Phase I Study of Zotiraciclib in Combination with Temozolomide for Patients with Recurrent High-grade Astrocytomas

Jing Wu1, Ying Yuan2, Debra A. Long Priel3, Danielle Fink2, Cody J. Peer4, Tristan M. Sissung4, Yu-Ting Su1, Ying Pang1, Guangyang Yu1, Madison K. Butler1, Tito R. Mendoza5, Elizabeth Vera1, Salman Ahmad6, Christine Bryla1, Matthew Lindsley1, Ewa Grajkowska1, Kelly Mentges1, Lisa Boris1, Ramya Antony1, Nancy Garren1, Christine Siegel1, Nicole Lollo1, Christine Cordova1, Orwa Aboud1, Brett J. Theeler1, Eric M. Burton1, Marta Penas-Prado4, Heather Leeper1, Javier Gonzales1, Terri S. Armstrong1, Katherine R. Calvo6, William D. Figg3, Douglas B. Kuhns3, John I. Gallin6, and Mark R. Gilbert1

Purpose: To investigate the toxicity profile and establish an optimal dosing schedule of zotiraciclib with temozolomide in patients with recurrent high-grade astrocytoma.

Patients and Methods: This two-stage Phase I trial determined the MTD of zotiraciclib combined with either dose-dense (Arm1) or metronomic (Arm2) temozolomide using a Bayesian Optimal Interval design; then a randomized cohort expansion compared the progression-free survival rate at 4 months (PFS4) of the two arms for an efficient determination of a temozolomide schedule to combine with zotiraciclib at MTD. Pharmacokinetic and pharmacogenomic profiling were included. Patient-reported outcome was evaluated by longitudinal symptom burden.

Results: Fifty-three patients were enrolled. Dose-limiting toxicities were neutropenia, diarrhea, elevated liver enzymes, and fatigue. MTD of zotiraciclib was 250 mg in both arms and thus selected for the cohort expansion. Dose-dense temozolomide plus zotiraciclib (PSF4 40%) compared favorably with metronomic temozolomide (PSF4 25%). Symptom burden worsened at cycle 2 but stabilized by cycle 4 in both arms. A significant decrease in absolute neutrophil count and neutrophil reactive oxygen species production occurred 12–24 hours after an oral dose of zotiraciclib but both recovered by 72 hours. Pharmacokinetic/pharmacogenomic analyses revealed that the CYP1A2_5347T (rs2470890) polymorphism was associated with higher AUCinf value.

Conclusions: Zotiraciclib combined with temozolomide is safe in patients with recurrent high-grade astrocytomas. Zotiraciclib-induced neutropenia can be profound but mostly transient, warranting close monitoring rather than treatment discontinuation. Once validated, polymorphisms predicting drug metabolism may allow personalized dosing of zotiraciclib.

Introduction

Brain tumors are challenging to treat, largely due to their biological features including a high level of heterogeneity and complicated resistance mechanisms (1, 2). Only a small percentage of drugs investigated through clinical trials become an established therapy, underscoring the importance of preclinical investigations where promising drug candidates can be studied, thereby increasing the chance for successful drug development. Glioblastoma, which accounts for half of malignant primary brain tumors, has an extremely poor prognosis. Only a quarter of patients survive 2 years after their initial diagnosis, despite the aggressive treatments (3). As the disease progresses, there are few treatment options, and the survival time is counted by weeks, suggesting a large unmet need for developing effective therapies for recurrent glioblastoma (4).

Zotiraciclib (TG02) is a pyrimidine-based multi-kinase inhibitor with inhibitory effects on cyclin-dependent kinases (CDK; ref. 5). It has high potency to inhibit CDK9 with an IC50 of 3 nmol/L. CDK9 is a serine/threonine kinase with a catalytic core of the positive transcription elongation factor and it is critical for stimulating transcription elongation through RNA polymerase II (6–8). Clinical experiences of zotiraciclib, which is orally administered, have been mostly from hematologic malignancies (9, 10). We had performed extensive preclinical studies to investigate zotiraciclib as a single agent and in combination with temozolomide in both in vivo and in vitro preclinical glioma models (11). Our preclinical studies demonstrated that in addition to suppressing transcriptional process through CDK9 inhibition, zotiraciclib also decreased the cellular ATP production by suppressing glycolysis and causing mitochondrial dysfunction, leading to cell death in glioblastoma but not in normal cells. A synergistic effect with temozolomide was found in both temozolomide-sensitive and -resistant glioblastoma models. The survival benefit was demonstrated in an in vivo orthotopic glioblastoma mouse model, where a pharmacodynamic experiment demonstrated a suppression of CDK9 activity in tumor tissues of mice treated with zotiraciclib, suggesting that zotiraciclib penetrates the blood–brain barrier. These preclinical findings suggest that zotiraciclib targets multiple
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**Translational Relevance**

