Retinoblastoma (RB) is the most common intraocular malignancy in childhood. Approximately 40% of retinoblastomas are hereditary and due to germline mutations in the RB1 gene. Children with hereditary RB are at risk for developing a midline intracranial tumor, most commonly pineoblastoma. We recommend intensive ocular screening for patients with germline RB1 mutations for retinoblastoma as well as neuro-imaging for pineoblastoma surveillance. There is an approximately 20% risk of developing second primary cancers among individuals with hereditary RB, higher among those who received radiotherapy for their primary RB tumors. However, there is not yet a clear consensus on what, if any, screening protocol would be most appropriate and effective. Neuroblastoma (NB), an embryonal tumor of the sympathetic nervous system, accounts for 15% of pediatric cancer deaths. Prior studies suggest that about 2% of patients with NB have an underlying genetic predisposition that may have contributed to the development of NB. Germline mutations in ALK and PHOX2B account for most familial NB cases. However, other cancer predisposition syndromes, such as Li–Fraumeni syndrome, RASopathies, and others, may be associated with an increased risk for NB. No established protocols for NB surveillance currently exist. Here, we describe consensus recommendations on hereditary RB and NB from the AACR Childhood Cancer Predisposition Workshop. Clin Cancer Res; 23(13); e98-e106. ©2017 AACR.
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Bilateral RB typically presents earlier than unilateral RB, with a median age of diagnosis of approximately 10 months compared with 24 months, respectively (8, 9).

Children with hereditary RB are also at risk for developing a midline intracranial primitive neuroectodermal tumor (PNET), in addition to unilateral or bilateral RB (trilateral RB). These are most commonly pineoblastomas, but tumors of the suprasellar or parasellar regions may also occur (10, 11). More than 95% of these tumors are diagnosed by 5 years of age (12, 13). Among asymptomatic pineal trilateral RB cases found by neuroimaging, 60% are diagnosed within 1 year from the intraocular RB diagnosis (11). Although previous estimates were higher, a more recent meta-analysis found a 5.3% chance of developing diagnosis (11). Although previous estimates were higher, a more recent meta-analysis found a 5.3% chance of developing
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primary cancers, potential benefits of early detection, and risks of surveillance modalities.

Genetic counseling and testing
Genetic counseling plays a critical role in the care of RB patients (41). Genetic testing provides families with recurrence risk information and allows guidance for appropriate screening recommendations of patients and siblings. Furthermore, education regarding the age-related risks of developing second primary cancers can be pursued along with discussion regarding potential surveillance programs. Counseling should also be revisited among adult RB survivors when they reach childbearing age given the very young age at which tumors are diagnosed.

Intraocular screening for carriers of pathogenic RB1 mutations
Given the high risk of tumor development among carriers of pathogenic RB1 mutations and the positive impact that early detection may have for better visual outcomes, early and intensive intraocular screening is recommended. Abramson and colleagues demonstrated significantly better ocular preservation among individuals with positive family history who underwent intensive surveillance from birth compared with those who did not receive screening (67.7% vs. 38.2% at 5 years; P < 0.001; ref. 42). In one Dutch cohort, early diagnosis and intensive screening led to almost 90% of patients with a visual acuity of 20/20 to 20/40 (43). The importance of early detection is especially important among younger infants, as the earliest RB develops at or near the fovea or macula with more significant consequences on central vision, whereas tumors diagnosed later tend to form in the peripheral retina (44). For specific intraocular screening, institutional practices as well as previously published recommendations from France, the Netherlands, New York, and Canada for individuals with known RB1 mutations were reviewed and considered (43–47). Overall, the approaches to RB screening were very similar among these different programs. Common themes emerging from these recommendations include an ophthalmic exam at or within 1 to 2 weeks of birth, usually without anesthesia, then frequent eye exams every 2 to 4 weeks until at least 3 months of age, often without anesthesia. A retrospective review demonstrating tumor detection as early as 2 weeks after an initial negative exam supports this early frequency (47). Thereafter, most of these recommendations begin exams under anesthesia (EUA) around 3 months, progressively spacing out exams thereafter. The end point of EUA screening varies but typically continues until about 5 years of age, although some have reported that screening beyond the age of 4 may not be necessary (48).

