ABSTRACT

**Purpose:** Fanconi anemia rare disease is characterized by bone marrow failure and a high predisposition to solid tumors, especially head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC). Patients with Fanconi anemia with HNSCC are not eligible for conventional therapies due to high toxicity in healthy cells, predominantly hematotoxicity, and the only treatment currently available is surgical resection. In this work, we searched and validated two already approved drugs as new potential therapies for HNSCC in patients with Fanconi anemia.

**Experimental Design:** We conducted a high-content screening of 3,802 drugs in a FANCA-deficient tumor cell line to identify nongenotoxic drugs with cytotoxic/cytostatic activity. The best candidates were further studied in vitro and in vivo for efficacy and safety.

**Results:** Several FDA/European Medicines Agency (EMA)-approved anticancer drugs showed cancer-specific lethality or cell growth inhibition in Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines. The two best candidates, gefitinib and afatinib, EGFR inhibitors approved for non–small cell lung cancer (NSCLC), displayed nontumor/tumor IC50 ratios of approximately 400 and approximately 100 times, respectively. Neither gefitinib nor afatinib activated the Fanconi anemia signaling pathway or induced chromosomal fragility in Fanconi anemia cell lines. Importantly, both drugs inhibited tumor growth in xenograft experiments in immunodeficient mice using two Fanconi anemia patient-derived HNSCCs. Finally, in vivo toxicity studies in Fanca-deficient mice showed that administration of gefitinib or afatinib was well-tolerated, displayed manageable side effects, no toxicity to bone marrow progenitors, and did not alter any hematologic parameters.

**Conclusions:** Our data present a complete preclinical analysis and promising therapeutic line of the first FDA/EMA-approved anticancer drugs exerting cancer-specific toxicity for HNSCC in patients with Fanconi anemia.

**Introduction**

Fanconi anemia is a rare genetic disease, caused by mutations in at least 22 genes, which encode for proteins involved in interstrand-crosslink DNA repair. Patients with Fanconi anemia suffer from bone marrow failure, congenital abnormalities, and a high incidence of malignancies, such as solid tumors and leukemias (1, 2). The management of the hematologic phenotype has been remarkably improved over the last 20 years, thanks to optimized hematologic stem cell transplantation protocols, leading to an important increase in Fanconi anemia patient survival, from less than 20 years of age in the 1990s to more than 30 years observed today (3, 4). The prevention and treatment of solid malignancies are expected to further impact the survival and quality of life of these patients (5). While there are some studies on chemoprevention, with chronic treatment proposals such as quercetin or metformin (6, 7), few therapeutic options are available beyond surgical resection once solid malignancies appear (8, 9). The most frequent solid tumors, accounting for up to 50%, are HNSCC, with an incidence 700-fold higher than in the general population. Patients can tolerate complex surgeries for oral tumor removal, but usually receive mild chemotherapy, radiotherapy, or a combination, that yields moderate to high toxicities, with low survival rates of around 30 months (4, 8, 9).
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Translational Relevance

Our work reports for the first time the repositioning of gefitinib and afatinib, two anticancer EMA/FDA-approved drugs, to treat head and neck squamous cell carcinoma (HNSCC) in Fanconi anemia, a rare disease whose patients currently have surgical resection as their only therapeutic option. We screened existing drugs for antitumor activity and identified both candidates using a combination of cell-based and in vivo mouse models. Our team recently obtained orphan drug designation (ODD) by EMA for gefitinib (EU/3/18/2075) and afatinib (EU/3/18/2110) (FDA ODD pending), with the midterm goal to organize a multicenter, international clinical trial to prove that gefitinib/afatinib improve the follow-up of these patients when diagnosed with HNSCC.

In this study, we searched for anticancer drugs approved by the FDA and/or European Medicines Agency (EMA) that could be repositioned to treat HNSCC in patients with Fanconi anemia thanks to the induction of cancer specific lethality and identified several approved drugs (10, 11). The best drugs from this screening were thoroughly studied in vitro and in vivo, obtaining complete preclinical data and a solid basis to present the FDA and/or European Medicines Agency (EMA) that could be repositioned.

