A diagnostic biopsy-adapted immunoscore predicts response to neoadjuvant treatment and selects patients with rectal cancer eligible for a watch-and-wait strategy
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120–150-word statement of translational relevance
Biopsy-adapted Immunoscore (ISb) performed on rectal cancer biopsy samples is an adaptation of the standardized IS performed on the surgical specimen in colon cancer. First, ISb provides a strong and independent prognostic factor for disease free survival of rectal cancer patients. Secondly, ISb predicts the response to neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT). Lastly, ISb combined with imaging post-nCRT discriminates the group of patients with a complete histological response to nCRT (no residual tumor) that should benefit from less invasive therapeutic strategies (ie. Watch-and-Wait or minimally invasive surgery), avoiding a disabling and useless rectal amputation surgery.

Abstract
Purpose:

No biomarker to personalize treatment in locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) is currently available. We assessed in LARC whether a diagnostic biopsy-adapted Immunoscore (IS_B) could predict response to neoadjuvant treatment (nT) and better define patients eligible to an organ preservation strategy (“Watch-and-Wait”).

Experimental Design:

Biopsies from two independent cohorts (n_1=131, n_2=118) of patients with LARC treated with nT followed by radical surgery, were immunostained for CD3+ and CD8+ T cells and quantified by digital pathology to determine IS_B. The expression of immune-related genes post-nT was investigated (n=64 patients). Results were correlated with response to nT and disease-free survival (DFS). The IS_B prognostic performance was further assessed in a multicentric cohort (n=73 patients) treated by Watch-and-Wait.

Results:

IS_B positively correlated with the degree of histologic response (P<0.001) and gene expression levels for Th1 orientation and cytotoxic immune response, post-nT (P=0.006). IS_B High identified patients at lower risk of relapse or death compared to IS_B Low (HR=0.21, 95% CI=0.06 to 0.78; P=0.009). Prognostic performance of IS_B for DFS was confirmed in a validation cohort. IS_B was an independent parameter, more informative than pre- (P<0.001) and post-nT (P<0.05) imaging to predict DFS. IS_B combined with imaging post-nT discriminated very good responders that could benefit from organ preservation strategy. In the “Watch-and-Wait” cohort (n=73), no relapse was observed in patients with IS_B High (23.3%).

Conclusions: IS_B predicts response to nT and survival in LARC patients’ treated by surgery. Its usefulness in the selection of patients eligible for a Watch-and-Wait strategy is strongly suggested.
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Introduction

Colorectal cancer is the third most common cancer in the world with an increasing incidence especially in younger adults (1). In locally advanced rectal cancer (LARC) neoadjuvant chemoradiotherapy (nCRT) followed by radical surgery is recommended by international guidelines (2,3). Tumor recurrence and patient’s survival are strongly influenced by the quality of response to neoadjuvant treatment (nT) (4–6). Recent advances in the management of LARC patients have shown that it could be conceivable to avoid amputation of the rectum (preservative strategy; eg. Watch and Wait) in patients with clinical and imaging features compatible with a complete response to nT (7,8). These patients experience acceptable outcomes, however, about 25% of them develop early tumor regrowth (9). There are currently no molecular markers to predict responses to nCRT, and guide treatment decision (3), such as optimization or modification of nT in non-responding patients, and better selection of patients eligible to preservative strategy.

Ionizing radiation has the capacity to prime/reinforce an adaptive T-cell mediated immune response, which acts in the mechanisms of local tumor regression and of distant tumor inhibition and rejection (i.e. the abscopal effect) (10–12). This suggests that the quality and intensity of the natural immune reaction at tumor site before nT could influence the magnitude of response to nT and provide a predictive marker of response. Natural immune reaction at tumor site has been further associated with a favorable prognosis in various cancers (13), including colorectal cancer, treated by surgery alone (14,15). Recent advances in digital pathology and image analysis have allowed a translation of immune assessment into a clinically relevant application (16). Using these technologies, the first standardized immune-based assay for colon cancer called “Immunoscore” (IS; i.e. the combination of CD3+ and CD8+ T cell densities in the tumor and its invasive margin) has been developed. Its robustness and prognostic performance in stage I-III colon cancer has been consolidated through an international validation study (17). Thus, IS provides a reliable evaluation of the natural immune reaction at tumor site.
Preliminary studies in rectal cancer have suggested that the natural immune reaction of tumors could be evaluated on biopsies (18–20), the only sample material available before treatment. A derivation of the Immunoscore performed in initial biopsies (ISB) before nT has the benefit of evaluating the quality of the initial immune response in the tumor and its potential influence on both the degree of response to nT and clinical outcome.

