Disposition of Docosahexaenoic Acid-Paclitaxel, a Novel Taxane, in Blood: In Vitro and Clinical Pharmacokinetic Studies
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ABSTRACT

Purpose: Docosahexaenoic acid-paclitaxel is as an inert prodrug composed of the natural fatty acid DHA covalently linked to the C2’-position of paclitaxel (M. O. Bradley et al., Clin. Cancer Res., 7: 3229–3238, 2001). Here, we examined the role of protein binding as a determinant of the pharmacokinetic behavior of DHA-paclitaxel.

Experimental Design: The blood distribution of DHA-paclitaxel was studied in vitro using equilibrium dialysis and in 23 cancer patients receiving the drug as a 2-h i.v. infusion (dose, 200-1100 mg/m²).

Results: In vitro, DHA-paclitaxel was found to bind extensively to human plasma (99.6 ± 0.057%). The binding was concentration independent (P = 0.63), indicating a non-specific, non-saturable process. The fraction of unbound paclitaxel increased from 0.052 ± 0.0018 to 0.055 ± 0.0036 (relative increase, 6.25%; P = 0.011) with an increase in DHA-paclitaxel concentration (0–1000 μg/ml), suggesting weakly competitive drug displacement from protein-binding sites.

Conclusions: Extensive binding to plasma proteins may explain, in part, the unique pharmacokinetic profile of DHA-paclitaxel described previously with a small volume of distribution (~4 liters) and slow systemic clearance (~0.11 liters/h).

INTRODUCTION

Paclitaxel belongs to the class of taxane diterpenoids (taxoids), and contains a C-20 carbon skeleton derived biogenetically from geranylgeranylnyl PP, with a unique tricyclopentadecane ring system as the core structure. The compound is highly lipophilic, virtually insoluble in water (<0.010 mg/ml), and is currently formulated for clinical use in a mixed solvent system containing purified polyoxyethylated castor oil (CrEL)4 and 49.7% (v/v) dehydrated ethanol, USP (1). To increase aqueous solubility, the design and synthetic preparation of numerous paclitaxel analogues have been described (2). A prodrug approach would be ideal for this situation, because spontaneous hydrolysis or enzymatic cleavage of the analogue converts it back to paclitaxel, and would thus retain the pharmacological activity associated with the parent drug. Structure-activity relationships have shown that the hydroxyl moiety at C-2’, which is essential for cytotoxic activity and tubulin polymerization, offers the preferred handle for the synthesis of inert prodrugs (3).

In addition to solving solubility issues, linkage of specific carriers to paclitaxel offers the possibility of targeted drug delivery that may enhance the therapeutic index (4). The potential targeting of paclitaxel to tumor cells has been investigated with the use of enzyme-activatable prodrugs (5–7) or receptor-mediated-based approaches (8, 9). Studies have demonstrated tumoral uptake of some ω-3 fatty acids from arterial blood (10). DHA-paclitaxel (Fig. 1) is a 2’-acetyl derivative of the natural fatty acid DHA and paclitaxel, was shown to be associated with significantly enhanced tumor distribution and antitumor activity in various tumor models as compared with paclitaxel after equitoxic or equimolar doses (11). The clinical preparation of the prodrug (i.e., Taxoprexin Injection) is administered as a 2-h infusion in a vehicle that contains 81% less CrEL than Taxol on a molar basis. In a Phase I study, the disposition of DHA-