Zotiraciclib, a cyclin-dependent kinase 9 (CDK9) inhibitor, was found to induce glioblastoma cell death and demonstrated synergistic anti-glioma effects when combined with temozolomide in our preclinical studies. Supported by the strong preclinical evidence, we performed the first clinical trial of a CDK9 inhibitor combined with temozolomide in recurrent high-grade astrocytoma. In addition to establishing the optimal dosing schedule for zotiraciclib and temozolomide, in-depth analyses of zotiraciclib-induced neutropenia suggest the transient nature of the drastic alteration in neutrophil biology. A close monitoring rather than discontinuation of the drug is warranted. The pharmacokinetic/pharmacogenomic analysis identified a polymorphism that potentially alters the pharmacokinetics of zotiraciclib, suggesting further investigations are warranted for a genotype-guided dosing to reduce the toxicities. Symptomatic toxicities occur but may stabilize with continued treatments, supporting the tolerability of the treatment. The comprehensive information from this multi-faceted early-stage investigation paved a way for success in further clinical trials of zotiraciclib.

**Patients and Methods**

**Study design**

This phase I clinical trial comprised a dose-escalation and a cohort-expansion phase, where it concurrently optimized the dose and schedule of the combination of zotiraciclib with temozolomide. The primary objective was to assess the safety and preliminary antitumor activities to establish the optimal dose schedule for zotiraciclib combined with temozolomide, with dose-limiting toxicity (DLT) as the primary safety endpoint. The determination of progression-free survival rate at 4 months (PFS4) and patient-reported outcomes (PRO) were secondary endpoints.

Patients were equally randomized to the dose-dense (DD) temozolomide (Arm1) or metronomic (MN) temozolomide (Arm2) arms, each combined with zotiraciclib at four dose levels (150, 200, 250, 300 mg). A Bayesian Optimal Interval (BOIN) design was employed to establish the MTD of zotiraciclib in combination within each temozolomide schedule arm (12). After the MTD was established in both arms, patients were randomized to receive a combination regimen using the established MTDs for each combination (DD or MN temozolomide) until the total number of patients treated at each MTD reached 18. This included patients treated at the MTD during the dose escalation. Utilizing a “Pick the Winner” design, whereby the better performing arm would be the noninferior, we tested the two temozolomide dosing schedules in combination with zotiraciclib. The PFS4, rather than the traditional PFS6, was used as a prespecified landmark to facilitate a rapid determination of a potentially better temozolomide dosing schedule to combine with zotiraciclib for the future studies.

**Patients**

All patients were enrolled at the Center for Cancer Research of the NCI, Bethesda, MD. Patients were eligible if they were older than 18 years with a recurrent, histologically confirmed anaplastic astrocytoma [World Health Organization (WHO) grade III, intact 1p/19q chromosome], or glioblastoma/gliosarcoma (WHO grade IV), and no more than two prior relapses. Additional eligibility criteria included a Karnofsky performance score (KPS) of 60 or higher and adequate bone marrow, hepatic, and renal functions. Pregnant or nursing women were excluded because of the unknown effects of zotiraciclib on fetus or infant. Because of zotiraciclib being primary metabolized by cytochrome P450 (CYP1A2 and 3A4, strong inducers or inhibitors of CYP1A2 or 3A4 were prohibited). PROs were assessed in English-speaking patients who were not cognitively impaired to self report. The study was conducted in accordance with the ethics principles of the Declaration of Helsinki. The study protocol was reviewed and approved by the NCI Institutional Review Board. Written informed consent was obtained from all study participants.

