

CCR 20th Anniversary Commentary: Prospects and Challenges of Therapeutic Nanoparticles in Cancer

Mohammad Aminur Rahman and Dong M. Shin



In their review article published in the March 1, 2008, issue of *Clinical Cancer Research*, Cho and colleagues presented the strong potential of nanotechnology in cancer. This commentary discusses the latest advances in nanotechnology, which provide novel approaches for cancer diagnosis, imaging, drug delivery, and personalized therapy; highlights the perspectives for therapeutic

nanoparticles; and describes the advantages and challenges of their multifunctionalities. *Clin Cancer Res*; 21(20); 4499–501. ©2015 AACR.

See related article by Cho et al., *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;14(5) March 1, 2008;1310–6

Nanotechnology is a multidisciplinary science and technology that encompasses engineering, chemistry, physics, biology, imaging science, and applied clinical science and has recently emerged as one of the most rapidly growing fields in the development of novel cancer therapy. The heterogeneity of cancer makes the disease particularly complicated to diagnose and treat, with therapy options largely restricted to molecularly targeted therapy, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, and surgery (1). Our previously published article (2) contributed valuable discussion of different types of nanomaterials that can provide sophisticated multifunctional strategies for cancer therapy. We stated our support for a multifunctional approach, and indeed in recent years we have observed the development of such nanotechnologies with significant advantages for clinical translation.

Conventional anticancer drugs encounter several challenges to their therapeutic efficacy, including short half-life, drug resistance, nonspecific distribution with toxicity, delivery to desired location, internalization and control of intracellular drug concentration, codelivery of multiple drugs to target multiple signaling pathways, physiologic barriers, and the combination of therapeutics with imaging modalities. Although molecularly targeted therapies have shifted the paradigm of cancer treatment, their success is also limited by toxicities, suboptimal tumor distribution, and drug resistance. In recent years, we have identified complex signaling networks, cross-talk between oncogenes, and specific genetic mutations in patients that together reduce drug efficacy and often result in resistance to the targeted agent. A promising approach to overcome these challenges is to target multiple signaling pathways using multiple drugs while precisely controlling drug release, tuning of

distribution, timing, and sufficient dosing (3). We discussed in our earlier article (2) how nanotechnology could be a powerful tool to circumvent these hurdles and result in improved efficacy. Since then, many important bench-to-bedside and bedside-to-bench milestones have been achieved. For example, a targeted nanoparticle (NP) platform able to control blood circulation, tissue distribution, and drug release by targeting the tumor tissue was discussed by Bertrand and colleagues (4). Drug encapsulation by NPs overcomes drug solubility issues and protects therapeutic molecules (5). More than 100,000 articles are currently reported in PubMed using the search term "nanoparticles." This suggests that nanotechnologies will remain a substantial component of anticancer research over the decades to come. Herein we discuss recent developments in nanotherapeutics and opportunities to develop multifunctional NPs to address challenges encountered during drug development.

Our previous article highlighted the types of NPs derived from biologic, organic, and inorganic origins, and the engineering of their properties for cancer therapy. NPs are usually composed of nontoxic, biodegradable lipid-based and polymeric materials that allow the addition of tumor-targeting molecules and the capacity to carry large loads and undergo degradation under certain conditions. Recent developments have improved the stability and anticancer properties of NPs, although only a small number of nanomedicines have been approved for human use so far. A variety of new materials are advancing as tumor-targeted multifunctional constituents, including micelles, liposomes, dendrimers, gold nanomaterials, magnetic NPs, functionalized carbon nanotubes, macrophage-specific NPs, DNA origami cages, worm-like filomicelles, silica particles, modified plant viruses, nanodiamonds, and others (6).

NPs can be categorized into three generations. First-generation nanodrugs are non-tumor-targeted but tend to accumulate preferentially in tumor tissues through the enhanced permeability and retention (EPR) effect. Consequently, most of these NPs prolong the drug half-life and favor an improved toxicity profile. As we discussed previously, several first-generation drugs are FDA-approved, including NP-bound paclitaxel (Abraxane; Abraxis Bioscience) and other lipid-based

Department of Hematology and Medical Oncology, Winship Cancer Institute, Emory University, Atlanta, Georgia.

Corresponding Author: Dong M. Shin, Emory University School of Medicine, 1365 Clifton Road, Atlanta, GA 30322. Phone: 404-778-2980; Fax: 404-778-5520; E-mail: dmschin@emory.edu

doi: 10.1158/1078-0432.CCR-14-3126

©2015 American Association for Cancer Research.

nanodrugs: nonpegylated liposomal doxorubicin (Myocet; Teva), nonpegylated liposomal daunorubicin (DaunoXome; Galen), nonpegylated liposomal cytarabine (DepoCyt; Sigma-Tau), vincristine sulfate liposomes (Marqibo; Talon Therapeutics/Spectrum), and liposomal mifamurtide (Mepact; Takeda UK; ref. 7). The Nab-paclitaxel formulation improved the response rate in breast cancer and increased the survival rate in pancreatic cancer when given with gemcitabine and in non-small cell lung cancer with carboplatin (7).