As screening for primary ocular tumors is generally performed by ophthalmologists or ocular oncologists, the expert panel, in consultation with representatives from these groups, presents here intraocular screening guidelines based on the forthcoming consensus recommendations for ophthalmic surveillance from the American Association of Ophthalmic Oncologists and Pathologists (Table 1; A.H. Skalet; personal communication). In concert with previously published guidelines, these recommendations begin with intensive surveillance from birth with progressively spaced EUAs until age 5, although more frequent surveillance may be appropriate in individual cases. Of note, these guidelines are meant for RB1 mutation carriers who have not yet developed RB. Subsequent schedules will depend on findings and ongoing treatment at the discretion of the treating provider.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1. Hereditary RB surveillance protocol</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Birth to 8 weeks</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8 weeks to 12 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12 to 24 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24 to 36 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36 to 48 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48 to 60 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5 to 7 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Surveillance for trilateral RB**
Brain MRI at the time of RB diagnosis; some centers recommend a brain MRI every 6 months until 5 years old.

**Surveillance for second primary tumors**
Education regarding second primary tumor risks and close attention to any new signs/symptoms.

Skin exam by the pediatrician during well-child visits, to continue annually by the primary care physician or dermatologist for melanoma from age 18.

Some consider WBMRI annually after age 8, but no consensus.

Abbreviation: WBMRI, whole-body MRI.

*On the basis of consensus recommendations of the American Association of Ophthalmic Oncologists and Pathologists.

Or later, when the child is able to tolerate WBMRI without anesthesia.

Some suggest continuing exams every 1 to 2 years after age 7.

Dilated eye exams are generally performed as EUAs, although some practitioners perform nonnursed exams when the child is able to remain still for full visualization of the retina. This has become especially relevant in light of the December 2016 FDA drug safety communication warning that “repeated or lengthy use of general anesthetic and sedation drugs during surgeries or procedures in children younger than 3 years may affect the development of children’s brains” (49). Although early studies in children suggest an association between repeated or prolonged exposure to anesthesia and subsequent learning disabilities, the underlying indications for surgery/anesthesia may also lead to potential confounders, and further studies are underway (50, 51). As these data continue to emerge, future guidelines may affect the frequency of EUAs among children with RB, and the recommendations as included here may evolve. For now, we continue to encourage the use of nonnursed exams when the child is able to cooperate, balancing the potential long-term risks of anesthesia with needed sensitivity for early tumor detection.

Prenatal detection of RB
The uses of maternal ultrasound (US) and fetal MRI have both been described for prenatal detection of RB in at-risk infants (52, 53). Indeed, some groups may recommend early-term delivery to minimize loss of central vision, as small lesions may not be detectable using current prenatal imaging (54, 55). However, upon review of these data and current practices, the expert panel concluded that more data are needed to better understand the risks and benefits of early delivery for vision sparing. The early age of tumor formation also highlights the importance of genetic counseling for adult RB survivors who are of childbearing age, so that an appropriate plan for testing and ophthalmic surveillance can be rapidly implemented. For fetuses that are at 50% risk of developing hereditary RB, or who are known to carry a germline RB1 mutation, some centers recommend prenatal surveillance with US and/or MRI at 34- to 38-week gestation. If a tumor is detected, early-term delivery may be considered after multidisciplinary discussion to
determine the best timing to balance the potential benefits of increased central visual sparing while minimizing risks of early delivery. If a tumor is not detected prenatally, or if prenatal surveillance is not pursued, the first ophthalmologic exam should be performed within 24 hours after birth, as current prenatal imaging modalities may not detect the smallest tumors.

Screening for trilateral RB in hereditary RB

Small pineoblastoma tumors are associated with better prognosis, suggesting a role for early detection (13). Recommendations have ranged from a single MRI at diagnosis of RB to every-6-month screening until age 5 (45, 56, 57). As above, there is a less than 2% to 5% risk of pineoblastoma among individuals with hereditary RB, although this risk may be further reduced by the use of systemic chemotherapy (12, 14–16). Therefore, we recommend a brain MRI at the time of diagnosis of RB. However, there was debate among the panel regarding whether or how often to perform repeat brain MRI. The practice among groups in the United States is to perform periodic brain MRIs every 6 months until 5 years of age (Table 1), whereas the practice among other groups in Europe is not to perform surveillance MRIs after the one at diagnosis unless the patient received previous EBRT. This remains an area of ongoing investigation.