Materials and Methods

Cell lines and reagents

Wild-type (PN) and FANCA-deficient (FA551) primary fibroblasts, WT (VU040-T), FA-derived 1131 (VU1131-T2.8, FANCC/−), 1604 (VU1604-T, FANCC/−), and 1365 (VU1365-T, FANCA/−) and SCC25 and Detroit 562 HNSCC cell lines, were grown in DMEM (Biowest) supplemented with 10% heat-inactivated FBS, sodium pyruvate (Gibco), nonessential amino acids (Gibco), –mercaptoethanol (Gibco), and plasmocin. HNSCCs were kindly provided by Dr. Josephine Dorsman, from VU University Medical Center (Amsterdam, the Netherlands). Non-Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines were from ATCC. Diepoxibutane (DEB, 202533), hydroxyurea (HU, H8627), and Mitomycin C (MMC, M0503) were purchased from Sigma. Drugs for in vitro studies, gefitinib (HY-508945), AEE788 (14816), afatinib (11492), AZD9291 (16237), ceritinib (19374), CO-1686 (16244), and vandetanib (14706) were from Cayman Chemical and cetzumab/Erbixul was from Merck. For in vivo studies, drugs gefitinib/Iressa (AstraZeneca) and afatinib/Giotrif (Boehringer Ingelheim) were used, and vehicles Tween-80 (P4780), methylcellulose 4.000cP (M0512), and alpha-lactose (L3625) were from Sigma.

Screening validation

A total of 3,800 drugs’ high-content screening was described previously (Montanuy and colleagues, submitted). For nongenotoxic candidate validation, Fanconi anemia primary fibroblasts and Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines were seeded in 384-well plates, treated with candidate drugs at 1 μmol/L concentration per duplicate and cultured for 7 days. Cells were then fixed, Hoechst stained, and nuclei images taken with ImageXpress confocal microscope (Molecular Devices, representative images in Supplementary Fig. S1A). Nuclei in each well were counted with CellProfiler software.

Survival assays

Seeded cells in 96-well plates were exposed to nine different concentrations of MMC or antitumor drugs and cultured for 3 or 7 days. Cell growth and survival was measured with sulforhodamine B (SRB) staining assay (12). IC50 was determined by calculating logarithmic normalized trend lines with GraphPad. To identify best antitumor candidates, we calculated a ratio from IC50 of nontumor cell lines (primary fibroblasts) versus averaged IC50 of the averaged three Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines.

Western blot analysis

Western blot analysis was performed as described previously (13). FANC D2 (Ab2187), total ERK (Ab32537), phosphorylated ERK1/2 (pT202/pY204 for ERK1, pT185/pY187 for ERK2; Ab50011), total AKT (Ab32505), and Vinculin (Ab18058) antibodies were from Abcam. Ser473 phosphorylated AKT (9271T), total EGFR (4267T), and Tyr1068 phosphorylated EGFR (3777T) antibodies were from Cell Signaling Technology.

Chromosome fragility and cell-cycle analysis

Chromosome fragility in cell lines was measured for 48 hours with flow cytometric micronucleus (FCM) assay, as described earlier (14–16). Micronuclei (MN) frequency was expressed as the number of MN per thousand nuclei. Percentage of cells arrested in Gl–M phase of the cell cycle was obtained from nuclei plots. For in vivo chromosome fragility in mice, genotoxicity was measured in erythrocytes and reticulocytes from peripheral blood of wild-type and Fanca-deficient mice as described previously (17). Briefly, peripheral blood was drawn from mice tail (~100 μl), collected into EDTA containing tubes, fixed in methanol, and stored at −80 °C. Samples were then incubated with anti-CD71-FITC antibody to select reticulocytes from erythrocytes, and stained with propidium iodide to detect micronuclei. FACS analysis was performed in a FACSCanto cytometer (Becton Dickinson).