The aim of this study was to determine whether the ISB correlates with response to nT, the in situ immune status after nT, and clinical outcome. We finally tested whether IS could help to better select the subgroup of patients eligible to a preservative strategy, with acceptable outcome.

**Patients and Methods**

**Patient population**

Two retrospective consecutive cohorts of LARC patients ($n_1 = 131$, $n_2 = 118$) with available biopsies, treated by nT and radical surgery by total mesorectal excision (TME) were analyzed. Cohort 1 was a monocentric cohort and cohort 2 was multicentric (Supplementary Table S1 and Supplementary Figure S1). Inclusion period ranged from 1999 to 2016. Neoadjuvant treatment and surgery criteria were defined by each institution. Overall, 64.2% of patients were male and the median age at diagnosis was 65 years (interquartile range [IQR] = 53.3-74.1). Patients were treated by nT (short [3.7%] or long [96.3%] course of radiation; 5-fluorouracil-based chemotherapy [CT; 82%]; 18% did not receive CT). Rectal tumors were classified as cTNM (UICC TNM 8th edition) I (1.2%), II (27.3%), III (71.5%) according to baseline staging information provided by pelvic magnetic resonance and chest/abdominal computed tomography imaging. An additional cohort of patients ($n = 73$) with a complete/nearly complete response to nT (ycTNM 0-1), followed by a Watch-and-Wait strategy, was analyzed (Supplementary Table S2). A flow chart illustrating the studied population in each part of the investigations is provided in Supplementary Figure S2. The median
duration of follow-up for DFS of the cohort 1+2 was 45.4 months (IQR = 25.7-65.6). Duration of follow-up of each cohort for DFS, TTR and OS with the number of events is provided in **Supplementary Table S3**. The study was performed in accordance with the Declaration of Helsinki. Approval of all the centers Institutional Review Board committee was obtained for the study. Signed informed consents patients were obtained in each center.

**Clinical outcomes**

Patients were compared according to the degree of tumoral response to nT, using different tumor regression grade (TRG) scoring systems: i/ the Dworak classification (21) defined as complete (Dworak 4), near complete (Dworak 3), moderate (Dworak 2), minimal (Dworak 1) and no regression (Dworak 0), ii/ the neoadjuvant rectal (NAR) score classification (5), calculated using the equation [5pN-3(cT-pT) + 12]^2/9.61, and classified as low (<8), intermediate (8-16), and high (>16), iii/ the ypTNM stage, ie. the postsurgical pathological T and N evaluation, and iv/ downstaging of the tumor (4), defined as complete (ypT0N0), intermediate (ypT1-2N0), or weak/absent (ypT3-4 or N+). For patients who underwent surgery, the events were local, systemic recurrences and death from the date of surgery for disease-free survival (DFS), recurrences for time to recurrence (TTR), and death from any cause for overall survival (OS). All patients who were managed with the Watch-and-Wait strategy were considered to have clinical complete response (ycTNM0) and were offered a strict surveillance protocol.

**Immunohistochemistry**

Initial biopsies of all patients performed for diagnosis purpose were retrieved from all centers. Two formalin-fixed paraffin-embedded (FFPE) tumor tissue sections of 4 µm were processed for immunochemistry with antibodies against CD3+ (2GV6, 0.4 µg/mL; Ventana, Tuscon, AZ, USA) and...
CD8+ (C8/144B, 3 µg/mL; Dako, Glostrup, Denmark) according to the previously described protocol (17) revealed with the Ultraview Universal DAB IHC Detection Kit (Ventana, Tuscon, AZ, USA), and counterstained with Mayer’s hematoxylin.