Second malignant neoplasms among RB1 mutation carriers

The risk of second malignant neoplasms is about 20% in individuals with hereditary RB who have not received radiotherapy and substantially higher (40%–50%) in those that have been irradiated (19, 25). We, therefore, recommend consideration of second primary tumor surveillance for all carriers of pathogenic RB1 germline mutations regardless of previous radiation. No established screening protocols exist for early detection of second primary tumors in hereditary RB, although this risk may be further reduced by the use of systemic chemotherapy (12, 14–16). Therefore, we recommend a brain MRI at the time of diagnosis of RB. However, there was debate among the panel regarding whether or how often to perform repeat brain MRI. The practice among groups in the United States is to perform periodic brain MRIs every 6 months until 5 years of age (Table 1), whereas the practice among other groups in Europe is not to perform surveillance MRIs after the one at diagnosis unless the patient received previous EBRT. This remains an area of ongoing investigation.

Given the increased risks for bone and soft-tissue sarcomas, we recommend an annual physical exam, potentially through a long-term survivor clinic, as well as education about signs and symptoms. Some also recommend considering whole-body MRI (WBMRI) annually, which (if done) the expert panel felt would be most appropriate after age 8 to 10, when the child is able to tolerate the study without the risks of general anesthesia. This should be performed in the context of a prospective study when feasible, as further data are needed to understand the value of this modality in surveillance among RB survivors. The feasibility of WBMRI for this population was demonstrated by a small retrospective pilot study (61). The most effective screening strategy for second primary malignancies in RB survivors remains an area of active research.

Hereditary RB: Conclusions and Future Directions

Treatment of RB has led to a >95% long-term survival of intraocular disease where multimodality therapies are readily available. Therefore, current work focuses on the early detection of tumors, allowing clinical treatment choices that promote vision sparing, minimize toxicity, and reduce second tumor risk. Genetic testing and counseling are integral components to the care of RB patients and their families, and they can provide information on recurrence risk, the importance of early testing and detection, and screening recommendations for patients and other family members. However, for individuals with RB1 germline mutations, intraocular RB is just the beginning, and a high risk of second primary malignancies ensues over the lifetime of the individual. The need to understand the best modalities and timing of surveillance for these subsequent malignancies remains great. Further understanding of risk modifiers, advances in imaging, and international collaborative efforts to define best surveillance practices will inevitably shape the future care of individuals with hereditary RB.

Hereditary NB: Introduction

NB, an embryonal tumor of the sympathetic nervous system, accounts for 15% of pediatric cancer deaths (62). At least 1% to 2% of individuals with NB exhibit features suggestive of a hereditary predisposition, such as a family history of NB, bilateral/multifocal primary tumors, and earlier median age of diagnosis (NB susceptibility, OMIM #631041). On the basis of early studies of large kindreds with multiple cases of NB, Knudson and Strong predicted that hereditary predisposition to NB would follow an autosomal dominant pattern of inheritance with a penetrance of about 63% (63). Notably, they postulated that NB, like RB, would follow a two-hit model explaining hereditary and sporadic cases. Pedigrees show considerable heterogeneity in the type of neuroblastic tumors that arise in families with NB, because benign ganglioneuromas (GN) and malignant NBs have been reported in the same families, and some families show incomplete penetrance (64, 65). However, because of the lethality of NB before reproductive age, previous genetic linkage studies were underpowered, and results have been difficult to replicate (66–68).

In most cases of hereditary NB, no specific clinical features characterize individuals with predisposition. However, a small proportion of patients with NB have a clinically recognizable genetic syndrome that has been associated with NB. In
general, these are characterized as disorders of neural crest development and include congenital central hypoventilation syndrome (CCHS), aganglionosis of the colon (Hirschsprung disease), ROHHAD syndrome (rapid-onset obesity, hypothalamic dysfunction, hypoventilation, and autonomic dysfunction), RSOPathies such as Costello syndrome, Noonan syndrome, neurofibromatosis type 1, and epigenetic syndromes such as Beckwith–Wiedemann syndrome (BWS, including hemihypertrophy, or 11p overgrowth). Finally, NBs have been seen in patients with germline mutations in other cancer predisposition syndromes, such as Li–Fraumeni syndrome (LFS), hereditary phaeochromocytoma/paraganglioma syndrome, and others. The expert committee participating in the AACR Childhood Cancer Predisposition Workshop reviewed the literature on the genetics of NB predisposition and reached a consensus on recommended guidelines for NB surveillance in predisposed individuals.