Gene sequencing of HNSCC cell lines

To analyze mutations in cancer-related genes (including EGFR) in HNSCC cell lines, we used TruSight Tumor 15 (Illumina), a next-generation sequencing panel designed to identify sequencing variants in 15 genes commonly mutated in solid tumors and associated with marketed therapeutics (AKT1, BRAF, EGFR, ERBB2, FOXL2, GNA11, GNAQ, KIT, KRAS, MET, NRAS, PDGFRA, PIK3CA, RET and TP53).

In vivo xenograft experiments

NOD-SCID mice (both sexes, age 6–9-week-old, Charles River) were injected subcutaneously in the right flank with a mixture 1:1 of 1 × 106 FA-HNSCC cells–Matrigel (Corning). Animals were monitored twice a week (body weight and tumor volume) until tumors were approximately 150 mm3. Animals were then randomized into 4 experimental groups (n = 8 animals/group): (i) vehicle (0.5% Tween-80); (ii) gefitinib; (iii) vehicle (0.5% methylcellulose); (iv) afatinib. Treatments were administered 5 days a week orally (gavage): gefitinib/Iressa 150 mg/kg and afatinib/Giotrif 20 mg/kg (18–21). Vehicles were further supplemented with lactose at 98 mg/kg and 117 mg/kg, respectively, to pair recipients in the medicinal products. Animals were monitored three times a week (body weight and tumor volume) until tumors were approximately 1,000 mm3. Tumor volume was determined by using the formula: (length × width2) × (π/6). At endpoint animals were euthanized, and tumors were surgically removed. Tumor specimens were formalin-fixed and paraffin-
and dendritic cells; ref. 23) were quantified (1:200 dilution) was carried out after heat-induced antigen retrieval using an Abacus Junior Vet hematology analyzer (Diatron). Number of bone marrow colony-forming unit assays were the drugs that best inhibited growth in all three HNSCC cell lines derived from patients with Fanconi anemia, while having a much lower effect in primary Fanconi anemia cells in vitro (data not shown). We also confirmed gefitinib and afatinib inhibited non-Fanconi anemia HNSCCs in a similar trend (Supplementary Fig. S1F). Other drugs with good antitumor profile were AEE788 (with an average IC50 of 37.72 nmol/L) and CO1686 (IC50 of 19.26 nmol/L). However, when compared with primary fibroblasts, only AEE788 showed modest differences between non-Fanconi anemia and Fanconi anemia HNSCCs (t-test). We performed the survival assays at 7 days to better show long-term nontoxicity in primary fibroblasts. 3-day treatments of gefitinib and afatinib also gave similar results (data not shown). Thus, gefitinib and afatinib were the best anticancer drugs that specifically inhibited the growth of Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines at low nanomolar concentrations.

Blood hematoloe and bone marrow colony-forming unit assays

Peripheral blood was drawn from mice tail (~100 μL), collected into EDTA-containing tubes (Sarstedt) and counts were determined using an Abacus Junior Vet hematology analyzer (Diatron). Number of colony-forming unit-granulocyte/macrophage (CFU-GM) progenitors present in total bone marrow was performed as described previously (22).

Statistical analysis

All experiments were performed using triplicate repeats unless otherwise stated, and data present means ± SEM. Statistical significance was tested using Student t test, and P values were reported as *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; and ****, P < 0.0001.

Results

EGFR inhibitors selectively inhibit the growth of Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines

From a previous screening in FANCA-deficient tumor cells (Montanuy and colleagues, submitted) we sought to find nongenotoxic drugs that induce cancer-specific cytotoxicity. We used primary fibroblasts from FA donors as nontumor cells and three different FA patient–derived HNSCC cell lines: 1131 (FANCC deficient), 1604 (FANCL deficient), and 1365 (FANCA deficient; ref. 24). From 150 selected candidates, validation analysis at a concentration of 1 μmol/L identified seven anticancer drugs: cetitinib, an anaplastic lymphoma kinase (ALK) inhibitor, used to treat NSCLC (25); CO1686 (rociletinib), a second-generation EGFR inhibitor; AZD9291 (osimertinib), a third-generation EGFR inhibitor approved for patients with EGFR T790M mutation–positive metastatic NSCLC (26); vandetanib, a multikinase inhibitor including EGFR, VEGFR2 and RET, approved for thyroid cancer (27); AEE788, a dual inhibitor of EGFR/ERBB2 and VEGFR2; gefitinib, a first-generation inhibitor of EGFR, also approved to treat NSCLC (28); and afatinib, a second-generation EGFR inhibitor, also used to treat NSCLC (Fig. 1A and B; Supplementary Fig. S1A–S1F; ref. 29). Interestingly, other EGFR and VEGFR inhibitors, such as erlotinib and vatalanib, did not have or had a low nontumor/tumor ratio in the cell lines tested, probably due to different cell line sensitivities that these drugs may exert (data not shown). In this sense, cetuximab treatment, a highly specific EGFR-targeting antibody used to treat HNSCC in the general population, among other malignancies (30) inhibited growth in all Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines, while having no effect in primary fibroblasts, showing specific dependency of EGFR pathway for Fanconi anemia HNSCC growth (Supplementary Fig. S1G). Subsequent cytotoxicity assays with doses ranging from low nanomolar to micromolar concentrations showed, as expected, that the DNA crosslink-inducer MMC was highly toxic both in Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines as well as primary cells, at less than 1 nmol/L (Fig. 1C). In sharp contrast, gefitinib and afatinib were the drugs that best inhibited growth in all three HNSCC cell lines derived from patients with Fanconi anemia, while having a much lower effect in primary Fanconi anemia fibroblasts (Fig. 1D and E). Gefitinib produced a sensitivity ratio of nontumor versus tumor cell lines of 386 times, and afatinib 112 times, exerting its antitumor effect at a low nanomolar concentration (the IC50 for HNSCCs averaged 23.5 nmol/L for gefitinib and 10.8 nmol/L for afatinib; see Fig. 1F). Other drugs with good antitumor profile were AEE788 (with an average IC50 of 37.72 nmol/L), AZD9291 (IC50 64.2 nmol/L), and vandetanib (IC50 of 108.4 nmol/L). However, when compared with primary fibroblasts, only AEE788 showed results similar to afatinib (nontumor versus tumor ratio of 81 times). CO1686 (IC50 of 629.3 nmol/L) showed modest differences between malignant and healthy cells (ratios of 2.4 and 1.3 times, respectively; see Supplementary Fig. S1B–S1F). We performed the survival assays at 7 days to better show long-term nontoxicity in primary fibroblasts; 3-day treatments of gefitinib and afatinib also gave similar results (data not shown).
Figure 1.
Drug screening identified gefitinib and afatinib with antitumor activity in Fanconi anemia (FA)-derived HNSCCs, nontoxic for Fanconi anemia cells. A, FANCA-deficient U2OS cell line was used to screen for drugs with acute cytotoxicity. Nongenotoxic drugs with potential activity were selected and validated in Fanconi anemia HNSCCs and primary cells. B, Validation screening identified 7 potential drugs with high growth inhibition in three different Fanconi anemia HNSCCs while maintaining good viability in Fanconi anemia primary fibroblasts (at 1 µmol/L). Bars show mean of samples performed at least in duplicates. C–E, Extended cytotoxicity analysis with gefitinib (D) and afatinib (E) in primary fibroblasts (from wild-type, and FANCA-deficient patient) and three different Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines. Mitomycin C (C) was used as a control. The mean ± SEM of at least three independent experiments is shown, with normalized curves in lines. F, IC50 (nmol/L) of the candidate drugs used, in Fanconi anemia fibroblasts (black) and Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines (averaged, gray). Ratio of nontumor versus tumor IC50 (below) is shown to highlight best candidates (e.g., gefitinib, afatinib, and AEE788).
**Gefitinib and afatinib are nongenotoxic in FANCA-deficient cells**