4 The abbreviations used are: CrEL, Cremophor EL; CrEL-P, Cremophor EL-P; DHA, docosahexaenoic acid; HSA, human serum albumin; AAG, α1-acid glycoprotein; LLOQ, lower limit of quantitation; ULOQ, upper limit of quantitation; EPA, eicosapentaenoic acid; AUC, area under the drug concentration-time curve; ANC, absolute neutrophil count; OFV, objective function value.
paclitaxel at the recommended dose of 1100 mg/m² was shown to be very distinct from that of other known taxanes and characterized by an extremely small volume of distribution (~4 liters) and slow systemic clearance (~0.11 liters/h; Ref. 12). Here, we studied the blood distribution of DHA-paclitaxel in vitro and in vivo in more detail to elucidate the basis for the unique pharmacokinetic behavior of the drug, and additionally investigated the relationship between systemic exposure measures and the dose-limiting toxicity, neutropenia.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Chemicals and Reagents. Paclitaxel powder (batch, 484034; purity, 98.3%) was kindly provided by Bristol-Myers Squibb (Woerden, the Netherlands). Separate lots of [G-3H]-paclitaxel (batch I: specific activity, 8.63 mCi/mg in neat ethanol; batch II: specific activity, 22.9 mCi/mg in toluene-ethanol (98:2)) were provided by Moravek Biochemicals, Inc. (Brea, CA) and Protarga, Inc. (King of Prussia, PA), respectively. Ethanolic reference solutions of DHA-paclitaxel (batch, 710303A; 2.1-ml vials containing 100 mg/ml), [3 H]DHA-paclitaxel (batch I: specific activity, 8.63 mCi/mg in neat ethanol; batch II: specific activity, 22.9 mCi/mg in toluene-ethanol (98:2)) were provided by Moravek Biochemicals, Inc. (Brea, CA) and Protarga, Inc. (King of Prussia, PA), respectively. Ethanolic reference solutions of DHA-paclitaxel (batch, 710303; 2.1-ml vials containing 100 mg/ml), [3H]DHA-paclitaxel (specific activity, 116 μCi/mg), CrEL-P reference material (batch, 11255666), EPA-paclitaxel, and cephalomannine were also obtained from Protarga. HSA (fraction V) and AAG (puriﬁed from Cohn fraction VI) were from Sigma Chemical (St. Louis, MO). Other chemicals were of reagent grade or better. Human blood was obtained from healthy volunteers, and the plasma fraction was separated by centrifugation (3000 x g for 5 min at 37°C) and used within 1 h after collection. Purified water was obtained by ﬁltration and deionization using a Milli-Q-UF system (Millipore, Milford, MA), and was used throughout.

In Vitro Binding Experiments. Equilibrium dialysis was performed at 37°C in a humidified atmosphere of 5% CO₂ using test cells made from 2.0-ml polypropylene Safe-Lock vials (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) carrying a 260-μl inside recess in the lids (13). The experiments were carried out with 260-μl aliquots of either plasma or protein solution (HSA, 40 mg/ml or AAG, 1.0 mg/ml) containing various concentrations of DHA-paclitaxel (0, 1, 10, 100, or 1,000 μg/ml) in the presence of a ﬁxed tracer amount of [3H]-DHA-paclitaxel, against an equal volume of PBS. Spectra/Por dialysis tubing with a 12,000–14,000 molecular weight cutoff (Spectrum Medical, Kitchener, Ontario, Canada) was used as dialysis membrane and was soaked for 10 min in a PBS solution before use. Preliminary experiments established that: (a) the time to reach equilibrium between plasma proteins and the buffer compartment for DHA-paclitaxel is attained around 20 h, both in the presence and absence of CrEL-P (data not shown); and (b) the pH (range, 6.2–7.7) had no inﬂuence on DHA-paclitaxel binding characteristics (P = 0.48; not shown). Hence, experiments were performed using a 24-h dialysis period at pH 7.4. After this time period, 150-μl aliquots of both compartments were transferred to scintillation vials and mixed with 1.9 ml of Ultima Gold XR scintillation mixture (Packard Bioscience, Groningen, the Netherlands). After vigorous mixing for 30 s, the radiolabel was quantiﬁed by liquid-scintillation counting using a Model 1409 counter (Wallac Oy, Turku, Finland) until a preset time of 20 min was reached. With the ﬁnal method, the mean relative SD for unbound drug fraction of DHA-paclitaxel spiked plasma samples (1, 10, 100, and 1,000 μg/ml) was 15.2%, with values for within-run and between-run precision ranging from 6.88% to 9.86% and 5.23% to 19.1%, respectively.

The effect of CrEL-P and paclitaxel on binding of DHA-paclitaxel was evaluated at final concentrations of 0.25 to 10 μM and 1.0 μg/ml, respectively. Similarly, the inﬂuence of DHA-paclitaxel (1–1000 μg/ml) on paclitaxel binding to plasma was evaluated in the presence and absence of CrEL-P.

All of the experiments were performed in triplicate on four separate occasions (n = 12), except for the HSA and AAG binding (2 occasions; n = 6), and statistical analyses were carried out using NCSS v5.X (J. L. Hintze, Kayesville, UT). The effects of DHA-paclitaxel concentration, CrEL-P, and pH on DHA-paclitaxel binding were estimated by a one-way ANOVA followed by the Dunnett test. The effects of paclitaxel on DHA-paclitaxel binding and vice versa was evaluated by a two-tailed unpaired Student’s t test with equal variances, as recognized by the F test.