**Treatment**

Temozolomide was administered at 125 mg/m² daily on days 1–7 and 15–21 orally in DD temozolomide arm and 50 mg/m² daily in MN temozolomide arm. Patients received zotiraciclib 200 mg/day orally on days 1, 12, 15, and 26 on a 28-day cycle, after a single initial dose given 3 days prior to cycle 1/day1. As detailed in the protocol (Supplementary Materials and Methods), this dosing schedule was designed primarily based on previous experiences of zotiraciclib and carfilzomib in hematologic malignancy and the anticipations of more toxicities in patients receiving zotiraciclib and temozolomide (10). In addition, the dosing schedule reduced the number of times patients had to take both medications on the same day, avoiding overlapping toxicities from both drugs. The dose escalation and deescalation of zotiraciclib in each arm were guided by the BOIN design, with the starting dose of 200 mg.

After the MTD of zotiraciclib was determined in both arms, a cohort expansion was initiated, and patients were randomized to receive zotiraciclib at MTD combined with DD temozolomide in Arm1 or MN temozolomide in Arm2. The treatment was planned for 12 cycles unless patient had progressive disease, unacceptable toxicities, pregnancy, or withdrew from the study. All patients received premedication to prevent nausea, vomiting, and diarrhea before and after each dose of zotiraciclib.

**Study assessment**

Physical exams, toxicity evaluation, and laboratory exams, including complete blood count (CBC) with differential, chemistry panel, pregnancy test, and electrocardiogram (EKG), were performed at the baseline and before each cycle, with an additional CBC with differential performed on day 14 of each treatment cycle. Brain MRIs with and without gadolinium contrast were completed at the baseline and before each cycle, with an additional CBC with differential performed on day 14 of each treatment cycle. Brain MRIs with and without gadolinium contrast were completed at the baseline and every two cycles of treatment to determine the treatment response based on the Response Assessment in Neuro-Oncology Criteria (13). The same dose of corticosteroid for at least 5 days was required before each MRI.

All toxicities were graded on the basis of the Common Terminology Criteria for Adverse Events (version 4.0). Patients in the MTD-finding phase were evaluable for assessment of toxicity to define DLT, which was defined as any of the following treatment-related adverse events (AE) in the first 4 weeks of treatment: grade 4 neutropenia ≥ 5 days; febrile neutropenia; grade 4 thrombocytopenia ≥ 2 days or grade 3 thrombocytopenia with bleeding; grade 3 or 4 anemia ≥ 2 days; grade 3 or 4 hematologic toxicities except for diarrhea that responds to treatment within 2 days; nausea, vomiting, and fatigue that respond to...
treatments within 7 days; and deep venous thrombosis. During the entire phase I study, if an AE occurred wherein both temozolomide and zotiraciclib required dose deescalation, the principal investigator had the discretion to dose reduce one or both study drugs with the consideration of known side effects in an effort to better define attribution.

The MD Anderson Symptom Inventory-Brain Tumor Module (MDASI-BT) was administered at baseline and the time of imaging (14). The MDASI-BT consists of 22 symptoms rated on a scale of 0 (not present) to 10 (as bad as you can image) to indicate the severity of each symptom at its worst in the last 24 hours. Factor groupings of symptoms associated with the disease or treatment have been identified and were used for this analysis. The MDASI-BT also assesses how much symptoms interfere with different aspects of a patient’s life in the last 24 hours. These interference items are general activity, mood, work, relations with other people, walking, and enjoyment of life. The interference items are also measured on a 0 (did not interfere) to 10 (interfered completely) scale.

Exploratory assessment
Patients enrolled in the cohort-expansion phase participated in pharmacokinetics, pharmacogenetics, and neutrophil analysis. Blood samples were drawn prior to the first dose on cycle 1 day 3 and at 1, 2, 4, 12, 24, 48, and 72 hours post-dosing.

Blood samples collected at all time points were tested for CBC with differential and blood smear. Blood cell images were captured and analyzed by CellVision instrumentation. Samples collected at 0, 24, and 72 hours were analyzed for neutrophil chemotaxis, reactive oxygen species (ROS) production, and neutrophil cell surface markers. Briefly, the in vitro chemotaxis was measured using an imaging system (EZ-TAXIScan) with either N-formylmethionyl-leucyl-phenylalanine (fMLF) or C5a as chemotactant as described previously (15). Neutrophil ROS production was measured by luminol-enhanced chemiluminescence, using either fMLF, opsonized zymosan, or phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) as stimuli (15). The AUC of the luminescence response was calculated as the measure of ROS production. The expression of neutrophil surface markers (IgG1, CD11b, CD16, CD18, CD66b, 7D5, IgG2a, CD62L) was analyzed using flow cytometry (15). A panel of cytokines of the plasma samples was measured using a human 30-plex cytokine array (Meso Scale Discovery).