EGFR (ERBB-1) is a member of the ERBB family of tyrosine kinase receptors that has a central role in the tumorigenesis of many types of solid tumors, including HNSCC (31). Multiple drugs targeting these receptors have been approved for the treatment of several cancers, such as gefitinib and afatinib, as well as vandetanib and AZD9291 (26–29). These drugs bind to the tyrosine kinase domain and impair kinase activity and downstream signaling pathways, such as PI3K/AKT and the RAS/MAPK axis. Moreover, no genotoxic toxicity is reported from these drugs. To discard any direct or indirect effect on DNA that could be easily repaired by normal cells but compromise Fanconi anemia cell viability, we treated U2OS cells with gefitinib or afatinib to analyze FANCD2 monoubiquitination, a central step in the Fanconi anemia/BRCA pathway, induced by several types of DNA damage (2). As seen in Fig. 2A and B, neither gefitinib nor afatinib up to 10 μmol/L were genotoxic.
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able to activate the Fanconi anemia/BRCA pathway as measured by FANC D2 monoubiquitination by Western blot analysis, indicating that these drugs do not induce interstrand-crosslinks (ICL), stalled replication forks or double strand breaks on DNA that would require processing by the Fanconi anemia pathway. We further analyzed their genotoxic capacity in Fanconi anemia cells, which are highly sensitive to ICLs such as diepoxybutane (32). Again, as seen in Fig. 2C–G, high concentrations of gefitinib or afatinib were unable to induce chromosome fragility (micronuclei, MN) or G2–M cell-cycle arrest (a specific hallmark of Fanconi anemia cells treated with ICL-inducing agents) in WT or Fanconi anemia lymphoblastoid cell lines, which express EGFR (Fig. 2D) and are derived from T cells reported to have a functional EGFR pathway (33, 34). In summary, our in vitro results showed that nontumor Fanconi anemia cells could be safely treated with gefitinib and afatinib at therapeutic concentrations, as they did not activate the FA/BRCA pathway, nor induce chromosome fragility or cell-cycle arrest in the absence of the Fanconi anemia pathway.

EGFR pathway in Fanconi anemia HNSCCs

Previous reports indicate that the EGFR pathway is functional in sporadic HNSCCs, and targeting this pathway inhibits tumor growth (35). Thus, we sought to further explore the EGFR pathway inhibition achieved by gefitinib and afatinib in Fanconi anemia HNSCCs. As shown in Fig. 3A, 24-hour treatment with gefitinib or afatinib inhibited downstream signaling mediators of the EGFR pathway in all three Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines tested, such as phosphorylated AKT or ERK1/2. As previously reported in sporadic HNSCCs (36), we also observed that the EGFR pathway was over-activated in Fanconi anemia HNSCCs in comparison with primary fibroblasts, as detected by total and phosphorylated EGFR expression (Fig. 3B). In the general population, the majority of HNSCCs have mutations in TP53 (72%) or PIK3CA (18%) genes, but few in EGFR (4%; refs. 35, 37, 38). Interestingly, van Zeeburg and colleagues showed a similar TP53 mutation trend in Fanconi anemia HNSCCs (8 of 13 Fanconi anemia HNSCCs tested, 62%, carried TP53 mutations; ref. 39). Mutation analysis of key tumor-promoting genes showed that all Fanconi anemia HNSCCs tested, 62%, carried TP53 mutations; ref. 39).

