KRAS Mutation and Consensus Molecular Subtypes 2 and 3 Are Independently Associated with Reduced Immune Infiltration and Reactivity in Colorectal Cancer
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Abstract

Purpose: KRAS mutation is a common canonical mutation in colorectal cancer, found at differing frequencies in all consensus molecular subtypes (CMS). The independent immunobiological impacts of RAS mutation and CMS are unknown. Thus, we explored the immunobiological effects of KRAS mutation across the CMS spectrum.

Experimental Design: Expression analysis of immune genes/signatures was performed using The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) RNA-seq and the KFSYSCC microarray datasets. Multivariate analysis included KRAS status, CMS, tumor location, MSI status, and neoantigen load. Protein expression of STAT1, HLA-class II, and CXCL10 was analyzed by digital IHC.

Results: The Th1-centric co-ordinate immune response cluster (CIRC) was significantly, albeit modestly, reduced in KRAS-mutant colorectal cancer in both datasets. Cytotoxic T cells, neutrophils, and the IFNγ pathway were suppressed in KRAS-mutant samples. The expressions of STAT1 and CXCL10 were reduced at the mRNA and protein levels. In multivariate analysis, KRAS mutation, CMS2, and CMS3 were independently predictive of reduced CIRC expression. Immune response was heterogeneous across KRAS-mutant colorectal cancer: KRAS-mutant CMS2 samples have the lowest CIRC expression, reduced expression of the IFNγ pathway, STAT1 and CXCL10, and reduced infiltration of cytotoxic cells and neutrophils relative to CMS1 and CMS4 and to KRAS wild-type CMS2 samples in the TCGA. These trends held in the KFSYSCC dataset.

Conclusions: KRAS mutation is associated with suppressed Th1/cytotoxic immunity in colorectal cancer, the extent of the effect being modulated by CMS subtype. These results add a novel immunobiological dimension to the biological heterogeneity of colorectal cancer. Clin Cancer Res; 24(1); 224–33. ©2017 AACR.

Introduction

Galon and colleagues first demonstrated the positive prognostic impact of tumor-infiltrating lymphocytes (TIL) in colorectal cancer (1). The strength of Th type 1 (Th1) adaptive immunity was shown to be a strong prognostic factor. Th1 cells have an essential role in initiating and maintaining an effective CD8+ cytotoxic T-cell response (2–4), in the recruitment of CD8+ cells to the tumor bed (5) and in directly mediating immunologic tumor cell death (6). Th1 cells recognize antigen in association with MHC-II molecules. They secrete the inflammatory cytokine IFNγ, which provokes class II upregulation on tumor cells. The majority of immunogenic neoepitopes are class II restricted (7). Tumor cells evade cytotoxic immune responses by expressing the programmed-death-ligand 1 (PD-L1) that activates the PD-1 negative feedback pathway (8). This checkpoint may be inhibited using anti-PD-1 or anti-PD-L1 antibodies that block interactions between the PD-1 receptor and its ligand PD-L1. However, the strategy has only been efficacious in microsatellite unstable (MSI-high) colorectal cancer (9), that is, those having a high neoantigen burden that can stimulate microenvironmental immunological reactivity (10). Class II expression on cancer cells is clearly important in the efficacy of checkpoint blockade. Indeed, cancer cell MHC-II–negative melanoma patients have lower response rates, PFS, and OS when treated with PD-1/PD-L1 blockade relative to class II-positive patients (11). Furthermore, in vitro PD-L1 blockade enhances Th1-mediated cytotoxicity only against cells that express high class II (12). Hence, an effective immune response is critically dependent on neoantigen presentation by MHC-II molecules.

The upregulation of MHC-II molecules via the IFNγ pathway is dependent on the STAT1 and CIITA proteins: extracellular IFNγ induces and activates STAT1, which activates transcription of CIITA. CIITA is the master transcriptional activator of MHC-II
molecules. STAT1-deficient cells show no induction of CIITA mRNA despite IFNγ stimulation (13) and STAT1-deficient cancer cells progress rapidly due to the evasion of adaptive immunity (14). Class I-positive but class II-negative mammary adenocarcinoma cells grew rapidly in immunocompetent mice, but were rejected when these cells were transfected with CIITA. Rejection correlated with induction of class II expression and was mediated by both CD4+ and CD8+ cells. STAT1 deficiency also severely impairs the induction of CXCL10, another STAT1 target gene. CXCL10 maintains the Th1 phenotype (15), and the decreased accumulation of Th1 cells in STAT1-deficient mice is related to reduced levels of CXCL10 (16).

