

Letter to the Editor

Tales of How Great Drugs Were Brought Down by a Flawed Rationale—Letter

Michel D. Wissing^{1,2,3}, Michael A. Carducci¹, Hans Gelderblom², and Paul J. van Diest³

In a recent article, Komlodi-Pasztor and colleagues argued that cancer researchers used a flawed rationale to develop inhibitors of mitotic enzymes, such as polo-like kinase 1 (PLK1) and aurora kinases (1). Scientists had been misled by the success of microtubule-targeting agents (MTA) by assuming that MTAs act on cancer cells solely by mitotic inhibition, whereas their primary targets are microtubules in interphase. Inhibitors of enzymes solely expressed during mitosis would not have a significant antitumor effect on solid tumors in clinical studies, as most solid tumors have a mean mitotic index of less than 1%.

However, despite the low mitotic index, mitotic enzymes are frequently overexpressed in cancer; for example, Weichert and colleagues reported that 52.6% of all human prostate carcinomas have strong expression of PLK1 (2). This suggests that mitotic enzymes also play a role beyond mitosis. Indeed, PLK1 has been found to regulate among others p53 and microtubule dynamics in interphase as well (3).

The authors describe that cancer cells have mitotic slippage after 1 to 2 days of treatment with antimitotic agents (1). They fail to mention that such cells either become aneuploid or polyploid, or are arrested in interphase (4).

Although cells may initially be viable, all these states eventually result in cell death.

The authors explain the discrepancy between *in vivo* results and clinical efficacy of antimitotic agents further by the (twice) daily administration in mice, whereas in humans intravenous administration is done at a weekly or longer interval (1). With the discovery of orally bioavailable compounds, such as the aurora kinase-inhibitors alisertib (MLN-8237), ENMD-2076, PF-03814735, MK-5108, and AMG-900 and the PLK1-inhibitors HMN-214, TAK-960, and NMS-1286937, similar dosing frequencies can be achieved in humans as in mice.

The major advantage of inhibitors of mitotic enzymes compared with MTAs is the lack of irreversible neuropathy after treatment; adverse events are fewer and rarely irreversible. Research is ongoing to increase the efficacy of aurora kinase- and PLK1-inhibitors, which could be accomplished by increased bioavailability to the tumor, that is, oral bioavailability, an improved pharmacokinetic profile, or activation by tumor-specific markers. Furthermore, addition of granulocyte colony-stimulating factor suppresses the adverse effect of mitotic inhibitors on the bone marrow, thereby allowing higher dosing. Aurora kinase- and PLK1-inhibitors could also have clinical potential in combination therapy, as combination therapy could result in equal/improved efficacy and decreased toxicity compared with current treatment protocols. We therefore think that these promising agents should be further developed and deserve thorough testing in clinical trials.

Authors' Affiliations: ¹Department of Oncology, The Sidney Kimmel Comprehensive Cancer Center, Johns Hopkins Medical Institutions, Baltimore, Maryland; ²Department of Medical Oncology, Leiden University Medical Centre, Leiden; and ³Department of Pathology, University Medical Centre Utrecht, Utrecht, the Netherlands

Corresponding Author: Paul J. van Diest, Department of Pathology, University Medical Centre Utrecht (UMCU), Room H04.312, Heidelberglaan 100, 3584 CX, Utrecht, the Netherlands. Phone: 31-88-755-6565; Fax: 31-30-254-4990; E-mail: p.j.vandiest@umcutrecht.nl

doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2695

©2012 American Association for Cancer Research.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

M.A. Carducci is consultant/advisory board member of Amgen. No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed by the other authors.

Received August 15, 2012; accepted October 1, 2012; published OnlineFirst February 7, 2013.

References

- Komlodi-Pasztor E, Sackett DL, Fojo AT. Inhibitors targeting mitosis: tales of how great drugs against a promising target were brought down by a flawed rationale. *Clin Cancer Res* 2012;18:51–63.
- Weichert W, Schmidt M, Gekeler V, Denkert C, Stephan C, Jung K, et al. Polo-like kinase 1 is overexpressed in prostate cancer and linked to higher tumor grades. *Prostate* 2004;60:240–5.
- Liu XS, Song B, Liu X. The substrates of Plk1, beyond the functions in mitosis. *Protein Cell* 2010;1:999–1010.
- Gascoigne KE, Taylor SS. How do anti-mitotic drugs kill cancer cells? *J Cell Sci* 2009;122:2579–85.

Clinical Cancer Research

Tales of How Great Drugs Were Brought Down by a Flawed Rationale—Letter

Michel D. Wissing, Michael A. Carducci, Hans Gelderblom, et al.

Clin Cancer Res 2013;19:1303. Published OnlineFirst February 7, 2013.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
doi:[10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2695](https://doi.org/10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-12-2695)

Cited articles This article cites 4 articles, 2 of which you can access for free at:
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/19/5/1303.full#ref-list-1>

Citing articles This article has been cited by 2 HighWire-hosted articles. Access the articles at:
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/19/5/1303.full#related-urls>

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/19/5/1303>.
Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.