Our in vitro results show that gefitinib and afatinib are innocuous in Fanconi anemia fibroblast cells at therapeutic concentrations (Fig. 2). The most frequently reported adverse effects (AE) for these drugs in humans are skin rashes, diarrhea, and nausea and vomiting, among others (40–42). Thus, hematologic toxicity was not expected, but given the extreme fragility of patients with FA, we sought to discard toxicity of these EGFR inhibitors in animal models of the disease. After two weeks of chronic administration of gefitinib or afatinib in wild-type (WT) and Fanca-deficient mice, we monitored weight and general health status three times a week, hematologic parameters before and at the end of the experiment, and bone marrow status when mice were sacrificed. As seen in Fig. 6A, gefitinib treatment had no effects on body weight either in the WT or in Fanca-deficient mice. General health status showed no evident toxicity, especially skin rash or diarrhea, typical adverse effects reported for gefitinib and afatinib. We did not observe any differences in white or red blood cells, platelets, hemoglobin, hematocrit, or leukocyte populations from peripheral blood (CD4 and CD8 T cells, B cells, and myeloid cells), LSK– cells or colony-forming units (CFU) from bone marrow (Fig. 6; Supplementary S4–S7). Following afatinib treatment, some Fanca-deficient mice showed weight loss during the first week of the treatment (Fig. 7A). Clinical trials in HNSCC and NSCLC show that afatinib efficacy is higher than the standard of care but produces more toxicity and AEs than gefitinib. In these cases, a dose adjustment is often chosen with good results (43, 44). For this reason, from day 7, we reduced afatinib dosages while maintaining its therapeutic effect (from 20 mg/kg/day to 15 mg/kg/day). Fanca-deficient mice progressed favorably after dose reduction and indeed recovered weight at the end of the experiment, also seen in wild-type mice (Fig. 7A; Supplementary S4D). Afatinib administration also mildly reduced some hematologic parameters, but in both WT and Fanca-deficient mice, and blood counts were always within the physiologic range (Fig. 7; Supplementary Figs. S4, S6, and S7; ref. 45). Notably, we did see an increase in blood myeloid cells in Fanca-deficient mice, which could suggest an increase in infection susceptibility, as previously reported for this drug (Supplementary Fig. S7B; refs. 46, 47). Finally, to exclude any in vivo genotoxic effects on chromosomal stability, we analyzed MN presence in blood reticulocytes, which reflects acute chromosome fragility, and in erythrocytes, which represents chronic chromosomal instability in bone marrow erythroid precursors in vivo (17). Fanca-deficient mice spontaneously showed a reduction in reticulocyte counts (Supplementary Fig. S8A), while MN from erythrocytes or reticulocytes increased by more than two-fold respect WT mice (Fig. 6F; Supplementary S8B).

Gefitinib and afatinib inhibit growth of Fanconi anemia HNSCCs in mouse xenografts

To further investigate the therapeutic potential of gefitinib and afatinib for Fanconi anemia HNSCC, we used a preclinical mouse subcutaneous xenograft model. The Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines 1604 and 1131 were subcutaneously implanted in NOD-SCID immunodeficient mice. Tumor growth was monitored over time, and when the tumors reached approximately 150 mm3, animals were randomized into vehicle control groups or gefitinib (Fig. 4) and afatinib (Fig. 5) treatment groups. Importantly, treatment with these two FDA/EMA-approved EGFR inhibitors led to a significant reduction of the growth of the tumors compared with control animals at the end of the experiment (Figs. 4A–C and E and 5A–C and E), or a significant shrinkage of the size of the tumors compared with the size at the beginning of the treatment (Figs. 4D, G, H and 5D, G, H). Treatment did not have a major impact on mouse weight (Supplementary Fig. S2A–S2D). The efficacy of the treatment was further confirmed measuring the weight and the average volume change of the tumors at the end of the experiment (Supplementary Fig. S2E–S2L). Finally, tumors from vehicle-treated mice showed strong phospho-ERK immunostaining (Figs. 4I and S1–J and Supplementary Fig. S3), while tumors from gefitinib or afatinib-treated mice had almost no phospho-ERK signal, confirming a high efficiency of either drug in inhibiting the EGFR pathway in both HNSCC in vivo.

Gefitinib and afatinib treatment did not produce hematotoxicity in Fanca-deficient mice

Fig. 3B
Figure 3.
EGFR pathway in Fanconi anemia (FA) HNSCC cell lines. A, 1365 (left), 1131 (middle), and 1604 (right) Fanconi anemia HNSCC cells were stimulated 24 hours with the indicated doses of gefitinib and afatinib, and Western blots for expression and phosphorylation status of key kinases of the EGFR pathway were performed. Vinculin was used as a loading control (p-Vinculin refers to membranes blotted with phospho-antibodies). Images are representative of at least three independent experiments with similar results.

B, Total EGFR and phospho-EGFR basal expression in Fanconi anemia HNSCC in comparison with WT and Fanconi anemia primary fibroblasts (left). Relative expression normalized to WT primary fibroblasts is shown. Middle and right graphs show mean ± SEM of phospho-EGFR and total EGFR, respectively, of three independent experiments.