KRAS mutation is the commonest canonical gain-of-function mutation in colorectal cancer, and earlier functional studies clearly demonstrated that mutant RAS reduces both STAT1 and class II expression. Using different cell line models (including HCT116 and clones thereof with deleted mutant KRAS, and intestinal epithelial cells with inducible mutant RAS), Klampfer and colleagues demonstrated that mutant RAS downregulates both constitutive and IFNγ-inducible STAT1 mRNA and protein and reduces STAT1 transcriptional activity and the expression of many IFNγ target genes, including class II (17, 18). Maudsley and colleagues showed that mutant KRAS resulted in loss of class II inducibility upon IFNγ treatment (without inhibiting class I expression), significantly reduced the ability of these cells to stimulate allogeneic T cells, and reduced the IFNγ secretion of the costimulated cells (19). They suggested that this RAS-mediated class II downregulation interrupted an amplification loop whereby Th1 cells are stimulated to produce IFNγ that would then stimulate further cancer cell class II expression.

These isolated cell line experiments suggest a role for STAT1 and its target genes in RAS-mutant colorectal cancer, but fail to replicate the complexities of the intact tumoral microenvironment. Hence, guided by these preclinical studies, we asked whether RAS-mutant colorectal cancer was associated with reduced expression of STAT1, CIITA, and CXCL10, as well as that of a number of associated signatures of immune reactivity, in human colorectal cancer tumor tissues. We have previously demonstrated using transcriptional analysis of bulk tumors that RAS-mutant colorectal cancer is associated with lower expression of a Th1-centric immune metagene that we termed the Co-ordinate Immune Response Cluster (CIRC; ref. 20). This metagene includes STAT1, CXCL10, nine separate class II genes, and the Th1 transcription factor T-bet (TBX21). We have also previously described a second immunological stratifier, the colorectal cancer “consensus molecular subtypes” (CMS; ref. 21). These subtypes include a "mesenchymal" group (CMS4) that is enriched for MSS tumors and yet is characterized by appreciable immune infiltration, intermediate between that of the MSI-enriched subtype (CMS1) and of the "canonical" (CMS2) and "metabolic" (CMS3) subtypes. RAS mutations occur in all of these CMS subtypes (albeit with differing proportions), and thus, RAS mutations in colorectal cancer occur in different transcriptional contexts with heterogeneous biology. In particular, RAS mutations are present in both mismatch repair–deficient and proficient cancers. To determine whether these two stratifiers are independent, we dissected the various innate and adaptive immune components of the CIRC in the context of CMS and RAS mutation status using transcriptional analysis of two large independent datasets and digital IHC analysis of compartment-specific protein expression.

We demonstrate that CMS is more strongly associated with reduced antitumor immunity in colorectal cancer than RAS mutation, with both CMS2 and CMS3 being immunosuppressed relative to CMS1 and CMS4. Nevertheless, we find that the modest RAS mutation association is significant and independent of expression subtype. The cumulative effect on immunity is dependent upon the CMS context of RAS mutation, with RAS-mutant CMS2 being particularly immunosuppressed.