Second-generation NPs are actively targeted to tumor cells. We previously demonstrated the promise of this complementary strategy to EPR to improve drug delivery. Overexpressed cell surface receptors, such as transferrin receptor (Tf-R), EGFR, prostate-specific membrane antigen, and folic acid receptor (FA-R), are among the most appealing targets for nanoformulated drugs. Several liposomal and polymeric NPs that carry ligands for specific cell surface receptors have been developed and moved into clinical studies. The results of phase I/II clinical trials for several agents, including MBP-426, MCC-465, SGT53, MM-302, BIND-014, CALAA-01, cetuximab, Doxil/Caelyx liposomes (Azaya Therapeutics), and a retroviral vector, have been discussed elsewhere (4). Although further evaluation of these drugs in clinical settings brings hope for cancer treatment, the debate is still ongoing as to whether targeting has any meaningful advantages. In recent years, many studies have shown that targeting of NPs leads to greater internalization, albeit via an unknown mechanism.

Third-generation NPs possess multifunctional abilities, as described in our previous article, which offer exciting advances in early detection, diagnostics, prognostics, and therapeutic strategies for cancer. These include the potential to modulate the pharmacokinetic profile of a drug to increase its half-life and allow for controlled drug release, thereby enhancing its therapeutic index. Recent advances in nanotechnology have created the platform for a combinatorial approach to cancer therapy, and synergistic efficacy of NP-based agents has been shown in *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies. Third-generation NPs offer great advantages for the delivery of drugs, imaging molecules, and genes to solid tumors (8). In the time following our previously published article, many exciting developments have been achieved in nanotechnology-based gene therapy, photodynamic therapy, and cancer theragnostics. Multiplex nanocarriers have been formulated to deliver multiple drugs, such as doxorubicin and paclitaxel, together with DNA or siRNA (7). Any gene could be druggable if gene-specific siRNA can be successfully delivered to the desired cancer site. The delivery of siRNA is the greatest challenge to this approach, and in 2010, the first evidence of gene silencing in humans was obtained by delivering siRNA nanotherapeutics (9).

Nanotechnology presents new opportunities in the field and also new challenges, particularly with the shift in focus from passive targeting to tumor targeting and combinatorial approaches. Critical factors include the characteristics of the targeting agent and the drug carrier, the NP components, ligand conjugation chemistry, ligand number, and orientation on the NP surface. The biologic environment is crucial in functionalizing NPs. The optimum effect depends on the route of NP administration; interaction with serum proteins and immune system molecules; expression level, cellular localization, and accessibility to bind NPs of the targeted moiety; the biodistribution and retention of NPs inside tumors; and the release of drug. To

effectively address these challenges, it is important to employ a multidisciplinary approach from chemist to clinician. Clinical development can be delayed by issues of NP characterization, large-scale preparation, and reproducibility as well as knowledge regarding the safety of nanocarriers. The pharmacokinetic behavior of nanocomponents requires detailed investigation, and associated health risk databases should be considered. The regulatory approval of nanomedicine therapeutics remains challenging. Each component of an NP agent must be evaluated for toxicity, making the approval process even more complex for multifunctional nanostructures comprising therapeutic, diagnostic, imaging, and targeting agents. Considering the enormous potential benefits of NPs in cancer research, there is an urgent need for the development of safety guidelines and approval processes by the government.

In recent years, several challenges have been successfully addressed by nanotechnology. Multidrug resistance (MDR) is one of the major hurdles in cancer therapy. We discussed previously (2) that multifunctional NP-based approaches might play an important role in carrying, protecting, and delivering drugs specifically to tumors to overcome resistance issues. Recent developments suggest that NPs may also facilitate combinatorial therapy and controlled drug release (3), further contributing to overcoming MDR. Cancer diagnosis is another significant hurdle. Conventional cancer diagnosis mainly depends on a single parameter, and is significantly complicated by health conditions, drug response, and immune status. Identifying molecular, DNA, or transcriptome biomarkers that can be detected in small amounts of blood or tissue specimens would facilitate appropriate patient diagnosis. Multiplexed NPs have been developed in recent years for miRNA profiling. Many miRNAs function as oncogenes or tumor suppressors and are good candidates for profiling in terms of diagnosis. Nanotheranostic agents are multifunctional NPs that can serve both drug delivery and detection roles. We have developed NPs with dual effects in therapy and imaging or detection (10, 11). This is an area of rapid growth, and we expect an increasing number of multifunctional NPs to be developed for cancer treatment and diagnosis. Metastasis is the major cause of death in cancer patients, and there is currently no promising treatment for metastatic cancer, which has spread to multiple organs, and is sometimes undetectable. The physiologic microenvironment is particularly challenging in this setting. Nanotechnology has shown promising tumor-specific targeting in different organs and may thus be very effective in treating metastatic lesions. Personalized treatment has become a new paradigm of oncology as specific genetic variations and molecular markers have been identified as prognostic markers for certain cancers. Multifunctional NPs may provide valuable opportunities in the personalized treatment of cancer.