C, Gene variants identified and their frequency in Fanconi anemia HNSCCs using TruSight Tumor 15 kit (see Materials and Methods).
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Figure 4. Gefitinib inhibits Fanconi anemia (FA) HNSCC growth in vivo in mouse xenograft experiments. Fanconi anemia (FA)-derived HNSCC 1604 (A, C, D, I) and 1131 (B, E, F, H, J) xenografts are shown. A and B, Excised tumors at endpoint. C and E, Tumor growth by vehicle (black lines) or gefitinib (blue lines) treatment groups. The arrow indicates the start of the treatment. Graphs show mean ± SEM. D and F, Response Evaluation Criteria in Solid Tumors (RECIST) classification from the percentage of tumor volume change. CR, complete response; PR, partial response; SD, stable disease; PD, progression disease. G and H, Percentage of tumor volume change at baseline (start of treatment) for individual tumors (black bars, vehicle; blue bars, gefitinib). The percentage of tumor volume change of treated (T) versus vehicle (V) is shown. Dashed lines represent 20% volume above and −30% below the x-axis. I and J, IHC of phospho-ERK activation in representative formalin-fixed, paraffin-embedded tumors from xenografts treated with vehicle (top) or gefitinib (bottom). Student’s t test: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001.
Figure 5.
Afatinib inhibits Fanconi anemia (FA) HNSCC growth in vivo in mouse xenograft experiments. Fanconi anemia-derived HNSCC 1604 (A, C, D, G, I) and 1131 (B, E, F, H, J) xenografts are shown. A and B, Excised tumors at endpoint. C and E, Tumor growth by vehicle (black lines) or afatinib (green lines) treatment. The arrow indicates the start of the treatment. Graphs show mean ± SEM. D and F, RECIST classification from the percentage of tumor volume change, as shown in Fig. 4D and F. G and H, Percentage of tumor volume change at baseline (start of treatment) for individual tumors (black bars, vehicle; green bars, afatinib). The percentage of tumor volume change of treated (T) versus vehicle (V) is shown. Dashed lines represent 20% volume above and −30% below the x-axis. I and J, IHC of phospho-ERK activation in representative formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded tumors from xenografts treated with vehicle (top) or afatinib (bottom). Student t test: *, P < 0.05; **, P < 0.01; ***, P < 0.001; ****, P < 0.0001.
Figure 6.
Gefitinib is nontoxic in Fanca-deficient mice. A, Percentage body weight of wild-type and Fanca-deficient mice, treated with vehicle or gefitinib (see Materials and Methods). Red blood cells (B) and platelets (C) at 0 and 14 days of vehicle or gefitinib treatment. Dashed lines in B show physiologic range of red blood cells. D and E, LSK+ cell percentage (D) and colony-forming units (CFU) capacity from bone marrow cells (E) at endpoint (14 days). F and G, In vivo genotoxic analysis in murine blood cells. F, Percentage of erythrocytes with MN in wild-type versus Fanca-deficient mice. G, Percentage of MN erythrocytes in mice treated with vehicle or gefitinib. B–G graphs show data for individual mouse (solid dots, wild-type, open dots, Fanca-deficient) and mean ± SEM. Student t test: ns, not significant; *, P < 0.05; ***, P < 0.001.
mice, indicating that these EGFR inhibitors do not exert any clastogenic effect in the development of blood cells irrespective of the FA pathway. In summary, as seen in wild-type and Fanca-deficient mice, gefitinib or afatinib administration is safe in vivo as a chronic treatment, with afatinib showing some toxicity that could be balanced by dose adjustment.

**Discussion**

Twenty years ago, FA was mainly a pediatric disease, as most patients died in the first two decades due to bone marrow failure or leukemias (5). With improved transplantation protocols, patients with FA now reach their fourth decade of life. Thus, HNSCC and other solid...
tumors are arising as the main challenge for their long-term survival, and last efforts in recent decades to treat patients with current therapies have resulted in poor survival rates. Because of its rare condition, few case reports have been published. Beginning in the 2000s, they highlighted the frequent clinical complications and severe toxicities of conventional chemotherapy and radiotherapy in these patients (8, 9, 48–50). On average, the median age reported at diagnosis has been 31–33 years, with a median follow-up of around 30–35 months, with very low tolerance to radiotherapy and chemotherapy. These case reports and small cohort studies highlight a painful reality and an unmet medical need that patients with Fanconi anemia suffer nowadays: beyond tumor resection, there is no safe or effective treatment for patients with Fanconi anemia with solid tumors in general, but especially HNSCCs.