Biopsy-adapted Immunoscore (IS₈) determination

Digital images of stained tissue sections were obtained with a 20X magnification and a resolution of 0.45 µm/pixel (Nanozoomer HT, Hamamatsu, Japan). Delimitation of the tumoral component excluding normal tissue and low/high grade dysplasia-associated lesions was performed by an experienced pathologist (CL). The mean densities of CD3+ and CD8+ T cells in the tumor region were determined with a dedicated IS module of the Developer XD image analysis software (Definiens, Munich, Germany; Figure 1A). The mean and distribution of the staining intensities were monitored providing an internal staining quality control. A final quality check was performed to remove nonspecific staining detected by the software. Determination of IS₈ was directly derived from the methodology used to determine the Immunoscore® (IS) in the international validation cohort of IS in colon cancers which have shown a strong inter-observer reproducibility (17). CD3+ and CD8+ T cells densities in the tumoral region of each patient were compared to that obtained for the whole cohort of patient and converted accordingly into percentile. Then, the mean of the two percentiles (CD3 and CD8) was translated into one of the three IS₈ categories (Figure 1B): IS₈ Low (0-25%), IS₈ Intermediate (>25-70%), and IS₈ High (>70-100%). The IS₈ determination was performed blinded to the study endpoint.

RNA extraction and transcriptomic analysis by NanoString technology

Total RNA from 20 µm FFPE tumor tissue sections from all patients for which both biopsies and the corresponding surgical specimen post-nT was available (cohort 1 and 2; n=62) and from colorectal cancer patients not treated with nT (n= 13) was isolated using the RecoverAll™ Total Nucleic Acid...
Distribution of tumor extension T and N stages among patients with or without nT did not display any statistical difference. The quality and quantity of the isolated RNA was measured using Agilent RNA 6000 Nano kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA) and NanoDrop 2000 (ThermoFisher Scientific, Waltham, USA) and 100-400 ng RNA of each sample was processed using an in-house panel of 44 immune-related genes (Nanostring Technologies, Seattle, WA, USA; Supplementary Table S4). Reporter-capture probe pairs were hybridized and the probe/target complexes were immobilized and counted on the nCounter analyzer. Background subtraction was applied to raw data and normalization based on the geometric mean of positive control and internal housekeeping genes (GUSB, SP2) was performed using the nSolver Analysis software, version 2.5.

**Statistical analysis and data visualization**

Statistical analyses and data visualizations were performed using the R software version 3.5.1 with the add-on survival, survminer, ggpubr, ggplot2, rms and coin packages. The associations between ISB and clinical characteristics were assessed through the chi-square or Fisher tests of independence. Association level between CD3+ and CD8+ cell densities was measured by Pearson's correlation coefficient r and related P value. Survival univariate analyses were performed using the log-rank test and the Cox proportional hazards model. Survival curves were estimated by the Kaplan-Meier method. The log-rank test for trend from the survminer package was performed to detect ordered differences in survival curves. Multivariate survival analyses were performed with Cox proportional hazards model to test the simultaneous influence of all covariates. The proportional hazards assumption (PHA) for each covariate was tested using the cox.zph function. The relative importance of each parameter to survival risk was assessed by the chi-square from Harrell's rms R package. The association between ISB and nT ordinal response level was assessed using a unilateral linear-by-linear association test. The associations between nT response levels...
and CD3+, CD8+ T cells densities and gene intensities were assessed by Kendall’s correlation test, T test, and Mann-Whitney U test. Wilcoxon test adjusted to control false discovery rate by using the Benjamini and Hochberg procedure was used to test treatment response level in transcriptional analysis. The ycTNM staging and IS$_B$ were included in the proportional odds ordinal logistic regression model to predict good histopathologic response to nT. P values <0.05 were considered statistically significant. Principal component analysis (PCA) was performed with PCA and fviz_pca_ind functions from packages FactoMineR and factoextra. Linear weighted kappa was used to measure the agreement between resected tumors and biopsy samples in IS calculation.