Hereditary NB: Genes Responsible for NB Predisposition

Activating mutations in the ALK gene

The gene(s) responsible for hereditary NB remained elusive for a long time. However, in 2008, SNP-based linkage studies were undertaken that led to the identification of activating mutations in the Anaplastic Lymphoma Kinase (ALK) receptor tyrosine kinase as the major familial NB gene (69–72). Germline mutations in ALK encode for single-base substitutions in key regions of the kinase domain that result in constitutive activation of the kinase, with the R1275Q mutation most frequently observed (Table 2). These families demonstrated incomplete penetrance of developing NB, possibly due to the lack of acquisition of a second genetic event, or to spontaneous regression following malignant transformation. The overall penetrance of germline ALK mutations was estimated at about 50% across these families, although this estimate is based upon a relatively small number of families overall (69–74). Patients with germline ALK mutations do not appear to develop NB earlier than the general population. ALK is also commonly activated by somatic mutation or amplification in 8% to 10% of sporadic NBs without a germline mutation, which further supports the biological importance of ALK in NB pathogenesis (69–72).

Inactivating mutations in PHOX2B

NB can occur in association with disorders related to neural crest development, including CCHS and Hirschsprung disease (OMIM #603851; refs. 75–78). These clinical observations suggested that a gene or genes implicated in the genesis of Hirschsprung disease or CCHS may confer a predisposition to develop NB (79, 80). PHOX2B is a homeobox gene that is a master regulator of autonomic nervous system development. A very strong genotype–phenotype association exists between the type of PHOX2B variant and the clinical presentation of the child. The majority of PHOX2B mutations in CCHS insert 5 to 13 alanines in the 20 polyalanine repeat domain, and larger polyalanine expansions are associated with worse hypoventilation and with NB or related tumors, including maturing ganglioneuroblastomas (GNB) and GNs. Missense, frameshift, or truncating mutations in PHOX2B (nonpolyalanine repeat mutations, or NPRM) predispose a small subset of these patients to develop NB or related tumors (refs. 79–85; Table 2). The risk for a neural crest tumor for individuals with NPRMs is about 45%, whereas the risk for individuals with PARMs is about 1% to 2%, and essentially all of those with tumors had large PARMs (30–33 repeats; ref. 84). Larger PARMs would be detected by whole-exome sequencing, but may not be detected by gene panels. Although PHOX2B mutations are most often associated with CCHS and/or Hirschsprung disease, germline PHOX2B mutations have also been observed in some patients with NB in the absence of CCHS and/or Hirschsprung disease (86).

A rare syndrome, ROHHAD, has overlapping features with CCHS and has also been associated with the development of neuroblastic tumors, such as GNB or GN (87, 88). The absence of PHOX2B mutations in individuals with ROHHAD, despite its clinical similarity to CCHS, suggests that other genes or regulatory sequences may be associated with autonomic function and NB pathogenesis (89).

RSOPathies

In addition to ALK and PHOX2B, NB has been reported in individuals with germline mutations in genes involved in the RAS pathway (RSOPathies). This includes Costello syndrome (HRAS gene), Noonan syndrome (PTPN11, SOS1, KRAS, NRAS, RAF1, BRAF, MEK1, and RIT1 genes), neurofibromatosis type 1 (NF1), and others (90–96). Indeed, homozygous inactivation of the NF1 gene has been described in primary NBs (97, 98), although the overall prevalence of NF1 mutations in NBs is very low. Given the relative prevalence of NF1 and NB, the reported cases with both diagnoses may be attributed to fortuitous coincidence, so risks for NB may not be increased sufficiently in individuals with NF1 to warrant surveillance (98). However, the frequency of NB may be sufficiently high in individuals with Costello syndrome and perhaps some forms of Noonan syndrome to warrant surveillance. It should be noted that patients with Costello syndrome may have elevated urinary catecholamine metabolites without a catecholamine-secreting tumor, which is relevant for the recommended NB surveillance protocol (see below; ref. 92).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Mutation/alteration</th>
<th>Domain</th>
<th>Reference(s)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ALK</td>
<td>R1275Q</td>
<td>Kinase</td>
<td>69–73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>L204F</td>
<td>Kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R192P</td>
<td>Kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II83T</td>
<td>Kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>TI151R</td>
<td>Kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G1128A</td>
<td>Kinase</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>676delG</td>
<td>NPARM</td>
<td>79, 80, 83, 85, 86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PHOX2B</td>
<td>R100L</td>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R141G</td>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>G197D</td>
<td>NPRM</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>676delG</td>
<td>PARM-28–33</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>R337H (other?)</td>
<td>NLS domain-1</td>
<td>102</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CJKNC</td>
<td>Inactivating</td>
<td>Nonfocal</td>
<td>103, 104</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Activating</td>
<td>Hotspots</td>
<td>90–96</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: NLS, nuclear localization sequence; NPARM, nonpolyalanine repeat mutation; PARM, polyalanine repeat expansion mutation.