Estimation of Binding Parameters. The drug concentration ratio in the buffer and plasma or protein solution after dialysis was calculated for each paired observation, and was taken as an estimate of the unbound drug fraction (fu). The bound drug fraction (fdb) was calculated as:

\[ fdb = (1 - fu) \times 100\% \]  

(A)

Modified Scatchard plots were constructed using the bound drug concentration (Cbd) and the unbound drug concentration (Cu), and initial estimates of binding parameters were obtained using an automated-model selection procedure implemented in the Siphar v4.0 software package (InnaPhase, Philadelphia, PA). The observed data were ﬁtted to the equations for saturable (Eq. B) and nonsaturable binding (Eq. C):

\[ Cbd = \sum_{i=1}^{n} (nP \times K_i \times Cu)/(1 + K_i \times Cu) \]  

(B)

\[ Cbd = (nK) \times Cu \]  

(C)

where Cbd and Cu are expressed as molar concentrations, m is the number of binding site classes, n the number of saturable binding sites per mol of protein in the i-th class (1, 2, or 3), P the molar concentration of protein, K the association constant, and nK the contribution constant of nonspecific, nonsaturable binding on one site. Binding parameters were calculated by an
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Table 1  Patient demographic data (n = 23)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristic</th>
<th>Median</th>
<th>Range</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Baseline screening</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>29–80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sex (male/female)</td>
<td>13/10</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BSA (m²)</td>
<td>1.83</td>
<td>1.36–2.66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Height (cm)</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>155–188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Weight (kg)</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>43–90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretherapy hematologic data</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hematocrit (%)</td>
<td>39.2</td>
<td>34.6–40.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neutrophils (× 10⁹/liter)</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>2.57–12.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platelets (× 10⁹/liter)</td>
<td>284</td>
<td>148–589</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pretherapy clinical chemistry</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASAT (IU/L)</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>14–73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALAT (IU/L)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>8.0–41</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ALP (IU/L)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>80–2288</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total bilirubin (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.3–1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum creatinine (mg/dl)</td>
<td>0.80</td>
<td>0.60–1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Creatinine clearance (ml/min)</td>
<td>103</td>
<td>31.9–169</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Serum albumin (mg/ml)</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>33–53</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Data indicate number of patients.

BSA, body-surface area; ASAT, aspartate aminotransferase; ALAT, alanine aminotransferase; ALP, alkaline phosphatase.
source set at 450°C with data collection in a positive polarity mode.

For both assays, system calibration was accomplished by a weighted (1/x) linear-regression analysis (correlation coefficient, \( \geq 0.996 \)) of the peak area ratio of analyte to internal standard versus the nominal concentration of the analyte. Extraction recovery ranged between 95.8% and 105.0%, and 96.3% and 104.7% for DHA-paclitaxel and paclitaxel, respectively. The values for precision and accuracy, obtained by repeated analysis of quality-control samples spiked with the analytes, ranged between 2.3% and 15.6%, and 86.4% and 114.5%, respectively.

**Measurement of DHA-Paclitaxel in Whole Blood.** The analytical procedure for DHA-paclitaxel concentrations in whole blood samples was based on reversed-phase high-performance liquid chromatography with UV detection. Briefly, 200-µl aliquots of whole blood were extracted and deproteinized with an equal volume of acetonitrile containing 50 µl of cephalomannine, used as internal standard. Supernatants of the extract (injection volume, 10 µl) were injected directly on an analytical column packed with Curosil-G material (4 µm particle size; 250 x 4.6 mm internal diameter; Phenomenex, Torrance, CA), protected by a Curosil-G Guard Pak (6 µm particle size; 30 x 4.6 mm internal diameter; Phenomenex). The mobile-phase solvents were composed of water:acetonitrile (99:1, v/v; CA), protected by a Curosil-G Guard Pak (6/ H9262/ H11003/ H11003). The UV absorbance unit at full scale set at 2.00 from 10 to 16 min. The UV absorbance unit at full scale set at 0.20 from 4 to 10 min, and –

\[ \text{Cu} = \text{fu} \times \text{Cp} \]  

\[ \text{Ke/p} = \frac{\text{Chl}}{\text{Cp}} \]  