Results

Biopsy-adapted Immunoscore (IS$_B$) determination on the rectal cancer diagnostic tissues

CD3+ lymphocytes and cytotoxic CD8+ cells were assessed on initial tumor biopsies performed for diagnosis purpose of LARC (n=322) treated by nT. The immunostaining intensity was monitored to ensure a valid detection and counting of stained cells with the image analysis software (Figure 1A). Seven patients were excluded after biomarker quality control (2.8%), and 4 patients were excluded after clinical data quality control (1.2%). The median density of CD3+ and CD8+ T cells in the tumor were 1363 cells/mm$^2$ and 274 cells/mm$^2$, respectively (Supplementary Figure S3A). The CD3+/CD8+ T cells ratio was highly variable among patients, with a coefficient of determination ($r^2$) between both markers of 0.58 (Supplementary Figure S3B). IS$_B$ was derived from the CD3+ and CD8+ T cells densities (Figure 1B). CD3 and CD8 densities in the tumor were converted into percentiles referring to the densities observed in all patients. IS$_B$ mean percentile of CD3 and CD8 was calculated for each biopsy (IS$_B$ mean score). No statistical difference for the mean score was observed between the two cohorts (Cohort 1: 51.9 ± 29.1, Cohort 2: 48.8 ± 23.4 (means ± standard deviation); p=0.36). After converting the mean score into IS$_B$ scoring system, overall 22.7%, 52.5%, and 24.8% of patients had IS$_B$ Low, Intermediate and High, respectively. Of note, IS$_B$ Intermediate
category was more represented in the cohort 2 (61.9%), as compared to cohort 1 (43.5%). Representative tumor biopsies from patients with IS₉ Low and IS₉ High are shown in Figure 1C.

Biopsy-based Immunoscore (IS₉) associated prognostic value

Distribution analysis of IS₉ did not display any association with age, sex or tumor location (Supplementary Table S1). The magnitude and reproducibility of the IS₉ prognostic performance were tested in two independent cohorts. In cohort 1 (n₁ = 131), a significant difference in DFS between patients stratified by IS₉ was observed (P test for trend [Pₜ₉₉] = 0.012; HR_{High versus Low} = 0.21 (95% CI 0.06-0.78) and illustrated by Kaplan-Meier curves; Figure 1D). Patients with IS₉ High were at low-risk of relapse, with the 5-year DFS of 91.1% (95% CI 82.0-100) versus 65.8% (95% CI 49.8-86.9) in patients with IS₉ Low. These results were confirmed in second independent cohort (n₂ = 118; Pₜ₉₉ = 0.021; HR_{High versus Low} = 0.25, 95% CI 0.07-0.86; Figure 1E). Identical results were obtained when removing the 3 patients with UICC-TNM stage I tumors (Supplementary Figure S4).

In pooled analysis (n = 249), a significant difference between patient's groups stratified by IS₉ was evidenced by univariate analysis (Supplementary table S5) and illustrated by Kaplan-Meier curves for TTR (P < 0.001), DFS (P < 0.005), and OS (P = 0.04; Supplementary Figure S5).