In parallel to neutrophil analysis, pharmacokinetics was characterized by using the samples obtained at the same time points. Plasma concentrations of zotiraciclib were measured using a validated LC/MS/MS assay. The parameters of pharmacokinetic analysis were AUC from time zero to the time of the final quantifiable sample (AUC0-last), extrapolated or to infinity (AUC last), calculated using the linear-up/log-down trapezoidal method, the maximum plasma concentration (C max), and half-life (t 1/2), determined by the terminal elimination rate constant (λ z).

One baseline blood sample per patient was analyzed for the genotype of the most relevant drug-metabolizing enzymes and transporters from the genomic DNA. DNA was analyzed on a PharmacoScan (Thermo Fisher Scientific) genotyping platform. Patient exposures (AUC0-last) were compared with different genotype groups for each polymorphism in CYP1A2 and CYP3A4, which metabolize zotiraciclib (16).

Statistical analysis
The BOIN design was employed to guide the dose escalation and establish the MTD in each arm, with the target DLT rate of 0.35 and patients treated in a cohort size of 3. The dose escalation stopped for MTD determination when the number of patients treated at any dose reached 12 or the maximum sample size of 24 per arm.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the demographics and clinical characteristics of all patients. All AEs were tabulated by grade and dose levels. The determination of MTD was based on the treatment-related AEs occurred during the DLT evaluation period. The AEs in the cohort expansion were used for overall toxicity assessment. For the cohort expansion, the response rate was summarized using a 95% binomial confidence interval, and PFS and overall survival (OS) were estimated using the Kaplan–Meier method.

A Jonckheere–Terpstra trend test was preferred over a Kruskal–Wallis test for the comparison of AUC of zotiraciclib in groups with different genotypes. However, both were performed.

The ROS luminescence response of freshly isolated neutrophils from each patient to each stimulus was plotted and compared with the response of freshly isolated neutrophils isolated from healthy volunteers using Student t test. Cytokine levels at baseline and peak time were compared using paired t test.

Descriptive statistics were used to summarize the mean severity of MDASI-BT symptom subscales and symptom interference over time and by dose groups. Linear mixed models for every MDASI-BT symptom subscales and interference were fitted to determine whether there is statistically significant difference between the treatment arms over time. These analyses were performed using all available data and were compared using data from patients who completed baseline, cycle 2, and cycle 4 (Completers).

Results
Patients
Between December 2016 and December 2019, 53 patients (40 in MTD-finding phase and 13 in cohort expansion) were enrolled to the study (Fig. 1). The patient characteristics in both arms are comparable as shown in Table 1. Because this study was initiated before the most recent revision of WHO Classification of Tumors of Central Nervous System (17), we used the 2016 classification (18).

Safety
As shown in Table 2, the DLT rate is proportional to the dosage of zotiraciclib in both arms. The nonhematologic DLTs included fatigue, elevated liver enzymes, and diarrhea, while neutropenia was the only hematologic DLT. Two patients were enrolled to dose level II and received zotiraciclib at 300 mg; 1 patient developed severe AEs, including febrile neutropenia, thrombocytopenia, and hepatic dysfunction, which led to an intensive care unit admission. Interestingly, the other patient who received zotiraciclib at 300 mg tolerated the medication well other than mild fatigue, suggesting an individual variation in zotiraciclib metabolism. Nevertheless, the dose of 300 mg zotiraciclib was eliminated without further testing due to the safety concern. Among all 38 DLT-evaluable patients, 11 (28.9%) patients experienced DLT.

The AEs continued to be collected during the cohort expansion. Supplementary Table S1 summarizes all treatment-related AEs at any grade that occurred among all 53 patients. Across all zotiraciclib dose levels and different temozolomide dosing schedules, alanine aminotransferase (ALT) and aspartate aminotransferase (AST) elevation, diarrhea, fatigue, and nausea were common nonhematologic AEs, mostly occurring at grade 1–2 level. ALT elevation was the most common grade 3–4 nonhematologic AE, having occurred in 11 (20.8%) patients, though only one case was likely attributed to...
zotiraciclib alone. Five (9.4%) patients developed grade 3 fatigue with 3 of the 5 likely attributed to zotiraciclib alone. Grade 3 diarrhea was found in 3 (5.6%) patients, each caused by zotiraciclib alone. Most hematologic toxicities were grades 1–2. There were 13 (24.5%) patients who had treatment-related grade 4 neutropenia; 4 occurred after zotiraciclib alone, of which 2 resolved to grade 1 within 1 day. Among these 13 patients who had grade 4 neutropenia, 9 of them recovered to grade 2 or less in no more than 3 days; 2 recovered to grade 3 by 3 days. These observations compelled us to further investigate the functional consequences of the transient neutropenia.