Materials and Methods

CMS analysis

Statistical analyses of The Cancer Genome Atlas (TCGA) and KSSYSCC expression data were performed in R (https://www.r-project.org/). To summarize the expression of a gene set [i.e., CIRC, immune subpopulations (22), and Hallmark gene sets (23)], we condensed the expression of the multiple genes in the set into a single gene set enrichment value using gene set variation analysis (24). Two-tailed nonparametric Wilcoxon rank sum tests, two-tailed t tests, two-tailed Fisher tests, and one-tailed F tests were applied, as indicated. Relative enrichments or expression between two populations are summarized by the Hodges–Lehmann estimator of the difference between those populations, for example, the median of all pairwise differences between CIRC enrichment in a KRAS WT sample and a KRAS MT sample. Ninety-five percent confidence intervals in this estimator were calculated using the method of Bauer (25). Multivariate analyses were performed using the forestmodel R package, with linear model CIRC ~ KRAS + CMS + site + status + neoantigens and where CIRC is the gene set enrichment for the immune signature, site indicates tumor location as left, right, or rectum, KRAS indicates mutation status WT or MT, CMS indicates subtype, status indicates MSI or MSS, and neoantigens is a continuous value indicating the (log-transformed) number of neoantigens. To assess potential synergy between the main effects corresponding to CMS subtype (CMS) and KRAS mutation status (KRAS), we used ANOVA to compare
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Understanding how mutational and transcriptional differences mold the immune contexture in cancer is key to accurate immunobiological stratification. We analyze how KRAS mutation shapes the immune microenvironment of colorectal cancer in the context of the consensus molecular subtypes (CMS). We show that KRAS mutation is associated with modest suppression of Th1 cell and cytotoxic cell immunity independently of mismatch repair status, tumor location, neoantigen load, and transcriptional subtype, but also show that the cumulative effect is dependent upon the CMS in which the mutation is found. Immunity in KRAS-mutant CMS2 is more suppressed than CMS1 and CMS4 as well as in comparison with KRAS wild-type CMS2. Our findings refine stratification factors for immunotherapy trial entry in colorectal cancer and suggest potential immunotherapeutic strategies to test in KRAS-mutant patients. Variation in the immune status of RAS-mutant colorectal cancer according to its transcriptional context might underlie part of the heterogeneity of response to molecularly stratified medicines.
linear models with and without the interaction effect (CMS: KRAS), i.e., CIRC ~ CMS + KRAS versus CIRC ~ CMS + KRAS + CMS:KRAS. Samples that did not correspond to one of the four CMS groups (i.e., "unlabeled") were excluded from any analysis that include CMS. Expression datasets, as well as clinical annotations, CMS labels, neoantigen predictions (obtained from The Cancer Immune Atlas; ref. 26), and gene set definitions, are available on the Synapse data commons platform (ref. 27 and https://www.synapse.org) under Synapse ID syn8533552. Source code to perform all genomic analyses and to generate the respective figures is available at https://github.com/Sage-Bionetworks/crc-cms-kras. Additional detail is provided in Supplementary Methods.

IHC analysis

Samples for IHC from patients undergoing resection of primary colorectal cancer were obtained from the completed CRUK Stratified Medicine Programme One pilot study and colorectal cancer patients from the Queen Elizabeth Hospital (Birmingham, United Kingdom). Samples were collected under ethical approval HBRC 14-205 (Sponsor: University of Birmingham). All patients had provided informed written consent for the use of their tissue, and studies were conducted in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. The cohort comprised 28 RAS G12D/G13D mutants (24.3%), 38 RAS non-G12D/G13D mutants (33.0%), and 49 RAS wild types (42.6%) for a total of 115. Suitable formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded (FFPE) blocks were retrieved and processed at the HBRC biobank, University of Birmingham. Microsatellite status was assessed by extracting total DNA from FFPE tumor scrolls by fragment analysis (Supplementary Methods). Seven tumors (6.0%) were MSI-high, of which 3 were RAS mutant.

IHC was performed using a Leica BOND-MAX autostainer. For STAT1, an antibody that had undergone robust validation was selected (Cell Signaling Technology clone D1K9Y). For class II HLA (Abcam clone CR3/43) and CXCL10 (Novus Biologicals clone 6D4), in-house validation was performed as described in Supplementary Methods.

Staining conditions and concentrations were iteratively optimized in conjunction with a histopathologist (P. Tanier): STAT1: 1:500, 20-minute incubation, class II HLA: 1:100, 20 minutes, CXCL10: 1:50, 20 minutes. Slides were scanned at ×40 magnification using a Leica SCN400 slide scanner and digitally analyzed using Definiens Tissue Studio software. Analysis algorithms were created and optimized for each marker. Regions of interest were created in the tumor regions of each slide. All tumors were digitally segmented into tumor epithelium and stroma regions using trained segmentation algorithms (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B). Depending on the marker, staining was quantified on a per cell basis or on an area basis (Supplementary Fig. S1C and S1D). Percentages of cells or pixels with high, medium, low, or no immunoreactivity were quantified in each region. This produced either histologic scores for cell-based scoring, or percentile scores for pixel-based scoring, which are functions of the number and intensity of immunoreactive cells or pixels in the scanned specimens respectively. 