aOther genes have been implicated in NB predisposition, including GALNT14 and SDHB, but the data on prevalence and relative risk are limited at this time.

Costello syndrome is caused by mutations in HRAS, and Noonan syndrome is caused by mutations in PTPN11, SOS1, KRAS, NRAS, RAF1, BRAF, MEK1, and RIT1 genes. Costello syndrome is the only RSOPathy that warrants NB screening at the present time. However, patients with Costello syndrome may have elevated catecholamine metabolites, so only very high levels or significantly rising levels would warrant further evaluation for NB (92).
LFS and other cancer predisposition syndromes

Several cases of NB have been reported in patients with LFS and germline mutations of TP53, especially the R337H mutation (refs. 100–102; Table 2), although NB is not generally considered a classic LFS tumor. In addition, patients with BWS due to mutations in CDKN1C have a 2% to 5% risk of developing NB (103, 104), which is sufficiently high to warrant NB screening in these patients. Furthermore, several large studies looking broadly at somatic and germline mutations in children with cancer identified germline mutations in several other genes, including SDHB, APC, BRCA1, and BRCA2, in children with NB (105–107). At present, the prevalence of NB in children harboring germline mutations in these other genes is unclear, but emerging data on individual genetic associations may result in consideration of NB screening among these populations in the future. Finally, GALNT14 gene mutations have been associated with NB predisposition in three individuals from two families (108). A aberrant function of galactosaminyltransferases has been associated with tumor aggressiveness in various cancers, suggesting that GALNT14 may be involved in NB predisposition or pathogenesis.

In summary, mutations in ALK and PHOX2B account for the majority of familial NB, and some additional cases may be associated with germline mutations in RAS pathway and other known cancer predisposition genes (e.g., TP53 and CDKN1C). Nevertheless, the hereditary basis and molecular pathogenesis of NB are incompletely understood, and the causal gene in a small subset of families with NB (~15%) remains unknown. Although the monogenic basis of ALK and PHOX2B hereditary NB is driven by highly penetrant mutations in single genes, these mutations act in the context of a haplotypic background, and the key modifiers of phenotype and penetrance have not been defined (73). Genetic testing for mutations in genes known to be associated with NB predisposition should be considered in any individual diagnosed with NB who has a family history of NB, features suggesting predisposition such as bilateral or multifocal primary tumors, or clinical features associated with these other predisposition genes. For individuals with NB who have either a strong family history of NB among first-degree relatives, or clear bilateral, multifocal, or metachronous primary NB, we also recommend NB surveillance even in the absence of an identifiable germline mutation.

Hereditary NB: Recommended NB Surveillance Protocol

Individuals with highly penetrant, heritable ALK or PHOX2B (NPARM) mutations have a significant risk (45%–50%) to develop one or more tumors, especially in infancy and childhood. In addition, we recommend NB surveillance for individuals with the following disorders: (i) LFS and germline TP53-R337H mutations; (ii) BWS with germline CDKN1C mutations; (iii) Costello syndrome with HRAS mutations; and (iv) those with NB and a strong family history of NB, or clearly bilateral/multifocal NB. The age at diagnosis does not appear to be different in these syndromes, although the data addressing this issue are limited. NBs can be present at birth, so screening should be started as soon as a germline predisposition mutation is identified. The median age at diagnosis for NBs that present clinically is approximately 20 months based on a series of 3,666 cases reported by the Children’s Oncology Group (109). In this cohort, 80% were diagnosed by age 6 and 98% were diagnosed by 10 years of age. Therefore, we recommend screening more frequently up to age 6 years, less frequently from ages 6 to 10, and then stop.