**Pharmacological Analysis.** Estimates of pharmacokinetic parameters for unbound DHA-paclitaxel, unbound paclitaxel, and CrEL in plasma were derived from individual concentration-time data sets by noncompartmental analysis using the software package WinNonLin v3.0 (Pharsight Corporation, Mountain View, CA). The peak plasma concentrations and the time to peak were the observed values. The AUC was calculated using the linear trapezoidal method from time zero to the time of the final quantifiable concentration (AUC(tf)). The AUC was also extrapolated to infinity by dividing the last measured concentration by the rate constant of the terminal phase (k), determined by linear-regression analysis. The systemic clearance was calculated by dividing the administered dose by the observed AUC[inf], and the terminal disposition half-life was calculated as \( \ln (2)/k \). The systemic exposure to paclitaxel relative to that of DHA-paclitaxel was calculated as:

\[ \frac{\text{AUC}[\text{tf}]}{\text{AUC}[\text{tf}]} \times 100\% \]  

after correction for molar differences (molecular weights are 1164 and 854 for DHA-paclitaxel and paclitaxel, respectively). Estimates of pharmacokinetic parameters for total plasma DHA-paclitaxel and paclitaxel were calculated previously (12), and were used to calculate the fraction unbound drug based on the AUC ratio of unbound to total drug (Cu/Cp). The whole blood: plasma concentration ratio (Kb/p) of DHA-paclitaxel was defined as:

\[ \text{Kb/p} = \frac{\text{Chl}}{\text{Cp}} \]  

The extent of RBC partitioning (Ke/p) was assessed from the relationship:

\[ \text{Ke/p} = \frac{[\text{Kb/p} - (1 - \text{Hc})]/\text{Hc}}{\text{Hc}} \]  

with Kb/p depending on hematocrit (Hc) of the whole blood used in the determination. In this relation, pretherapy values of Hc were used from each individual. Representative concentration-time profiles for DHA-paclitaxel in plasma and whole blood, unbound DHA-paclitaxel, and CrEL were fitted with a two-compartment model using the software program MW/ Pharm v3.02 (MediWare, Groningen, the Netherlands).

Relationships between exposure to paclitaxel and DHA-paclitaxel (peak concentration or AUC) and hematological toxicity (neutropenia) were explored using nonlinear least squares regression analysis as implemented in Siphar v4.0. Hematological pharmacodynamics were evaluated by analysis of the percentage decrease in ANC, calculated as:

\[ \% \text{decrease} = \frac{[(\text{pretherapy value} - \text{nadir value})/\text{pretherapy value}] \times 100\%}{(1 - \text{Hc})/\text{Hc}} \]  

Data were fitted to a sigmoidal maximum-effect model of drug effect, in which the percentage decrease in ANC (E) is defined as:

\[ E = E_0 + E_{\text{max}} \left( \frac{[\text{KP}]/(\text{KP}_{50})^y + \text{KP}}{\text{KP} + \text{KP}_{50}} \right) \]  

in which \( E_0 \) is the no-drug effect, \( E_{\text{max}} \) is the maximum response (fixed to a value of 100%), KP is the kinetic parameter of interest, \( \text{KP}_{50} \) is the value of the kinetic parameter predicted to
result in half of the maximum response, and \( \gamma \) is the Hill constant, describing the sigmoidicity of the curve. Model discrimination was guided by the decrease in the objection function value (\(-2\times\) log likelihood), graphical goodness-of-fit analyses, \( R^2 \) values, and the Akaike Information Criterion. To differentiate between hierarchical models, \( P < 0.05 \) was chosen, corresponding to a difference in the OFV of 3.84, with the OFV approximately \( \chi^2 \) distributed.

**Statistical Considerations.** All of the data are presented as mean values \( \pm SD \), unless stated otherwise, and for all of the tests the \( a \) priori cutoff for statistical significance was taken at 0.05.

**RESULTS**

**In Vitro Binding Interactions.** In the absence of CrEL-P, DHA-paclitaxel was found to bind extensively (99.6 \( \pm 0.057\% \)) to human plasma proteins, with a free drug fraction of only 0.0038 \( \pm 0.0057\% \). At clinically relevant concentrations of DHA-paclitaxel (1–1000 \( \mu g/ml \)), this binding was concentration independent (\( P = 0.63 \)), indicating a nonspecific, nonsaturable process. In contrast to previous observations with paclitaxel (16), CrEL-P had only a very minor effect on DHA-paclitaxel binding, which was only observed at the two highest CrEL-P concentrations (\( P < 0.0001 \)). The free-drug fraction of DHA-paclitaxel was similar in the absence and presence of paclitaxel (\( P = 0.19 \)). DHA-paclitaxel binding to normal physiological levels of HSA (99.8 \( \pm 0.051\% \)) and AAG (99.8 \( \pm 0.037\% \)) was similar to that in plasma, drug-concentration independent (\( P > 0.13 \)), and not influenced by CrEL-P (\( P > 0.89 \)). Regression modeling revealed that the binding to HSA and AAG was nonsaturable on a single site in the concentration range studied, with the bound concentration linearly related to unbound drug (\( R^2 > 0.999; P = 0.0056 \)). Binding affinity to both proteins was identical with an association constant for nonsaturable binding (nK) to HSA and AAG of 678 \( \pm 22.5 \mu M^{-1} \).