Results

Immune subpopulations are suppressed in KRAS MT colorectal cancer

In our previous work, we demonstrated that RAS-mutant colorectal cancer had lower expression of the CIRC, a metagene that integrates 28 genes involved in innate and adaptive immunity [20]. The CIRC was defined using 195 microarray colorectal cancer samples, of which 190 have also been subjected to RNA sequencing (RNA-seq) as part of an extended TCGA study. We analyzed this full dataset (n = 344) to validate our original findings on the orthogonal RNA-seq platform: consistent with those previous results, the analysis showed a significant reduction in the expression of the CIRC metagene in KRAS mutant (MT) relative to wild type (WT) samples (Supplementary Fig. S3A; two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum P = 2.4 × 10−3). We additionally validated these results in the independent KFSYSCC (29) dataset (n = 290) of fresh-frozen colorectal cancer samples (Supplementary Fig. S3B; two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum P = 4.4 × 10−3).

The CIRC signature was previously defined by performing an unsupervised hierarchical clustering of TCGA patients based on 61 highly curated, immune response–related genes. The genes comprising the signature were selected on the basis of their strong coordinated regulation across patient subgroups [20]. The CIRC is enriched for Th1-associated genes, as well as genes encoding chemokines, adhesion molecules, MHC class II molecules, and immune checkpoints. Therefore, to dissect the specific immune subpopulations differentially recruited to KRAS MT tumors, we examined the effect of KRAS mutation on expression of each of seven immune cell types [neutrophils, and immature dendritic (IDC), B, T, Th1, Th2, and cytotoxic cells (22)]. Despite having few genes in common (Supplementary Fig. S4), all immune subpopulations except Th2 cells were highly correlated with the CIRC in both datasets (Pearson correlation r ≥ 0.42; P ≤ 6.4 × 10−14, Supplementary Fig. S5). Cytotoxic (r ≥ 0.85; P ≤ 4.3 × 10−36), T (r ≥ 0.73; P ≤ 2.7 × 10−50), and, as expected, Th1 (r ≥ 0.71; P ≤ 3.2 × 10−45) cells were most highly correlated with the CIRC in both datasets. KRAS mutation is associated with reduced cytotoxic cell (Fig. 1A; TCGA: two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum P = 0.04; KFSYSCC: P = 0.02) and neutrophil (TCGA: P = 9.7 × 10−3; KFSYSCC: P = 5.3 × 10−4) infiltration. Th1 cells themselves
consistently trend toward reduced infiltration in KRAS MT colorectal cancer (TCGA: $P = 0.09$; KFSYSCC: $P = 0.13$). To further characterize biological differences between KRAS MT and WT colorectal cancer, we compared the differences in expression of all 50 Hallmark gene sets (23). This revealed downregulation of multiple immune-related pathways within KRAS MT tumors across both datasets (Fig. 1B). In particular, we observed suppression of the IFN$\gamma$ pathway in KRAS MT colorectal cancer in both datasets.

STAT1 and CXCL10 are downregulated in KRAS MT colorectal cancer

Given the disruption of the IFN$\gamma$ pathway in KRAS MT colorectal cancer, we hypothesized that downstream genes would also be affected in these tumors. To test this, we examined the expression of the key IFN$\gamma$ response gene, STAT1, at the mRNA level and at the protein level using digital IHC (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2E). We found that STAT1 mRNA expression was downregulated in KRAS MT colorectal cancer in both datasets (Supplementary Fig. S6). By performing IHC and then digitally segmenting tumors into epithelium, stromal, and background regions (Supplementary Fig. S1A and S1B), we found that the STAT1 protein was also downregulated in the epithelial compartment across a series of whole mount sections taken from 115 patients with primary colorectal cancer (RAS G12D/G13D MT $n = 28$, RAS non-G12D/G13D MT $n = 38$, RAS WT $n = 49$): STAT1 expression was reduced by RAS mutation whether samples were analyzed by H-scores ($P = 0.016$) or according to percentage of positive staining for STAT1 ($\chi^2 p = 0.033$; Table 1).