Together, the continued efforts of multidisciplinary teams of investigators and enthusiastic support from grant agencies will promote the rapid growth of nanotechnology. Our knowledge of the interactions between nanocomponents and the cancer microenvironment continues to expand, and it is crucial to increase this understanding and to further optimize NPs to continually improve their efficacy and toxicity profiles. The applications of nanotechnology in cancer are blossoming through multidisciplinary approaches in academic centers, pharmaceutical manufacturers, and nanotechnology companies. This is one of the fastest growing research areas in cancer, and nanotechnology-based agents, particularly multifunctional

NPs, are approaching clinical trials more rapidly. Although surgery, radiotherapy, chemotherapy, and targeted therapy are the mainstay of cancer treatment, NPs provide enormous opportunities to be added to mainstream cancer treatment.

Disclosure of Potential Conflicts of Interest

No potential conflicts of interest were disclosed.

Authors' Contributions

Conception and design: M.A. Rahman, D.M. Shin

Writing, review, and/or revision of the manuscript: M.A. Rahman, D.M. Shin

Acknowledgments

The authors thank Dr. Anthea Hammond for her critical and editorial review of this article.

Grant Support

M.A. Rahman and D.M. Shin are supported by the NCI of the NIH under award number U01CA151802.

Received July 13, 2015; revised August 3, 2015; accepted August 5, 2015; published online October 15, 2015.

References

- Davis ME, Chen ZG, Shin DM. Nanoparticle therapeutics: an emerging treatment modality for cancer. *Nat Rev Drug Discov* 2008;7:771–82.
- Cho K, Wang X, Nie S, Chen ZG, Shin DM. Therapeutic nanoparticles for drug delivery in cancer. *Clin Cancer Res* 2008;14:1310–6.
- Morton SW, Lee MJ, Deng ZJ, Dreaden EC, Siouve E, Shopsowitz KE, et al. A nanoparticle-based combination chemotherapy delivery system for enhanced tumor killing by dynamic rewiring of signaling pathways. *Sci Signal* 2014;7:ra44.
- Bertrand N, Wu J, Xu X, Kamaly N, Farokhzad OC. Cancer nanotechnology: the impact of passive and active targeting in the era of modern cancer biology. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev* 2014;66:2–25.
- Rahman MA, Amin AR, Wang X, Zuckerman JE, Choi CH, Zhou B, et al. Systemic delivery of siRNA nanoparticles targeting RRM2 suppresses head and neck tumor growth. *J Control Release* 2012;159:384–92.
- Schroeder A, Heller DA, Winslow MM, Dahlman JE, Pratt GW, Langer R, et al. Treating metastatic cancer with nanotechnology. *Nat Rev Cancer* 2012;12:39–50.
- Wicki A, Witzigmann D, Balasubramanian V, Huwyler J. Nanomedicine in cancer therapy: challenges, opportunities, and clinical applications. *J Control Release* 2015;200:138–57.
- Xu X, Xie K, Zhang XQ, Pridgen EM, Park GY, Cui DS, et al. Enhancing tumor cell response to chemotherapy through nanoparticle-mediated codelivery of siRNA and cisplatin prodrug. *Proc Natl Acad Sci U S A* 2013;110:18638–43.
- Davis ME, Zuckerman JE, Choi CH, Seligson D, Tolcher A, Alabi CA, et al. Evidence of RNAi in humans from systemically administered siRNA via targeted nanoparticles. *Nature* 2010;464:1067–70.
- Qian X, Peng XH, Ansari DO, Yin-Goen Q, Chen GZ, Shin DM, et al. In vivo tumor targeting and spectroscopic detection with surface-enhanced Raman nanoparticle tags. *Nat Biotechnol* 2008;26:83–90.
- Wang D, Fei B, Halig LV, Qin X, Hu Z, Xu H, et al. Targeted iron-oxide nanoparticle for photodynamic therapy and imaging of head and neck cancer. *ACS Nano* 2014;8:6620–32.

Clinical Cancer Research

CCR 20th Anniversary Commentary: Prospects and Challenges of Therapeutic Nanoparticles in Cancer

Mohammad Aminur Rahman and Dong M. Shin

Clin Cancer Res 2015;21:4499-4501.

Updated version Access the most recent version of this article at:
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/21/20/4499>

Cited articles This article cites 11 articles, 3 of which you can access for free at:
<http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/21/20/4499.full#ref-list-1>

E-mail alerts [Sign up to receive free email-alerts](#) related to this article or journal.

Reprints and Subscriptions To order reprints of this article or to subscribe to the journal, contact the AACR Publications Department at pubs@aacr.org.

Permissions To request permission to re-use all or part of this article, use this link <http://clincancerres.aacrjournals.org/content/21/20/4499>. Click on "Request Permissions" which will take you to the Copyright Clearance Center's (CCC) Rightslink site.