Outcomes
As of July 30, 2020, all 50 evaluable patients were off study treatment; other than 2 patients (4%) lost to follow-up, 41 patients (82%) were deceased and 7 patients (14%) were still alive. Although most of the patients (72%) were off treatment due to disease progression, 5 patients (10%) completed the study’s entire treatment course. It was encouraging to observe 2 patients had partial response as the best response, while they were on treatment. Both patients had isocitrate dehydrogenase (IDH)-wildtype glioblastoma; 1 had unmethylated MGMT, suggesting treatment activity in temozolomide-refractory dehydrogenase (IDH)-wildtype glioblastoma; 1 had unmethylated MGMT, suggesting treatment activity in temozolomide-refractory glioblastoma; 1 had unmethylated MGMT, suggesting treatment activity in temozolomide-refractory glioblastoma (Supplementary Fig. S1).

In dose-escalation phase, 250 mg of zotiraciclib was established as the MTD in both arms. Following cohort expansion, a total of 36 patients were treated for at least one cycle of zotiraciclib at MTD with either DD or MN temozolomide. PFS4 was 0.40 [95% confidence interval (CI), 0.17–0.63] in Arm1 (DD temozolomide) and 0.25 (95% CI, 0.08–0.47) in Arm2 (MN temozolomide). The DD temozolomide combined with 250 mg zotiraciclib was selected as the optimal dose and schedule for future studies. There was no significant difference of overall PFS in each arm at MTD as shown in Supplementary Fig. S2. Subset analysis demonstrated that the PFS in patients with IDH-mutant gliomas was 171 days versus 74 days in IDH-wildtype tumors (P = 0.015; HR, 2.3; 95% CI, 1.02–5.25; Supplementary Fig. S3).

AE of special interest early-onset and transient neutropenia
CBC with differential demonstrated a consistent decrease in the absolute neutrophil count (ANC) between 12 and 24 hours after an oral dose of zotiraciclib and recovered to their baselines by 72 hours (Fig. 2A). The nadir of ANC occurred within a few hours after the T_{max} of zotiraciclib and recovered as its plasma concentration fell below the detectable level (Fig. 2B). A transient decrease in the count of other blood cells, such as platelet or red blood cells, was not observed. The morphology of neutrophils captured by CellaVision, illustrated in Supplementary Fig. S4, was reviewed by certified hematology staff. A significant drop was observed in the absolute number of mature, segmented neutrophils but not in bands, the immature neutrophils at 12 hours (Supplementary Fig. S5), suggesting a loss of mature neutrophils, perhaps to the marginated pool, or into the organs, such as lungs, etc., without a concomitant release of immature neutrophils from the bone marrow. Defects in the chemotaxis of neutrophils, which may cause severe infections, were investigated. Zotiraciclib was not found to alter the fMLF-induced nor C5a-induced chemotaxis as demonstrated in the video files in Supplementary Materials and Methods. The release of ROS from neutrophils is an important component in the bactericidal repertoire of neutrophils. While ROS production stimulated by fMLF and opsonized zymosan was significantly reduced at 24 hours after zotiraciclib treatment, ROS production stimulated by PMA was not reduced (Fig. 2C and 2D). Interestingly, the loss of ROS production to fMLF and opsonized zymosan was transient, and completely recovered within 72 hours. The expression of the surface antigens on neutrophils, including IgG1, CD11b, CD16, CD18, CD66b, 7D5, IgG2a, and CD62 L was unremarkable. To explore the potential etiology for indirect effects on neutrophils, a cytokine array study of the plasma sample from patients’ blood was conducted.
conducted. We observed an increase in the plasma levels of several cytokines that often exceeded the normal range (defined as the mean ± 2SD, n = 114 healthy volunteers). The peak level of IL8 coincided temporally with the ANC nadir and may reflect an impact on neutrophil biology (Fig. 3). Several other cytokines (IL6, MIP-1α, MIP-1β, IP-10, and MCP-1) exhibited increased plasma levels that peaked at 24 hours, suggesting that zotiraciclib therapy may be associated with transient immune activation. More importantly, the elevated level of these cytokines recovered to the normal range at 72 hours post-treatment.

### Pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenetics

Blood samples obtained from all 13 patients in cohort expansion were analyzed for pharmacokinetics and pharmacogenetics. Following apparent first-order absorption, zotiraciclib appeared to follow a monoexponential elimination (Supplementary Fig. S6). Individual concentration versus time profiles for all 13 patients are depicted in Fig. 2A. A noncompartmental analysis of plasma concentration versus time data was used to determine pharmacokinetic parameters for each patient. While the general pattern of absorption and elimination was consistent across patients, the pharmacokinetic parameters varied among patients (range, 31%–52%CV; Supplementary Table S2). No significant difference was observed in pharmacokinetic parameters between patients enrolled to different arms (Supplementary Fig. S7). In addition, no significant correlation was found between apparent oral clearance or volume of distribution and body weight or age (Supplementary Fig. S8).

All 13 patients in the cohort expansion had pharmacogenetic data available. While several genetic variants were analyzed (n = 17 in CYP1A2, n = 25 in CYP3A4), interindividual variation was only

### Table 1. Patient characteristics.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>All patients n = 53</th>
<th>ARM 1 (DD) n = 25</th>
<th>ARM 2 (MN) n = 28</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median age, years (range)</strong></td>
<td>52.3 (20.9–76.4)</td>
<td>50.8 (21.3–75.9)</td>
<td>55.5 (20.9–76.4)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Female/male</td>
<td>13/40</td>
<td>6/10</td>
<td>7/21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Median KPS (range)</strong></td>
<td>90 (70–100)</td>
<td>90 (70–100)</td>
<td>90 (70–100)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumor type at screening</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma, IDH wildtype</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma, IDH mutant</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Glioblastoma, NOS</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma, IDH mutant</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Anaplastic astrocytoma, IDH wildtype</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diffuse midline glioma, H3K27M mutant</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>1st/2nd recurrence</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1st relapse</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2nd relapse</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>IDH mutation statusa</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH mutant</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IDH wildtype</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MGMT promoter status</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methylated</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unmethylated</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Prior brain tumor therapies</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concurrent XRT/TMZ followed by adjuvant TMZ</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>XRT and TMZ in sequential</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Othersd</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: AA, anaplastic astrocytoma; DD, dose-dense; GBM, glioblastoma; IDH, isocitrate dehydrogenase; KPS, Karnofsky performance status; MN, metronomic; NOS, not otherwise specified; TMZ, temozolomide; XRT, radiation therapy.
aTumor type at screening is based on “The 2016 World Health Organization Classification of the Tumor of the Central Nervous System.”
bIDH mutation status is not available in 1 patient due to lack of enough tumor material.
cMGMT promoter methylation status is not available due to lack of enough tumor material in 1 case.
dOther therapies include clinical trial therapies, reirradiation, TTF (Tumor Treating Field). All 18 patients who received these therapies also received concurrent XRT/TMZ followed by adjuvant TMZ as well.

### Table 2. Dose-limiting toxicity analysis.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Dose level</th>
<th>DLT</th>
<th>DLT rate (%)</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>ARM 1 (DD)</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>G3 diarrhea</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 0 200 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 1 250 mg</td>
<td>3/12</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>G4 neutropenia; G3 ALT elevation; G3 fatigue</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 0 200 mg</td>
<td>1/6</td>
<td>16.7</td>
<td>Dose reduction due to G3 neutropenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D II 300 mg</td>
<td>1/2</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>G4 neutropenia; G4 ALT elevation; G4 AST elevation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ARM 2 (MN)</td>
<td>5/12</td>
<td>41.7</td>
<td>G3 ALT elevation; G3 fatigue; Dose reduction due to G3 neutropenia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D 1 250 mg</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Abbreviations: ALT, alanine aminotransferase; AST, aspartate aminotransferase; D, dose level; DD, dose-dense; DLT, dose-limiting toxicity; G, grade; MN, metronomic.
Neutrophil and pharmacokinetic analysis. A, Plot of the percentage of baseline ANC over time in each patient (n = 13). B, Serum concentration of zotiraciclib over time after treatment in each patient (n = 13). C, Neutrophil ROS production was measured by luminol-enhanced chemiluminescence (ECL), using fMLF, zymosan, and phorbol myristate acetate (PMA) as stimuli. The AUC was calculated as the measure of ROS production. Data are expressed as the mean ± SD. Black bar: daily normal neutrophil; blue bar: patient baseline; red bar: 24 hours after treatment; green bar: 72 hours after treatment. ns, not significant; **, P < 0.01; †††, P < 0.001. D, Changes of fMLF (top), opsonized zymosan (middle), and PMA-induced ROS production at baseline (blue lines), 24 hours (red lines), and 72 hours (green lines) after treatment in a representative case compared with the normal neutrophils (black lines).