In the presence of DHA-paclitaxel, the unbound fraction of paclitaxel increased from 0.052 \( \pm 0.0018 \) to 0.055 \( \pm 0.0036 \) (relative increase, 6.25\%; \( P = 0.011 \)) as the DHA-paclitaxel concentration increased from 0 to 1000 \( \mu g/ml \), suggesting weakly competitive displacement from protein-binding sites. This effect was not observed in the absence of CrEL-P (\( P = 0.65 \)), with unbound paclitaxel fractions of 0.124 \( \pm 0.0071 \) (DHA-paclitaxel concentration, 0 \( \mu g/ml \)) and 0.129 \( \pm 0.0079 \) (DHA-paclitaxel concentration, 1000 \( \mu g/ml \)).

**In Vivo Blood Distribution.** Concentration-time profiles of DHA-paclitaxel in whole blood followed the same general pattern as those in plasma (Fig. 2). At Taxoprexin doses of 880 mg/m\(^2\) \( (n = 2) \) and 1100 mg/m\(^2\) \( (n = 6) \), the DHA-paclitaxel blood:plasma concentration ratios were 0.486 \( \pm 0.202 \) and 0.536 \( \pm 0.152 \), respectively. This suggests that the majority of DHA-paclitaxel was associated with the plasma fraction, with a negligible degree of RBC partitioning (\( Ke/p = 0.113 \pm 0.305; P < 0.05 \) versus \( Ke/P = 0 \)).

**Plasma Pharmacokinetics.** Plasma pharmacokinetic parameters at the three highest dose levels are presented in Table 2. Drug concentrations in plasma from patients treated at the two lowest dose levels (200 mg/m\(^2\), \( n = 1 \); 400 mg/m\(^2\), \( n = 2 \)) remained undetectable beyond 4 h after the end of infusion; pharmacokinetic parameters for these patients are not listed in Table 2. Representative plasma concentration-time profiles for unbound DHA-paclitaxel and paclitaxel are shown in Fig. 3. Moderate interindividul variability in unbound DHA-paclitaxel and paclitaxel AUC was noted at the 1100 mg/m\(^2\) dose level.

![Figure 2](https://example.com/fig2.png)

**Figure 2** Representative concentration-time profiles of total DHA-paclitaxel and unbound DHA-paclitaxel in plasma (solid symbols) and whole blood (open symbols) in a patient after Taxoprexin administration at a dose of 1100 mg/m\(^2\). Individual curves (solid lines) for DHA-paclitaxel concentrations in plasma and whole blood were fitted with a two-compartment model.

![Table 2](https://example.com/table2.png)

**Table 2** Summary of pharmacokinetic parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Dose level (mg/m²)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>660 (6)(^b)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unbound DHA-paclitaxel</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{max} ) (µg/ml)</td>
<td>1.70 ± 0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( tf ) (h)</td>
<td>26.5 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( AUC_{[τ]} ) (µg/h/ml)</td>
<td>17.2 ± 3.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( AUC_{[inf]} ) (µg/h/ml)</td>
<td>26.1 ± 10.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{1/2, z} ) (h)</td>
<td>17.8 ± 13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CL (liter/h)</td>
<td>58.2 ± 25.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/Cp (%)</td>
<td>0.33 ± 0.071</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Unbound paclitaxel</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( C_{max} ) (µg/ml)</td>
<td>0.013 ± 0.011</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( tf ) (h)</td>
<td>26.5 ± 1.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{max} ) (h)</td>
<td>21.4 ± 0.17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( AUC_{[τ]} ) (µg/h/ml)</td>
<td>0.089 ± 0.092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( AUC_{[inf]} ) (µg/h/ml)</td>
<td>0.225 ± 0.083</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( T_{1/2, z} ) (h)</td>
<td>40.7 ± 22.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cu/Cp (%)</td>
<td>9.7 ± 0.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( AUC_{[inf]}^{τ} ) (µg/h/ml)</td>
<td>0.71 ± 0.15</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\( ^a \) Mean values \( \pm SD \).

\( ^b \) Total number of patients studied at each dose level in parenthesis.