Biopsy-based Immunoscore (IS₉) and response to neoadjuvant treatment

We investigated whether the prognostic value associated to IS₉ was at least partly a consequence of a relationship between IS₉ and the quality of the nT response. The quality of response to nT was assessed 6 to 8 weeks after nT by imaging (ycTNM) and microscopic examination of the resected tumor, by the Dworak classification, a tumor regression grading system, ypTNM, downstaging and the neoadjuvant rectal (NAR) score. In our cohorts (n=249 patients), high CD3+ and CD8+ T cells densities were significantly associated with a good response to nT evaluated by both Dworak classification and ypTNM staging (all P < 0.005; Figure 2A). The mean of CD3+ and CD8+
percentiles (IS₈ mean score) was correlated with the NAR score, Dworak classification, and ypTNM staging (Figure 2B). The IS₈ level and distribution was positively correlated with tumor response to nT (Figure 2C). IS₈ High patients were not found in the non-responder Dworak 0 group, and 52.9% of patients with undetectable tumor cells (i.e. the Dworak 4 group) were IS₈ High (P = 0.0006). The same correlation was observed with the ypTNM, tumor downstaging, and NAR (Figure 2C). Good responders to nT were six times more frequent in the IS₈ High group than in the IS₈ Low group according to the NAR scoring system (Supplementary Figure S6). Immune consequences of nT were then investigated on post-nT tumor samples (Dworak 0-4; n=62) by analyzing 44 immune-related genes (Supplementary Table S4). Gene expression levels were highly variable among patients (Supplementary Figure S7). Unsupervised hierarchical clustering showed that 30.6% (n=19) of patients presented with signs of local immune activation after nT (Figure 3A). The immune activation status after nT was positively correlated with the densities of CD3+ and CD8+ T cells (i.e. IS₈) before treatment (Figure 3B; P = 0.006). Non-responder tumors (Dworak 0-1) presented similarly low level of immune-related genes expression compared to tumors not treated by nT (Figure 3A). Patients with a partial/complete response to neoadjuvant treatment had a significantly higher expression of genes associated with adaptive immunity (CD3D, CD3E, CD3Z, CD8A), Th1 orientation (TBX21/Tbet, STAT4), activation (CD69), cytotoxicity (GZMA, GZMH, GZMK, PRF1), immune checkpoints (CTLA-4, LAG3), and chemokines (CCL2, CCL5, CX3CL1), as compared to patients non-responders to nT (Figure 3C). This suggests a link between the quality of the natural adaptive cytotoxic immune response (IS₈), the presence of a post-nT immune activation and the degree of response to nT. Gene expression data analysis through a Principal Component Analysis (PCA) visualization further reinforced the putative link existing between the response to nT and the immune environment by showing distinct patterns of gene expression depending on the degree of response to nT (Figure 3D). "The combination of the second and third dimension was the most accurate to discriminate responders/non-responders patients."
Biopsy-adapted Immunoscore (IS₈) - a biomarker to optimize patient care

We investigated whether the IS₈ could provide valuable prognostic information when combined with clinic and pathologic criteria available (i) before nT (i.e. initial imaging, cTNM (UICC TNM 8th edition)), (ii) after nT (i.e. imaging post-nT, ycTNM) and (iii) after surgery (pathologic examination, ypTNM). In Cox multivariate analysis, IS₈ was a stronger predictive marker of DFS than other clinicopathological parameters including cTNM (IS₈ High versus IS₈ Low: HR = 0.2, P< 0.001) and ycTNM (IS₈ High versus IS₈ Low: HR = 0.25, P = 0.039). IS₈ further remained a significant independent parameter associated with DFS when combined to ypTNM (Table 1). The important contribution of IS₈ for the prediction of the relapse and/or death compared to other clinical parameters is illustrated in Figure 4A. It is known that the accuracy of the complete response post-nT defined by imaging is imperfect. Only 25 to 50 % of clinical complete responders have no residual tumor (i.e. complete histologic response) (22–24). IS₈ combined to imaging post-nT (ycTNM) increased the accuracy of prediction of histological good responders (ypTNM 0-I) as compared to ycTNM alone (Figure 4B). Three out of 32 patients with good response to nT (ycTNM = 0-I, n=32) experienced distant relapses, and no local relapse were observed. Importantly, no relapse was observed in IS₈ High patients (Figure 4C). Thus, IS₈ could help to select patients who could achieve a very favorable outcome and be eligible to a Watch and Wait strategy.

IS₈ in patients managed with Watch-and-Wait strategy

In a series of patient treated by Watch-and-Wait strategy (n=73), we retrieved the initial diagnostic biopsies to evaluate the IS₈ and the associated clinical outcome. Overall, 23%, 51%, and 26% were classified as IS₈ High, IS₈ Intermediate, and IS₈ Low, respectively. Time to relapse was significantly different among patients stratified for IS₈ (P[High versus Low] = 0.025; Figure 5A). No evidence of relapse was noticed during the follow-up period in IS₈ High patients. Under the Cox proportional hazards
regression model, the 5-year probability of survival without recurrence ranged from 46% to 89% according to IS$^B$ Mean Score (Figure 5B). In Cox multivariable analysis, IS$^B$ was related to the patient’s TTR, independent of age, tumor location, and the cTNM classification (UICC TNM 8th edition) ($P=0.04$; Supplementary Table S6). The relative contribution of IS$^B$ to the prediction of occurrence of disease relapse is illustrated in Figure 5C.

