with or without postoperative radiotherapy, in operable non-small-cell lung cancer: two meta-analyses of individual patient data. Lancet 2010;375:1267–77.
7. Ludwig JA, Weinstein JN. Biomarkers in cancer staging, prognosis and treatment selection. Nat Rev 2005;5:845–56.
8. Mascaux C, Iannino N, Martin B, Paesmans M, Berghmans T, Dusart M, et al. The role of RAS oncogene in survival of patients with lung cancer: a systematic review of the literature with meta-analysis. Br J Cancer 2005;92:131–9.
9. Steels E, Paesmans M, Berghmans T, Branle F, Lemaitre F, Mascaux C, et al. Role of p53 as a prognostic factor for survival in lung cancer: a systematic review of the literature with a meta-analysis. Eur Respir J 2001;18:705–19.
10. Shedden K, Taylor JM, Enkemann SA, Tsao MS, Yeatman TJ, Gerald WL, et al. Gene expression-based survival prediction in lung adenocarcinoma: a multi-site, blinded validation study. Nat Med 2008;14:822–7.
11. Croce CM. Causes and consequences of microRNA dysregulation in cancer. Nat Rev Genet 2009;10:704–14.
12. Esquela-Kerscher A, Slack FJ. Oncomirs - microRNAs with a role in cancer. Nat Rev Cancer 2006;6:259–69.
13. Hammond SM. MicroRNAs as tumor suppressors. Nat Genet 2007;39:582–3.
14. He L, He X, Lim LP, de Stanchina E, Xuan Z, Liang Y, et al. A microRNA component of the p53 tumour suppressor network. Nature 2007;447:1130–4.
15. Wei CL, Wu Q, Vega VB, Chiu KP, Ng P, Zhang T, et al. A global map of p53 transcription-factor binding sites in the human genome. Cell 2006;124:207–19.
16. Lujambio A, Calin GA, Villanueva A, Ropero S, Sanchez-Cespedes M, Blanco D, et al. A microRNA DNA methylation signature for human cancer metastasis. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:13556–61.
17. Corney DC, Hwang CI, Matoso A, Vogt M, Flesken-Nikitin A, Godwin AK, et al. Frequent downregulation of miR-34 family in human ovarian cancers. Clin Cancer Res 2010;16:1119–28.
18. Suzuki H, Yamamoto E, Nojima M, Kai M, Yamano HO, Yoshikawa K, et al. Methylation-associated silencing of microRNA-34b/c in gastric cancer and its involvement in an epigenetic field defect. Carcinogenesis 2010;31:2066–73.
19. Vogt M, Munding J, Gruner M, Liffers ST, Verdoodt B, Hauk J, et al. Frequent concomitant inactivation of miR-34a and miR-34b/c by CpG methylation in colorectal, pancreatic, mammary, ovarian, urothelial, and renal cell carcinomas and soft tissue sarcomas. Virchows Arch 2011;458:313–22.
20. Kubo T, Toyooka S, Tsukuda K, Sakaguchi M, Fukazawa T, Soh J, et al. Epigenetic silencing of microRNA-34b/c plays an important role in the pathogenesis of malignant pleural mesothelioma. Clin Cancer Res 2011;17:4965–74.
21. Toyota M, Suzuki H, Sasaki Y, Maruyama R, Imai K, Shinomura Y, et al. Epigenetic silencing of microRNA-34b/c and B-cell translocation gene 4 is associated with CpG island methylation in colorectal cancer. Cancer Res 2008;68:4123–32.
22. Wang Z, Chen Z, Gao Y, Li N, Li B, Tan F, et al. DNA hypermethylation of microRNA-34b/c has prognostic value for stage non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Biol Ther 2011;11:490–6.
23. Bommer GT, Gerin I, Feng Y, Kaczorowski AJ, Kuick R, Love RE, et al. p53-mediated activation of miRNA34 candidate tumor-suppressor genes. Curr Biol 2007;17:1298–307.
24. Tanaka N, Toyooka S, Soh J, Kubo T, Yamamoto H, Maki Y, et al. Frequent methylation and oncogenic role of microRNA-34b/c in small-cell lung cancer. Lung Cancer 2012;76:32–8.
25. Curado MP, Edwards B, Shin HR, Storm H, Ferlay J, Heanue M, et al. Cancer Incidence in Five Continents, Vol. IX, Lyon, France, International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) Scientific Publication 160, 2007.
26. Petitjean A, Mathe E, Kato S, Ishioka C, Tavtigian SV, Hainaut P, et al. Impact of mutant p53 functional properties on TP53 mutation patterns and tumor phenotype: lessons from recent developments in the IARC TP53 database. Hum Mutat 2007;28:622–9.
27. Bamford S, Dawson E, Forbes S, Clements J, Pettett R, Dogan A, et al. The COSMIC (Catalogue of Somatic Mutations in Cancer) database and website. Br J Cancer 2004;91:355–8.
28. Frigola J, Song J, Stirzaker C, Hinshelwood RA, Peinado MA, Clark SJ. Epigenetic remodeling in colorectal cancer results in coordinate gene suppression across an entire chromosome band. Nat Genet 2006;38:540–9.
29. Ji Q, Hao X, Zhang M, Tang W, Yang M, Li L, et al. MicroRNA miR-34 inhibits human pancreatic cancer tumor-initiating cells. PLoS One 2009;4:e6816.
30. Ye J, Coulouris G, Zaretskaya I, Cutcutache I, Rozen S, Madden TL. Primer-BLAST: a tool to design target-specific primers for polymerase chain reaction. BMC Bioinformatics 2012;13:134.
31. Voortman J, Goto A, Mendiboure J, Sohn JJ, Schetter AJ, Saito M, et al. MicroRNA expression and clinical outcomes in patients treated with adjuvant chemotherapy after complete resection of non-small cell lung carcinoma. Cancer Res 2010;70:8288–98.
32. Hanahan D, Weinberg RA. Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation. Cell 2011;144:646–74.
33. Lu J, Getz G, Miska EA, Alvarez-Saavedra E, Lamb J, Peck D, et al. MicroRNA expression profiles classify human cancers. Nature 2005;435:834–8.