\( ^c \) \( C_{max} \), peak plasma concentration; \( tf \), final quantifiable concentration; \( T_{max} \), time to peak plasma concentration; \( \text{AUC} \), area under the plasma concentration-time curve; \( \text{inf} \), infinity; \( T_{1/2, z} \), half-life of the terminal disposition phase; \( \text{CL} \), apparent clearance; \( \text{Cu/Cp} \), fraction unbound drug based on the AUC ratio of unbound to total drug; \( \text{pac} \), paclitaxel; DHA, DHA-paclitaxel.
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Clinical Pharmacokinetics of DHA-Paclitaxel after Taxoprexin administration at a dose of 1100 mg/m². Individual concentrations in plasma were fitted with a two-compartment model.

(i.e., ~35%). Exposure to unbound DHA-paclitaxel increased in near proportional manner with dose (Table 2), and clearance values were not significantly different between the various dose levels ($P = 0.352$, Kruskal-Wallis test), suggesting a linear and dose-independent pharmacokinetic behavior. Consistent with the in vitro data, >99.7% of drug was bound within the circulation without any trend in time (data not shown).

In vivo formation of paclitaxel was rapid (at 15 min during the infusion), with peak concentrations observed around the end of infusion (Table 2; Figs. 3 and 4). However, at the 1100 mg/m² dose level, unbound paclitaxel represented only <2% of unbound DHA-paclitaxel exposure. The exposure to unbound paclitaxel increased in a distinct nonlinear fashion with Taxoprexin dose, as a 25% dose increment (from 880 to 1100 mg/m²) was associated with 70% and 181% increases in peak concentration and AUC[t], respectively. As a result, systemic exposure to unbound paclitaxel relative to that of unbound DHA-paclitaxel was highly dose-dependent (Table 2), confirming the nonlinear binding process of paclitaxel observed in vitro.

CrEL Pharmacokinetics. Disappearance of CrEL from the plasma compartment was characterized by elimination in an apparent biexponential manner (Fig. 4), in line with previous findings (13). A dose dependence was evident in CrEL clearance ($P = 0.049$, Kruskal-Wallis and Dunn’s tests; Table 2), which likely relates to inaccurate determination of the terminal disposition phase at the lower Taxoprexin dose levels resulting from constraints in assay sensitivity and the sampling scheme.

Exposure-Toxicity Relationships. The parameter estimates for the different pharmacodynamic models, using data from all 23 of the patients, are summarized in Table 3. Preliminary results demonstrated that separate estimation of the observed maximum effect did not improve the fits, and it was set to a theoretical maximum value of 100%. The kinetic parameters predicted to result in half of the maximum response showed a substantial degree of interindividual variability (range, 24–73%) and were poorly estimated in some models because of the few patients. With the use of peak concentration as exposure measure, the fits were significantly worse than those based on AUC for each individual analyte ($\Delta$OFV = 9.34; Fig. 5). The exposure-neutropenia relationship was best described with the model based on unbound paclitaxel AUC, although no significant improvement of the fit was obtained as compared with total paclitaxel AUC ($\Delta$OFV = 1.48; Table 3).

DISCUSSION

In the present study we have described the in vitro and in vivo blood distribution of DHA-paclitaxel, a conjugate of the natural fatty acid DHA and paclitaxel. The binding of DHA-paclitaxel to human plasma was >99.6% and independent of drug concentration over the full clinically relevant range. When binding studies were extended to individual proteins, it was found that HSA and AAG contributed to about an equal extent to drug binding, with an association constant for nonspecific, nonsaturable binding of 678 µM⁻¹. This degree of binding is substantially different from that of paclitaxel, which is 85–87% bound (17), with overall association constants of 1.7 and 0.082 µM⁻¹ for specific and nonspecific binding to HSA (18, 19). The presence of the long-chain fatty acid linked to the paclitaxel molecule is the likely explanation for this difference, as fatty acids are among the most strongly protein-bound endogenous substrates (association constant ~100 µM⁻¹; Ref. 20). The binding to proteins also had a dramatic influence on drug distribution to RBCs, in line with the small distribution volume...
the maximum response expressed in units of \( \text{mg/m}^2 \); paclitaxel. In contrast, a decreased fraction of unbound DHA-paclitaxel in solution as large polar micelles is thought to entrap interaction has not yet been fully elucidated, the presence of taxel binding (16). Although the mechanism underlying this phenomenon did not significantly influence overall disposition profiles, and a linear pharmacokinetic behavior for unbound DHA-paclitaxel was found in the tested dose range of 200-1100 mg/m\(^2\).