Discussion

This work highlights the links between (i) the quality of natural intra-tumor immunity evaluated by the IS$^B$, (ii) the intensity of in situ immune reaction post-nT, (iii) the extend of the tumor regression post-nT and (iv) the clinical impact in terms of prevention of tumor recurrence and survival. From a clinical point of view, IS$^B$ provides a reliable estimate of both the quality of response after nT and of the risk of recurrence and death in LARC patients. IS$^B$ combined with imaging, could further identify patients with a complete clinical response whom can benefit from a close surveillance strategy post-nT, thus avoiding a disabling and useless rectal amputation surgery. IS$^B$ can be performed on routine diagnosis biopsies without any additional medical procedure. The rigorous and standardized quantification of immune cell infiltrates was achieved as for the IS colon study (17).

In the current study, IS$^B$ was positively and significantly correlated with tumor response to nT. This observation is consistent with our previous preliminary result (18) and with studies using an optical semi-quantitative evaluation of immune cell infiltrates (19,20,25). In the IS$^B$ Low group (22.7% of the cohort), only 5% of patients experienced complete response (Low NAR score), suggesting that an optimization or modification of nT such as adjunctive therapies (26), immunotherapy (27), or drug repositioning may provide greater benefits for these patients in order to achieve a better response. We evidenced an association between signs of in situ cytotoxic adaptive immune response and inflammatory interferon type I-associated molecules production post-nT and the
response to treatment. Type I IFNs play a key role in antitumoral immunity by promoting the maturation and presentation capacity of dendritic cells and their migration to lymph nodes (28). This immune state was influenced by the quality and the intensity of the natural immune response preexisting before nT. IS\textsubscript{B} High could not only favor nT-dependent tumor cell death, but also promote the presence of resident immune components that could be essential to avoid local recurrences in organ preservative strategies such as Watch-and-Wait. Of note, few IS\textsubscript{B} high patients did not achieve a good response, highlighting that treatment resistance is also guided by independent tumor intrinsic factors (29) or the presence of a suppressive microenvironment (30).

Neoadjuvant treatment with development of clinical complete response post-nT has raised the possibility of organ-preserving strategies, as radical resection of the rectum results in functional outcomes, immediate morbidity, and even mortality rates (31). However, imaging after nCRT (ycTNM) has low accuracy in predicting pathologic complete response due to over or under-staging (32). Importantly, no relapse was observed in good responders with IS\textsubscript{B} High patients. In addition, IS\textsubscript{B} increased the accuracy prediction for very good responders (ypTNM 0-I) evaluated by imaging and identified a subgroup of patients treated with an organ-preserving strategy (Watch-and-Wait) with a very favorable outcome. No biomarker is currently available to help selection of good responders eligible to Watch-and-Wait strategy (9). These results may have significant implication in selecting potential candidates for organ-preservation including patients with IS\textsubscript{B} High and complete clinical response to nT, but also those with a delayed complete clinical response (i.e. “nearly-complete responders”) that are presently classified as incomplete responders (33).