Patient-reported outcomes

Of the 50 evaluable patients, the compliance rates of completing MDASI-BT were 98%, 89%, and 100% at the baseline, cycle 2, and cycle 4, respectively. As shown in Supplementary Table S3, overall symptom burden at baseline was 1.3 (SD = 1.3) at baseline. Of the symptom factors, the affective factor was rated the highest. Overall interference at baseline was rated 2.3 (SD = 2.6) with activity-related interference rated the highest. Among patients who received treatment through cycle 4, the overall symptom burden at baseline was 1.3 (SD = 1.1) and overall interference was 2.1 (SD = 2.8).

A longitudinal evaluation using data from all available patients reveals that overall symptom burden and interference worsen during cycle 2 but remained the same from cycle 2 to cycle 4 (Supplementary Table S3). However, no significant statistical differences on any of the symptom subscales and interference between treatment arms were found. Analyses of the data using completers yielded similar results.

Discussion

Development of a promising therapeutic approach in refractory malignant gliomas remains challenging. Here we report a randomized phase I clinical trial investigating the toxicity and tolerability of a novel drug, zotiraciclib, in combination with temozolomide in patients with recurrent glioblastoma and anaplastic astrocytoma.

Our preclinical studies were compelling and strongly supported the clinical investigation of zotiraciclib with temozolomide (11). While zotiraciclib is also under investigation as a single agent in the clinical investigation of zotiraciclib with temozolomide (11), the synergistic effects and alternative dosing schedule of temozolomide support the clinical investigation of combined therapy in recurrent disease. Furthermore, zotiraciclib and temozolomide synergistically induced glioma cell death regardless of MGMT expression levels, suggesting a role in treating temozolomide-resistant gliomas. Knowing most patients would have already received the standard dosing of temozolomide (150–200 mg/m² on days 1–5 of a 28-day schedule) as first-line therapy, alternative schedules were considered. The 7 days on/7 days off and metronomic were the most evaluated schedules,
which have shown promising clinical activities in recurrent glioblastomas (19, 20).

This trial simultaneously optimized the dosing and schedule of the combination of zotiraciclib and temozolomides using the BOIN dose-finding design. This approach minimized the chance of exposing patients to subtherapeutic or overly toxic doses, and has better operating characteristics than the 3 + 3 design (21, 22). Furthermore, the use of a randomization schema in the phase I design enabled the objective comparison of two different temozolomide dosing schedules while using results from patients enrolled on the dose finding as well as the expansion component. We prespecified PFS4 as a landmark to facilitate the rapid determination of a potentially better temozolomide schedule to combine with zotiraciclib for the phase II study, not to establish that one schedule is truly better than the other, given the small sample size. It is possible that the PFS at a specific landmark (e.g., 4 months) is not fully consistent with the trend of the overall PFS. In our trial, the PFS of the two schedules are mostly comparable, which means that choosing either schedule for the phase II is probably reasonable.

In this phase I study, extensive investigations were done to understand the toxicity and tolerability profile of the combined treatment in the study patients. Longitudinal measures of symptom burden support that after an early increase in symptom burden, supportive measures lead to either improvement or stabilization of symptoms. Although DD temozolomide with higher zotiraciclib dose seems to be associated with worsening symptoms compared with other treatment arms, no statistically significant differences were found, likely due to small sample size.