Table 3. Recommended surveillance for individuals with NB predisposition...

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Age</th>
<th>Surveillance studies</th>
<th>Frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0–6 yr</td>
<td>Abdominal US, Urine VMA and HVA, CXR</td>
<td>Every 3 mo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6–10 yr</td>
<td>Abdominal US, Urine VMA and HVA, CXR</td>
<td>Every 6 mo.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&gt;10 yr</td>
<td>No screening recommended</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: CXR, chest radiograph [postanterior (PA) and lateral]; mo., months; yr., years.

No surveillance protocol for individuals with NB predisposition has been proposed for early detection of tumors, which would likely improve their prognosis. In Japan, North America, and Europe, mass NB screening of normal infants by measuring urinary catecholamine metabolites (110–113) was shown to be effective for detecting NBs before they produced clinical symptoms. This resulted in a dramatically increased incidence of NB in the screened population, without an expected decrease in NBs in patients over 1 year of age. This suggested that mass screening is not appropriate for infants at average risk. However, this is a simple technique that can be used to detect tumors early and should be useful in the high-risk population of children with hereditary NB. The majority of NBs arise in the abdomen, especially the adrenal glands, so diagnostic imaging using US should be an effective approach for early detection of tumors before they cause clinical symptoms, especially for nonsecreting tumors. However, about 20% of primary NBs arise in the chest, so a simple screening test of the chest is warranted.

A surveillance protocol for patients with LFS and germline TP53 mutations resulted in a substantially improved outcome compared with patients with clinically detected tumors, demonstrating the value of cancer surveillance (114, 115). However, no such protocol exists for individuals with NB predisposition. Therefore, we recommend that the following testing be done for individuals who are genetically at increased risk to develop NB, as described above: (i) an abdominal US; (ii) quantitative, normalized assessment of urinary catecholamine metabolites [such as vanillylmandelic acid (VMA) and homovanillic acid (HVA)] by gas chromatography and mass spectroscopy (GC-MS); and (iii) a chest radiograph as NB surveillance tests. This should begin at birth or at diagnosis of NB predisposition and be continued every 3 months until 6 years of age, and then continued every 6 months until 10 years of age (and then stop; Table 3). A 24-hour urine collection is not necessary, as a random urine normalized to urine creatinine is sufficient (116). It should be noted that patients with Costello syndrome can have elevated urinary VMA and HVA in the absence of a catecholamine-secreting tumor (92), so only very high levels or significantly rising levels would prompt further investigation beyond the abdominal US and chest radiograph (CXR). The cost and radiation exposure of a periodic chest radiograph are small, but there was some concern among the expert panel about the sensitivity of chest radiography to detect small tumors, so this will need to be evaluated prospectively. Nevertheless, we recommend periodic CXR, as above, except for patients with LFS, in whom even low levels of radiation exposure should be avoided when possible.

Hereditary NB: Conclusions and Future Directions

For individuals with a mutation in a known NB predisposition gene, we recommend biochemical and radiographic surveillance
for early detection of tumors in the first 10 years of life, avoiding the need for general anesthesia and minimizing radiation exposure. Given that the predisposition genes described above do not account for all the families with hereditary NB, or all the cases of bilateral/multifocal or metachronous primary NBs, additional genes are likely to be identified (117). It is unclear whether individuals with other TP53 mutations besides R337H are at increased risk for NB, but that should become clear over time. Similarly, in addition to Costello syndrome, individuals with other RASopathies may also be at significantly increased risk for NB. Finally, it is unclear currently if individuals with germline mutations in SDHB, GALNT14, or other predisposition genes would benefit from NB screening. For individuals with germline ALK or PHOX2B mutations, it is unclear if these genetic changes confer an increased risk of other tumors in childhood or adulthood, but germline ALK mutations may account for some cases of NB in adults (69–72). As we learn more about genotype–phenotype correlations, modifiers of penetrance, and life-long risk for NB and potentially for other cancers, we may advocate for modifications of the surveillance recommendations presented here.
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