Across all of the dose levels, paclitaxel declined in parallel with DHA-paclitaxel, suggesting that the elimination rate constant of the prodrug is smaller than that of the metabolite and that paclitaxel elimination is formation-rate limited. This is consistent with the \( \sim 15 \)-fold difference in apparent half-life of unbound paclitaxel after Taxoprexin Injection (i.e., 80.7 \pm 71.2 h) and Taxol administration (6.12 \pm 3.42 h; Ref. 22). At the recommended doses, 10-fold lower peak concentrations of unbound paclitaxel were achieved with Taxoprexin administration (1100 mg/m\(^2\)) as compared with Taxol (175 mg/m\(^2\); 0.021 \pm 0.010 versus 0.197 \pm 0.099 \mu g/ml), whereas the AUC values were very similar (0.624 \pm 0.216 versus 0.526 \pm 0.099 \mu g\cdot h/ml; Ref. 22).

The present study demonstrates a striking dose dependence in unbound paclitaxel pharmacokinetics after Taxoprexin administration, with the peak concentration and AUC increasing \( >2 \)-fold with a 1.25-fold increase in dose (from 880 to 1100 mg/m\(^2\)). There are many drugs that display nonlinear elimination kinetics, most commonly resulting from saturable metabolic pathways (e.g., phenytoin; Ref. 23) or saturable excretion pathways (e.g., cyclophosphamide; Ref. 24). However, it is very unlikely that this also accounts for the nonlinear exposure to paclitaxel, because: (a) the apparent Michaelis-Menten constants for the principal metabolic route (i.e., paclitaxel 6a-hydroxylation) for human hepatic microsomes (\( \sim 4.0 \mu M \)) and complementary expressed CYP2C8 (\( \sim 5.4 \mu M \)) are \( >100 \)-fold higher than concentrations of unbound paclitaxel in patients (25); and (b) no signs of nonlinearity in paclitaxel tissue distribution and fecal or urinary excretion have been noted after administration of Taxol (26, 27). Although the mechanistic basis for the dose-dependent pharmacokinetics of paclitaxel observed here remains to be elucidated, it is possible that capacity-limited

### Table 3 Summary of pharmacodynamic modeling

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Unbound</th>
<th>Total drug</th>
<th>Unbound</th>
<th>Total drug</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C(_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>C(_{\text{max}})</td>
<td>AUC</td>
<td>C(_{\text{max}})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KP(_{50})</td>
<td>0.003 (\pm 0.001)</td>
<td>0.031 (\pm 0.007)</td>
<td>0.044 (\pm 0.032)</td>
<td>0.300 (\pm 0.215)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E(_{\gamma})</td>
<td>1.00 (\pm 0.40)</td>
<td>0.77 (\pm 0.14)</td>
<td>1.09 (\pm 0.44)</td>
<td>0.72 (\pm 0.17)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OFV</td>
<td>119.8</td>
<td>65.56</td>
<td>95.38</td>
<td>67.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AIC</td>
<td>103.4</td>
<td>95.96</td>
<td>101.6</td>
<td>97.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>R(^2)</td>
<td>0.253</td>
<td>0.624</td>
<td>0.465</td>
<td>0.602</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P</td>
<td>0.009</td>
<td>&lt;0.0001</td>
<td>0.0006</td>
<td>0.0001</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^{a}\) Mean values (\(\pm SD\) for KP\(_{50}\) and \(\gamma\)) from 23 patients using sigmoidal maximum-effect models of exposure-neutropenia relationships.

\(^{b}\) C\(_{\text{max}}\), peak plasma concentration; AUC, area under the plasma concentration-time curve; KP\(_{50}\), kinetic parameter predicted to result in half of the maximum response expressed in units of \(\mu g/\text{m}l\) for C\(_{\text{max}}\), and in units of \(\mu g \cdot \text{h}/\text{m}l\) for AUC; \(\gamma\), Hill constant; E\(_{\gamma}\), no-drug effect; AIC, Akaike Information Criterion.