Our work describes for the first time comprehensive preclinical data regarding gefitinib and afatinib, two previously approved anticancer drugs, with a strong potential for treating HNSCCs in Fanconi anemia. Drug validation in Fanconi anemia tumor and nontumor cells identified several approved antitumor drugs inducing Fanconi anemia cancer–specific lethality, with gefitinib and afatinib having the best IC50 nontumor/tumor ratio (Fig. 1; Supplementary Fig. S1). Antibody-based EGFR inhibitor cetuximab remains the only FDA-approved targeted therapy available for sporadic HNSCC, but it works in combination with radiotherapy or standard chemotherapy, which are not well-tolerated by patients with Fanconi anemia (31). Indeed, Wong and colleagues and Kutzler and colleagues have reported patients with Fanconi anemia (31). Wong and colleagues and Kutzler and colleagues have reported patients with Fanconi anemia who received post-surgery cetuximab and radiotherapy. Two of them displayed lower toxicities and the other two had manageable toxicities, but all died of recurrent or metastatic disease (8, 51). Unfortunately, without preclinical evidence of efficacy and safety and controlled studies such as with clinical trials, clinicians may find unsuitable to choose cetuximab as a single therapeutic option for patients with Fanconi anemia.

Our work shows that gefitinib and afatinib are effective in vitro in three different Fanconi anemia HNSCC cell lines (Fig. 1) and more importantly in vivo, in xenograft experiments with immunodeficient mice with two different Fanconi anemia–patient derived HNSCC tumors (Figs. 4 and 5; Supplementary S2 and S3). In addition, our results also highlight that gefitinib and afatinib are safe in nontumor Fanconi anemia cells, as they did not activate the Fanconi anemia/BRCA pathways nor induce chromosome instability (Fig. 2), and more remarkably in Fanca-deficient mice; these drugs did not generate treatment-related hematotoxicity nor bone marrow failure (Figs. 6 and 7; Supplementary S4–S8).

Jung and colleagues published in 2005 a case report of a patient with Fanconi anemia with a large squamous cell carcinoma on the tongue, which was 90% positive on EGFR according to IHC staining. The patient was then administered gefitinib as a palliative treatment, and after 2 months the tumor size was reduced by 80%, with no gefitinib-associated AEs such as skin rash or diarrhea (52). As shown here, our data demonstrate both gefitinib and afatinib have cancer-specific lethality in Fanconi anemia HNSCC, with no toxicity targeting DNA, nor hematotoxicity in mouse models. We did observe some toxicity in afatinib-treated Fanca-deficient mice, which was reverted by dose adjustment, maintaining the therapeutic effect (Fig. 7A; Supplementary Fig. S4D). We did also observe an increase of myeloid cell populations (Supplementary Fig. S7), which suggests patients with FA may need more thorough follow up with afatinib compared with gefitinib.

Given that Fanconi anemia is a rare disease, the repositioning of approved medicines to achieve patient treatment is a viable approach regarding time and the cost/effectiveness ratio to market authorization (53–54). With this in mind, recently received the orphan drug designation (ODD) status for gefitinib and afatinib by EMA to treat HNSCCs in patients with Fanconi anemia (FDA orphan application submitted). ODD gives the sponsors regulatory benefits and facilities regarding reduced fees, scientific advice, protocol assistance, and market exclusivity after authorization, with the purpose to promote clinical trials that demonstrate safety and efficacy of new or repositioned drugs to treat rare diseases. This support from the European and American drug regulatory institutions may help to push current preclinical research to organize, coordinate, and initiate a multicenter, international clinical trial with gefitinib and/or afatinib to treat HNSCCs in Fanconi anemia with the aim to provide the patients a new anticancer therapeutic option and improve their clinical outcomes and quality of life.
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