**Table 1.** IHC analysis

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Epithelium</th>
<th>Stroma</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RAS MT</td>
<td>RAS WT</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>STAT1</td>
<td>Median H-score</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% H-score &lt; 100</td>
<td>32.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% H-score &gt; 200</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CXCL10</td>
<td>Median H-score</td>
<td>93.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% H-score &lt; 100</td>
<td>58.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% H-score &gt; 200</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class II HLA</td>
<td>Median percentile score</td>
<td>125.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Negative (0%–5%)</td>
<td>50.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Positive (5%–50%)</td>
<td>42.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>% Strong (&gt;50%)</td>
<td>6.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**NOTE:** Median histologic scores or percentile scores in epithelial and stromal regions. STAT1 and PD-L1 reactivity are represented by histologic scores. Class II HLA reactivity is represented by percentile scores. For median H and percentile scores, $P$ values are derived with Mann–Whitney U test. For all other comparisons, $P$ values are derived with $\chi^2$ test.
We next asked whether STAT1 target molecules, CXCL10 and CIITA, were also dysregulated in KRAS MT tumors. We found that CXCL10 was strongly downregulated in both datasets (Supplementary Fig. S6). This downregulation was confirmed at the protein level, with significantly more MT samples having H-scores <100 ($\chi^2 P = 0.04$) and significantly more WT samples having H-scores >200 ($\chi^2 P = 0.03$; Table 1). We also found that CIITA was downregulated in KRAS MT samples in the TCGA dataset (Supplementary Fig. S6). Although there was no such evidence for dysregulation of the mRNA in the KFSYSCC dataset (Supplementary Fig. S6), CIITA expression was generally low in this dataset (median CIITA expression below the fifth percentile). At the protein level, around 50% of both RAS MT and RAS WT colorectal cancer samples were completely negative for class II expression by IHC and only 6.4% RAS MT tumors had >50% class II-positive cells (Supplementary Figs. S1C and S1D and S2F–S2H; Table 1). When class II protein expression was analyzed in the cancer samples that had detectable expression of class II (i.e., excluding the class II negative cases in which transcriptional silencing of CIITA would prevent IFNγ inducibility via STAT1; refs. 30, 31), we found that RAS mutation was associated with reduced class II expression by IHC and only 6.4% RAS MT tumors had >50% class II-positive cells (Supplementary Figs. S1C and S1D and S2F–S2H; Table 1). When class II protein expression was analyzed in the cancer samples that had detectable expression of class II (i.e., excluding the class II negative cases in which transcriptional silencing of CIITA would prevent IFNγ inducibility via STAT1; refs. 30, 31), we found that RAS mutation was associated with reduced class II expression by IHC and only 6.4% RAS MT tumors had >50% class II-positive cells (Supplementary Figs. S1C and S1D and S2F–S2H; Table 1).

Reduced immune infiltration is independently associated with KRAS mutation and CMS subtype

Immune response in colorectal cancer has been reported to be suppressed in CMS2 (21). Hence, we hypothesized that the CIRC and other measures of immunity would be lowest in KRAS MT CMS2 tumors. We first confirmed that the CIRC was strongly suppressed in CMS2 relative to CMS1 and CMS4 in both the TCGA (Supplementary Fig. S7A; CMS2 vs. CMS1: two-tailed Wilcoxon rank sum $P = 1.2 \times 10^{-18}$; CMS2 vs. CMS4: $P = 5.5 \times 10^{-13}$) and KFSYSCC (Supplementary Fig. S7B; CMS2 vs. CMS1: $P = 1.1 \times 10^{-7}$; CMS2 vs. CMS4: $P = 9.0 \times 10^{-8}$) datasets. As expected, KRAS MT CMS2 samples had the lowest CIRC expression among all genotype × CMS subtype combinations in the TCGA dataset (Fig. 2A). These results were independently validated in the KFSYSCC dataset (Fig. 2B), although the consistent trends in relation to CMS3 did not reach significance.

To determine whether KRAS mutation status and CMS classification are significantly and independently associated with immune infiltration, we performed a multivariate analysis of CIRC expression that included as parameters KRAS mutation status, CMS classification, primary tumor location, and, in the TCGA dataset where they were available, MSI status and neoantigen load. The analysis showed that KRAS MT and CMS2 (relative to CMS1 and CMS4) were independently predictive of reduced CIRC expression in the TCGA (Fig. 3A) and KFSYSCC (Fig. 3B) datasets. We next assessed whether KRAS mutation might have a CMS subtype-dependent effect. However, there was no evidence for a KRAS × CMS interaction in either dataset (TCGA: F test $P = 0.15$; KFSYSCC: $P = 0.67$). Finally, to delineate potential differential infiltration of specific subpopulations associated with KRAS MT CMS2 tumors, we examined the immune subpopulations most strongly associated with KRAS status (Fig. 1A) in the additional context of molecular subtype. We found that KRAS MT CMS2 tumors had reduced infiltration of cytotoxic cells relative to all other patient groups in the TCGA dataset (Fig. 4A), with a similar trend in the KFSYSCC dataset (Fig. 4B). KRAS MT CMS2 tumors also showed reduced infiltration of neutrophils and Th1 cells in both datasets relative to CMS1 and CMS4 patients, but not necessarily to KRAS WT CMS2 or (KRAS MT or WT) CMS3 patients.