---

**Figure 5** Percentage decrease in ANC as a function of peak concentration (C\(_{\text{max}}\)) of unbound paclitaxel (top) and AUC of unbound paclitaxel (bottom) in 23 patients treated with Taxoprexin DHA-paclitaxel at doses ranging from 200 to 1100 mg/m\(^2\) (\(\triangle\), 200 mg/m\(^2\); \(\triangleleft\), 400 mg/m\(^2\); \(\bigtriangledown\), 660 mg/m\(^2\); \(\bigcirc\), 880 mg/m\(^2\); and \(\Box\), 1100 mg/m\(^2\)). The solid lines represent the fit of a sigmoidal maximum-effect model to the data.
binding to plasma proteins in the presence of DHA-paclitaxel contributes to explaining this phenomenon. Support for this hypothesis comes from in vitro studies indicating that paclitaxel binding is slightly altered by clinically relevant concentrations of DHA-paclitaxel as a result of a displacement interaction. Some clinical implications from this process, particularly with respect to considerations of treatment schedule, are easily envisaged. Most importantly, it makes the use of total paclitaxel concentrations for therapeutic monitoring misleading, particularly if there is significant interindividual variability in the apparent binding constant. In addition, changes in proteins levels, which are known to occur in cancer patients, can result in time dependence of drug kinetics, especially with respect to total drug (28).

The pharmacodynamic analysis performed here, based on nonlinear sigmoidal maximum-effect models, revealed significant correlations between the percentage decrease in ANC at nadir and exposure to paclitaxel. The predicted AUC value of unbound paclitaxel associated with a 50% decrease in ANC (%AUC50) was only 0.031 μg/ml after Taxoprexin administration and ~0.790 μg/ml after Taxol in a 3 weekly regimen (17), despite similar sigmoidicity factors and exposure levels. Although DHA-paclitaxel has no microtubule-assembly activity in vitro and is thought to be inactive until metabolized (11), the present results showed a significant relationship between DHA-paclitaxel exposure and neutropenia. This suggests that the extended exposure of bone marrow cells to high levels of DHA-paclitaxel as a result of the small distribution volume can result in localized toxicity and contribute to the kinetic-dynamic relationships. The present data did not support, possibly because of insufficient information, the notion that the unbound concentration should be more closely related to drug effects than total concentrations. To additionally optimize kinetic-dynamic relationships, a population analysis for Taxoprexin-induced myelosuppression is being performed currently by modeling the entire time course of neutrophils in each individual patient.6


Previous studies have shown that CrEL clearance increases with prolonged duration of infusion from 1 to 3 and 24-h, and that systemic exposure to CrEL depends significantly on the duration of drug infusion (29). Our current data on CrEL levels in patients treated with Taxoprexin Injection given as a 2-h duration of drug infusion (29) is consistent with previous estimates of 199 to 227 ml/h after Taxol in a 3 weekly regimen (17), despite similar sigmoidicity factors and exposure levels. Although DHA-paclitaxel has no microtubule-assembly activity in vitro and is thought to be inactive until metabolized (11), our current data on CrEL levels in patients treated with Taxoprexin Injection given as a 2-h infusion, can therefore not be compared directly with other infusion schedules. However, it is noteworthy that the mean CrEL clearance of 302 ± 133 ml/h observed here is consistent with previous estimates of 199 ± 70.0 ml/h and 361 ± 175 ml/h after 1-h and 3-h administrations of Taxol, respectively (30). This provides additional evidence of the time dependence in CrEL clearance, with disproportional increases in systemic exposure being associated with shortening of infusion duration. This finding may be of particular importance in view of the potential role of CrEL in the etiology of acute hypersensitivity reactions associated with its clinical use. Szebeni et al. (31) have postulated that an important contributing mechanism to these hypersensitivity reactions is related to complement activation because of binding of natural anticholesterol antibodies to the hydroxyl-rich surface of CrEL micelles. Although only few episodes of minor acute reactions were observed in the Phase I study of Taxoprexin Injection (mainly facial flushing graded 1 on the National Cancer Institute common toxicity scale; 2 patients in cycle 1 and another 5 beyond cycle 1),7 it should be mentioned that a change in Taxoprexin Infusion duration can have a much greater impact on complement-activating CrEL levels at the site of administration and on total CrEL exposure than would be expected in case of time-independent pharmacokinetics.

It is concluded that DHA-paclitaxel binding to plasma proteins is an important determinant of its pharmacokinetic behavior. The major fraction of the administered drug is seques-
tered by HSA and AAG, restricting the unbound concentration, and affecting distribution and elimination pathways. A comparative analysis indicates that exposure to CrEL and unbound paclitaxel after Taxoprexin administration at the recommended dose is similar to that achieved with Taxol on clinically relevant schedules. Additional analysis of the disposition of DHA-paclitaxel in patients, with respect to the current findings, should be of great importance for our ability to better understand the role of the various factors that may influence the pharmacologic behavior and actions of the drug, and of other drugs administered concomitantly.
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