This study has some limitations. The immune densities associated with predefined cut points (i.e. 25\textsuperscript{th} and 70\textsuperscript{th} percentile) are closely linked to the clinical characteristics of the studied cohort. The densities used as cut point are relevant to LARC patients treated by nT before surgery. In addition, assessment of IS\textsubscript{B} was performed on initial biopsies; this implies analysis of only a small fraction of the tumor (10-15\% of the surface of cut from a tumor block available after TME) and no analysis of
the invasive margin absent on biopsies. In order to evaluate the correspondence of IS\textsubscript{B} and IS in resected tumor, we analyzed 33 colon cancer biopsies and their associated resected tumor, we found a partial correlation between these two specimens (Supplementary Table S7, kappa=0.45, p=0.0004). All discrepancies were only observed between 2 consecutive categories of IS. Despite this limited surface analysis and the absence of invasive margin, the prognostic value of the IS\textsubscript{B} was retained suggesting the accuracy of the immune evaluation on initial diagnostic biopsy when the surgical piece is unavailable or is impossible to analyze due to architectural changes secondary to the neoadjuvant treatment. In addition, performing IS on post-operative specimen would not allow an assessment of its predictive value of the response to nT. Furthermore, due to the deep histological modifications after nT (no clear delimitation of the tumor and its invasive margin) an IS on post nT specimen is not feasible. The study was performed on patients who came from different countries and received standard-of-care treatment in real-life clinical practice. Despite the size of the specimen and the multiple types of patient care, the strong and constant prognosis value associated with IS\textsubscript{B}, highlight the robustness of the test, and its generalizability. Prognostic parameters such as mismatch repair, KRAS, and BRAF status not available in our study, were not included in multivariate analysis with IS scoring system. However, MSI+ cases are rare in rectal cancer (<5%) (34), and we recently evidenced that IS was an independent prognostic parameter for survival when associated with MSI, KRAS and BRAF status in colonic cancers (35). Most of the rectal cancer included in this study was adenocarcinomas. A sub-analysis by histologic subtypes could not be performed due to the large multicentric character of the cohorts studied, with heterogeneous level of histopathological description and the obvious small effective of mucinous carcinomas, signet ring cell carcinoma, or tumor budding to address their relative prognostic impact with enough power. This study emphasizes the importance of the initial diagnostic biopsies, often done in private practices, and not easily available in some cases. Rectal cancer patients would benefit from a close partnership between private pathology practices, clinics, and teaching...
hospitals in order to initially assess their immune status (IS$_B$). This material could become in the near future essential and be part of the personal medical file of rectal cancer patient as it is the sole material available before any neoadjuvant treatment. IS$_B$ may facilitate a personalized multimodal treatment of rectal cancer particularly in patients with IS$_B$ High tumors at baseline and with signs of tumor regression by imaging. These patients should benefit the most from the conservative strategy and in turns preserve their quality of life.

In conclusion, our results indicate that IS$_B$ could be used (i) to predict tumor response after nT, (ii) to re-stage local disease after nT, and (iii) to predict clinical outcome. This method may facilitate a personalized multimodal treatment of rectal cancer particularly in patients with IS$_B$ High tumors at baseline and with signs of tumor regression by imaging. These patients should benefit the most from the conservative strategy and in turns preserve their quality of life. IS$_B$ is yet to be validated on larger Watch-and-Wait cohorts both retrospectively and prospectively. Such validations are planned in international collaboration studies using the International Watch-and-Wait Database and in the OPERA ongoing clinical trial (NCT02505750).
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Table legends:

**Table 1.** Multivariate Cox models for disease-free survival according to biopsy-adapted
Immunoscore (IS\textsubscript{B}) combined with available clinical parameters

Figure legends:

**Figure 1:** A. Left: Representative image of tumor region (pink) with normal tissue or dysplasia (blue) excluded from the analysis. Left-mid: The tumor region divided into tiles for the analysis. Right-mid: Histogram of positive cells staining intensities detected by the software in a case of adequate immunostaining intensity. Red triangle defines mean case staining intensity of 220. Right: CD3+ T cells (red) detection in a tile (magnification X200). B. Chart illustrating the biopsy-adapted Immunoscore (IS\textsubscript{B}) calculation method. Densities of CD3+ and CD8+ T cells in the tumor region are converted into percentile values. The mean percentile of two markers is calculated to generate IS percentile value, where IS\textsubscript{B} Low, IS\textsubscript{B} Intermediate, and IS\textsubscript{B} High subgroups are reflected by 0-25%, >25-70%, and >70-100% percentile, respectively. C. Representative images of positive CD3+ and CD8+ T cells (cells/mm\textsuperscript{2}) infiltrating the rectal tumor in patients with IS\textsubscript{B} Low and IS\textsubscript{B} High. D. Disease-free survival according to IS\textsubscript{B} Low (red), IS\textsubscript{B} Intermediate (orange), and IS\textsubscript{B} High (blue) in patients who met the biomarker and clinical data quality control evaluation in two independent cohorts (\(n_1 = 124\) and \(n_2 = 114\)). \(P\) test for trend (\(P_{\text{ftt}}\)) is determined by log rank test for trend.