Taken together, our results indicate that there is considerable heterogeneity within CMS subtypes, even when controlling for MSI status, and that this may be further dissected using KRAS
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CIRC expression is reduced in KRAS-mutant CMS2 tumors. Expression of CIRC versus CMS subtype and KRAS mutation status in TCGA (A; $n = 316$) or KFSYSCC (B; $n = 258$) datasets. n.s., not significant; *, $P < 0.05$; **, $P < 0.01$; ***, $P < 0.001$; ****, $P < 0.0001$; MT, mutation; WT, wild type.
Immunological Impact of RAS Mutation and CMS Subtype in CRC

Figure 3.
CMS subtype and KRAS mutation are independently predictive of CIRC expression. Multivariate analysis performed across TCGA (A, n = 310) or KFSYSCC (B, n = 258) datasets.

mutation status. Although the data could not unambiguously resolve whether KRAS mutation has an effect specific to CMS2, the two factors are independently significant, that is, the level of immune infiltration and its characterization across immune cell subpopulations cannot be inferred without knowledge of both factors. The cumulative effect is such that KRAS MT CMS2 samples have reduced immune infiltration (of cytotoxic cells, neutrophils, and Th1 cells, as well as measured by the CIRC) relative to CMS1 or CMS4 samples harboring either MT or WT KRAS.

IFNγ pathway suppression is associated with both KRAS mutation and CMS subtype

To determine whether immune pathways downregulated in KRAS MT tumors (Fig. 1B) were additionally suppressed in CMS2 colorectal cancer, we evaluated the expression of these signatures in the context of KRAS mutation status and molecular classification. In the TCGA dataset, we found that KRAS MT CMS2 tumors exhibited reduced expression of all examined immune signatures (IFNγ, inflammatory response, IL6/JAK/STAT3 signaling, complement, and IFNα/β) relative to all patient groups (although the trend did not reach significance in relation to KRAS WT CMS2 when examining the IFNα/β pathway; Fig. 4C). These trends held in the KFSYSCC data set (Fig. 4D). In particular, KRAS MT CMS2 tumors showed significantly reduced expression of the IFNγ pathway relative to all other patient groups in both datasets, except relative to KRAS WT CMS2 in the KFSYSCC dataset, which nevertheless exhibited the same trend (P = 0.05).

Finally, we examined the IFNγ target gene STAT1, as well as its downstream targets, CXCL10 and CIITA, to determine whether the previously observed association between the reduced expression of these three genes and KRAS mutation was independent of molecular subtype. First, we observed that, within CMS2, KRAS MT samples had lower expression of each of the genes relative to WT samples in both the TCGA (P < 0.02) and KFSYSCC (P < 5.8 × 10⁻⁴) datasets, with the exception of CIITA in the KFSYSCC dataset, as expected from its low expression in this dataset (Supplementary Fig. S8). Second, we performed multivariate analyses for all three genes in both data-sets, excluding CIITA in the KFSYSCC dataset, which generally indicated that both KRAS mutation and CMS2 (relative to CMS1 and CMS4) were significantly and independently associated with reduced expression of the three genes. Specifically, KRAS mutation was significantly (P < 1.1 × 10⁻⁴) or marginally (P = 0.05 for STAT1 in the TCGA dataset) associated with reduced gene expression, while CMS2 was associated with reduced gene expression relative to CMS1 (P < 3.1 × 10⁻⁴) and to CMS4 (P < 1.2 × 10⁻³), except for STAT1 in the KFSYSCC dataset, where P = 0.17.