A zotiraciclib-induced neutropenia with an unusual pattern was observed, which typically developed within 24 hours after treatment and recovered within 72 hours in patients. Although it reported that CDK inhibitors may induce neutrophil apoptosis through CDK7 and CDK9 inhibition (23), we did not observe a similar effect of IL-8, IL-6, IP-10, MCP-1, MIP-1α, MIP-1β.
zotiraciclib. In vitro experiments using neutrophils from healthy volunteers demonstrated that zotiraciclib has no effects on neutrophil viability and morphology within a range of concentration (10–200 nM/L; data not shown). Zotiraciclib also had little effects on IMLE-induced chemotaxis and expression of surface markers in neutrophils from healthy volunteers, suggesting that an indirect effect of zotiraciclib may be attributed to the transient neutropenia. To ensure patient safety, we performed an in-depth neutrophil analysis along with a concurrent determination of zotiraciclib pharmacokinetics to potentially correlate with the drug metabolism and neutrophil functions as part of the clinical trial. The response of neutrophils to PMA, including induced ROS production, bypasses many of the physiologic receptor-mediated signaling pathways required for response to fMLF and opsonized zymosan (24, 25). PMA-induced ROS production following zotiraciclib was found to be intact, suggesting that the potential of ROS production remained following the treatment. The ROS production induced by fMLF and opsonized zymosan was found to be decreased at 24 hours, coinciding with the nadir of ANC. However, it recovered by 72 hours, suggesting a transient loss of signaling responses, rather than a decrease in ROS production capacity. Neutrophil chemotaxis and surface marker expression were found to be unaffected in the neutrophils isolated from patients treated with zotiraciclib. Furthermore, despite the profound drop in peripheral blood neutrophil count, there were no instances of febrile neutropenia. This may be partially explained by the associated cytokine release with zotiraciclib treatment which may partially mediate its indirect effects on neutrophils. Although more studies are needed to fully uncover the causes of the transient neutropenia, our extensive neutrophil studies demonstrate that zotiraciclib causes a significant but transient neutropenia, which does not warrant immediate drug discontinuation in most cases.

To understand the individual variance observed in pharmacokinetic parameters and treatment-related toxicities, we performed a pharmacogenetic study to interrogate possible genomic polymorphisms or variants in genes coding the drug-metabolizing enzymes or drug transporters (26, 27). Genetic variations in CYP genes or their protein variants in genes coding the drug-metabolizing enzymes or drug transporters (26, 27). Genetic variations in CYP genes or their protein products are known to cause variabilities in the drug metabolism and CYP3A4, but does not significantly induce these two enzymes in vitro (16). We identified a single-nucleotide change in gene coding CYP1A2 (CYP1A2_5347T>G, rs2470890) that results in a synonymous amino acid substitution of unknown significance, although some have speculated this variant affects mRNA stability (30). This SNP is also associated with a significant difference in zotiraciclib pharmacokinetics in a cohort of 13 patients. rs2470890 has also been reported to be associated with increased hematologic toxicity in patients with head and neck cancer with chemotherapy treatments (31, 32). We plan to validate these findings in future clinical trials.

To better inform future studies, a subset analysis was performed in patients stratified by IDH mutation status of their tumors. Although it may be argued that the longer PFS in IDH-mutant glioma reflects its own favorable prognosis, all study subjects were heavily pretreated prior to study enrollment. Despite patients with IDH-mutant glioma having a longer PFS, accelerated progression after first recurrence has been reported previously (33). In addition, the IDH mutation status was not found to be predictive of PFS in recurrent glioblastoma trials (34). Furthermore, studies have shown decreased oxidative phosphorylation and reduced ATP level can be induced by 2-hydroxylutarate, an oncometabolite in IDH-mutant gliomas (35). On the basis of our preclinical data, zotiraciclib reduces ATP production as a single agent and more significantly when combined with temozolomide, supporting our hypothesis that the therapeutic benefit in IDH-mutant gliomas may be in part related to metabolic targets (11). The combination of our preclinical data and preliminary clinical activity from the current clinical trial supports the need for a preplanned subset analysis in IDH-mutant and -wildtype gliomas in future clinical trials.

In summary, zotiraciclib is an anticancer drug with novel mechanisms of action. To our knowledge, this is the first report of a clinical trial of zotiraciclib in the treatment of recurrent high-grade gliomas. In this early-stage clinical investigation of zotiraciclib, we performed a two-stage, two-arm randomized phase 1 study to determine the optimal dosing of zotiraciclib and temozolomide. In addition, the study also includes robust pharmacokinetic, pharmacogenetic, assessment of PROs and most importantly a thorough interrogation of the previously unrecognized rapid-onset neutropenia. For this latter observation, without the in-depth analysis concluding that this transient event did not compromise patient safety, the study and further development of this novel CDK9 inhibitor would have been interrupted. We believe this is an important message to the oncology community as novel targets are uncovered, leading to innovative treatments and the potential for previously unrecognized “off target” effects.
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