34. Kumar MS, Lu J, Mercer KL, Golub TR, Jacks T. Impaired microRNA processing enhances cellular transformation and tumorigenesis. Nat Genet 2007;39:673–7.

35. Zhang L, Volinia S, Bonome T, Calin GA, Greshock J, Yang N, et al. Genomic and epigenetic alterations deregulate microRNA expression in human epithelial ovarian cancer. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:7004–9.

36. Calin GA, Ferracin M, Cimmino A, Di Leva G, Shimizu M, Wojcik SE, et al. A MicroRNA signature associated with prognosis and progression in chronic lymphocytic leukemia. N Engl J Med 2005;353:1793–801.

37. Saini HK, Griffiths-Jones S, Enright AJ. Genomic analysis of human microRNA transcripts. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2007;104:17719–24.

38. Aguda BD, Kim Y, Piper-Hunter MG, Friedman A, Marsh CB. MicroRNA regulation of a cancer network: consequences of the feedback loops involving miR-17-92, E2F, and Myc. Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A 2008;105:19678–83.

39. Heller G, Weinzierl M, Noll C, Babinsky V, Ziegler B, Altenberger C, et al. Genome-wide miRNA expression profiling identifies miR-9-3 and miR-193a as targets for DNA methylation in non-small cell lung cancers. Clin Cancer Res 2012;18:1619–29.

40. Baer C, Claus R, Plass C. Genome-wide epigenetic regulation of miRNAs in cancer. Cancer Res 2013;73:473–7.

41. Concepcion CP, Han YC, Mu P, Bonetti C, Yao E, D'Andrea A, et al. Intact p53-dependent responses in miR-34-deficient mice. PLoS Genet 2012;8:e1002797.

42. Mascaux C, Laes JF, Anthoine G, Haller A, Ninane V, Burny A, et al. Evolution of microRNA expression during human bronchial squamous carcinogenesis. Eur Respir J 2009;33:352–9.

43. Landi MT, Zhao Y, Rotunno M, Koshiol J, Liu H, Bergen AW, et al. MicroRNA expression differentiates histology and predicts survival of lung cancer. Clin Cancer Res 2010;16:430–41.

44. Corney DC, Flesken-Nikitin A, Godwin AK, Wang W, Nikitin AY. MicroRNA-34b and MicroRNA-34c are targets of p53 and cooperate in control of cell proliferation and adhesion-independent growth. Cancer Res 2007;67:8433–8.

45. Chim CS, Wan TS, Wong KY, Fung TK, Drexler HG, Wong KF. Methylation of miR-34a, miR-34b/c, miR-124-1 and miR-203 in Ph-negative myeloproliferative neoplasms. J Transl Med 2011;9:197.

46. Bryant JL, Britson J, Balko JM, Willian M, Timmons R, Frolov A, et al. A microRNA gene expression signature predicts response to erlotinib in epithelial cancer cell lines and targets EMT. Br J Cancer 2012;106:148–56.

47. Esteller M. Non-coding RNAs in human disease. Nat Rev Genet 2011;12:861–74.

48. Juergens RA, Wrangle J, Vendetti FP, Murphy SC, Zhao M, Coleman B, et al. Combination epigenetic therapy has efficacy in patients with refractory advanced non-small cell lung cancer. Cancer Discov 2011;1:598–607.

49. Wiggins JF, Ruffino L, Kelnar K, Omotola M, Patrawala L, Brown D, et al. Development of a lung cancer therapeutic based on the tumor suppressor microRNA-34. Cancer Res 2010;70:5923–30.

50. Kasinski AL, Slack FJ. Epigenetics and genetics. MicroRNAs en route to the clinic: progress in validating and targeting microRNAs for cancer therapy. Nat Rev Cancer 2011;11:849–64.

AAG R American Association for Cancer Research

Clinical Cancer Research

Epigenetic Inactivation of microRNA-34b/c Predicts Poor Disease-Free Survival in Early-Stage Lung Adenocarcinoma

Ernest Nadal, Guoan Chen, Marc Gallegos, et al.

Updated version	Access the most recent version of this article at: doi:10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-13-0736
Supplementary Material	Access the most recent supplemental material at: http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/suppl/2013/10/15/1078-0432.CCR-13-0736.DC1

Cited articles	This article cites 49 articles, 17 of which you can access for free at: http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/19/24/6842.full#ref-list-1
Citing articles	This article has been cited by 1 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at: http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/19/24/6842.full#related-urls

E-mail alerts	Sign up to receive free email-alerts related to this article or journal.
Reprints and Subscriptions	To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.
Permissions	To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/19/24/6842. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.