Discussion

We have previously shown that KRAS mutation is associated with reduced expression of the CIRC metagene, which summarizes 28 genes associated with innate and adaptive immunity. Here, we extend these earlier findings to: (i) explicitly characterize the nature of the suppressed immune infiltration, showing that KRAS MT tumors have reduced infiltration of cytotoxic cells and neutrophils (Fig. 1A); (ii) demonstrate that the IFNγ pathway is suppressed in KRAS MT tumors (Fig. 1B); (iii) demonstrate that KRAS mutation is associated with downregulation of STAT1 and CXCL10 at the mRNA (Supplementary Fig. S6) and protein (Table 1) levels; (iv) show that KRAS MT–associated immunosuppression is independent of CMS classification (Fig. 3; Supplementary Fig. S8); and (v) show that KRAS MT CMS2 colorectal cancer is significantly immunosuppressed relative to (KRAS MT or WT) CMS1 and CMS4 cancers and, based on several signatures in
at least one of the two datasets, relative to KRAS WT CMS2 colorectal cancer as well (Figs. 2 and 4).

The KRAS MT–associated downregulation of the IFNγ pathway and reduced infiltration of cytotoxic T cells [i.e., those with properties common to CD8⁺ T, Tc, and natural killer (NK) cells] and neutrophils indicate that the immunosuppressive impact of KRAS mutation that we previously observed is robust, if modest. Recent data demonstrate the interconnectedness of CD8⁺ T cells and neutrophils with the IFNγ pathway in CRC (32): addition of neutrophils to CD8⁺ T cells (activated via suboptimal concentrations of anti-CD3 and anti-CD28 antibodies) led to increased IFNγ release and T-cell proliferation. In turn, activated CD8⁺ cells enhanced neutrophil viability. Furthermore, activated neutrophils colocalize with immature DCs, leading to their maturation (33). The resulting DCs drive T-cell proliferation and Th1 skewing.

Preclinically, RAS mutation has been shown to reduce the levels of STAT1 (17, 18). Consistent with these findings, we demonstrated that RAS MT cancers are associated with significantly lower STAT1 within the context of the tumor microenvironment. The preclinical data also showed that RAS mutation reduced STAT1-dependent transcriptional activity (17); indeed, we detected reduced expression of the STAT1 target CXCL10 at the RNA and protein levels in KRAS MT relative to WT samples. KRAS mutation may additionally downregulate CXCL10 via its activation of MEK–ERK signaling, which we observed in both datasets using a previously published (34) five-gene MEK signature (data not shown). We observed that KRAS MT reduced expression of a second STAT1 target, CIITA, in the TCGA dataset. No such trend was detected in the KFSYSCC dataset. However, CIITA expression was suppressed in this dataset, which would likely mask any KRAS MT–mediated STAT1 impact. Transcriptional repression of CIITA is seen in a proportion of colorectal cancer samples (30) as is the complete failure of IFNγ to induce class II expression in half of primary colorectal cancer cells (31). Both of these effects are RAS independent. To control for CIITA silencing (and thus lack of class II inducibility), we analyzed the 50% of colorectal cancer samples which leads to correspondingly reduced transcription of STAT1 consistently with a cell-autonomous role for KRAS in modulating STAT1 and its downstream targets CXCL10 and CIITA. Nevertheless, we cannot formally exclude the possibility that this KRAS effect is attributable, in whole or in part, to the reduced immune infiltration of CMS2 colorectal cancer with corresponding reduced environmental IFNγ. However these two factors are clearly intimately related.

Figure 4. KRAS MT CMS2 tumors are associated with reduced immune infiltration and downregulation of immune pathways. Enrichment score (y-axis) of immune populations (x-axis) of indicated KRAS x CMS subgroup relative to KRAS MT CMS2 subgroup in TCGA (A) and KFSYSCC (B) datasets. Relative enrichment is the Hodges-Lehmann estimator of the difference between the indicated subgroup and the KRAS MT CMS2 subgroup. Error bars represent 95% confidence intervals in estimator calculated using the method of Bauer (25). Enrichment relative to KRAS MT CMS2 subgroup of Hallmark immune pathways in TCGA (C) and KFSYSCC (D) datasets.
Suppression of the CIRC was greatest in KRAS MT CMS2 samples. There may be a straightforward explanation for this phenomenon. CMS2 is the most Th1 immunosuppressed of the molecular subtypes with the lowest level of IFNγ signaling and thus lower levels of STAT1 and STAT1 target gene transcription. KRAS mutation shifts the IFNγ/STAT1 dose–response curve (17), such that for any level of IFNγ, there is less STAT1 transcription in a KRAS-mutated context. This effect is likely to be most biologically relevant where IFNγ levels are already limiting. The cumulative impact of low IFNγ (CMS2) and blunting of the IFNγ response (via mutant KRAS) may result in a level of STAT1-dependent promoter transcription that is insufficient to support robust and consistent expression of the critical downstream molecules. We considered the alternative explanation that the effect of KRAS mutation in CMS2 was due to it impacting the particular biology of CMS2. This subtype is characterized by high levels of Wnt and Myc signaling (21). Activation of Wnt/β-catenin signaling in melanoma reduces CD8+ and IFNγ-producing CD4+ cells, findings that have been generalized across other cancer types, including colorectal cancer (35), while MYC upregulation has been associated with reduced CD4+ T-cell tumoral accumulation (36). In vitro, mutant RAS significantly enhances Wnt/β-catenin signaling in a mutant APC background and enhances downstream MYC transcription (37). Thus, we investigated whether KRAS mutation was deepening the Wnt and Myc drive in CMS2, and thus deepening immunosuppression via this mechanism. We found no robust, consistent evidence that KRAS mutation dysregulated the expression of the Wnt or Myc signatures within the context of CMS2 (P > 0.07 for comparisons of KRAS MT CMS2 vs. KRAS WT CMS2 for Wnt/β-catenin and Myc target gene sets).

As is the case for the majority of transcriptional and IHC analyses in colorectal cancer, our analysis was performed using primary resection samples. It is important to stress that the strength of Th1 immunity and class II expression in primary tissue is of value in its own right. These results pose important questions for the larger body of immunotherapy trials that are instead directed at established metastatic or, in an adjuvant context, micrometastatic disease. Longitudinal expression studies instead directed at established metastatic or, in an adjuvant context, micrometastatic disease. Longitudinal expression studies following the evolution of disease progression should be undertaken to ascertain the concordance of CMS classification between primary and metastatic disease. However, existing data already suggest that immune cell densities (CD8+, dendritic, and NK cells) are highly correlated between primary and metastatic colorectal cancer and between separate metastatic sites (39). Although it has been suggested that there is significant intratumoral heterogeneity of CMS, this analysis used separately macrodissected tissue from the center of the tumor and from the invasive front rather than bulk tumor (40). As was pointed out in the accompanying editorial, biopsy from the invasive margin will result in a large admixture of stromal cells not found in the center of the tumor, thus giving a CSM4-like signature and artificially introducing heterogeneity through selective sampling (41). Regardless of whether CMS or some other molecular subtypes prove to be pertinent to metastatic colorectal cancer, our results suggest that KRAS mutation is likely to modulate immune response within these subtypes: these data provide proof of principle that the immune status of RAS-mutant colorectal cancer is not homogenous across all colorectal cancer and that RAS mutation influences the immunobiology of molecularly defined colorectal cancer subtypes.

In summary, our results add a novel immunologic dimension to the growing appreciation of the biological heterogeneity of tumors harboring canonical mutations in colorectal cancer. The immunobiological status of RAS-mutant colorectal cancer varies according to transcriptional context, and the immunobiological status of CMS2 is dependent on RAS status. KRAS MT CMS2 appears to be a particularly immune-neglected group that will require therapy to initially activate a microenvironmental immune response if checkpoint blockade is considered in a combinatorial approach. RAS mutation itself may be a useful immunologic target in this group. Adoptive T-cell transfer of RAS MT-specific T cells has recently been shown to have therapeutic efficacy in colorectal cancer (42), and the use of T cells transduced with T-cell receptors recognizing RAS MT epitopes is also a potential therapy option (43). Our demonstration that a canonical mutation can be associated with widely differing expression of immune-related genes based on its transcriptional subtype may underlie some of the heterogeneity of responses seen with targeted therapies, although it is important to qualify this by acknowledging that our understanding of the transcriptional biology of metastatic disease is limited. In animal models, the activity of BRAF inhibitors is dependent on Th1 cell–mediated provision of CD40L and IFNγ (44). Similarly, the therapeutic effect of inactivation of oncogenic MYC is dependent upon CD4+ cells (45). This suggests that the use of individual mutations as predictive biomarkers in colorectal cancer may be insufficient to predict the efficacy of targeted therapies without knowledge of the associated CMS subtype and its immune contexture. This hypothesis should be readily testable in the clinic.
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