Int = Intermediate; \(P_{\text{ftt}} = P\) test for trend

**Figure 2:** A. Bar charts represent means ± standard errors of the means (SEMs) of CD3+ and CD8+ T cells densities in the tumor biopsies before neoadjuvant treatment according to the subsequent response evaluated by the Dworak score and ypTNM classification (\(*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01\)
<0.01). P obtained for the Kendall’s correlation test. B. Variation in mean percentile of CD3+ and CD8+ T cells densities depending on the degree of tumor regression, as evaluated by the Dworak score, pTNM classification, and NAR score. C. The frequency of IS\textsubscript{B} Low (red), IS\textsubscript{B} Intermediate (orange), and IS\textsubscript{B} High (blue) according to tumor regression. Significant differences for the IS\textsubscript{B} distribution are observed with a unilateral linear-by-linear association test.

**Figure 3:** A. Unsupervised hierarchical clustering showing immune-related genes in rectal cancer patients treated by primary surgery (grey) or by nT followed by surgery (TME) and who did not experience pathological response (red), had partial response (orange), or had complete response (green). Fold of change in gene expression is represented as a color gradient for high (red) and low (green) intensity. Only differentially expressed genes between non-responders and partial-complete responders are shown (34/44). B. The frequency of IS\textsubscript{B} Low (red), IS\textsubscript{B} Intermediate (orange), and IS\textsubscript{B} High (blue) in primary tumor biopsies performed before nT in patients with or without immune activation. Unilateral linear-by-linear association test was applied. Int = Intermediate; \(P_{\text{fit}}\) = P test for trend; UICC = International Union Against Cancer; NAR = neoadjuvant rectal. C. The differential immune gene expression in tumor samples from patients with no sign of response to nT (Dworak 0, red) compared to those with response (Dworak 1-4, orange). Analysis with a Wilcoxon test adjusted for multiple testing (*P < 0.05, **P < 0.01). D. Principal component analysis (PCA) view of patient similarity based on differentially expressed genes between non-responders / responders. nT response levels are colored with confidence ellipses.
**Figure 4:** A. Relative contribution of each parameter available at diagnosis to survival risk. IS_B is compared with clinicopathological parameters including the cTNM, ycTNM, or ypTNM classifications using the chi-squared proportion test. B. Prediction of pathologic response (ypTNM 0-1) based on IS_B mean score and the ycTNM classification (ycTNM 0-I [black], ycTNM II [yellow], and ycTNM III-IV [blue]). C. Disease-free survival according to the IS_B scoring system in patients with good clinical response to treatment (ycTNM 0-1; n = 32). Int = Intermediate

**Figure 5:** A. Time to recurrence according to biopsy-adapted Immunoscore (IS_B) in patients treated by the Watch-and-Wait strategy (n = 73). B. Probability of the 2-year and 5-year recurrence-free survival according to IS_B expressed as a continuous variable (IS_B mean score, in percentiles) under the Cox proportional hazards regression model. C. Relative importance of each risk parameter to survival risk evaluated before neoadjuvant treatment in Watch-and-Wait patients. IS_B is compared with clinicopathological parameters including the cTNM and ycTNM classifications using the chi-square proportion test. Int = Intermediate; TTR = time to recurrence; P_{tft} = P test for trend
Table 1. Multivariate Cox models for disease-free survival according to biopsy-adapted Immunoscore (IS<sub>B</sub>) combined with available clinical parameters

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>Before neoadjuvant treatment</th>
<th>After neoadjuvant treatment</th>
<th>After surgery</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PHA test</td>
<td>HR (95% CI)</td>
<td>P value*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Age</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Under vs Over 65 years</td>
<td>0.922</td>
<td>1.38 (0.85-2.24)</td>
<td>0.19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Tumor location</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Middle vs Inferior</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>1.1 (0.67-1.8)</td>
<td>0.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Superior vs Inferior</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>0.66 (0.26-1.69)</td>
<td>0.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Sex</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Male vs Female</td>
<td>0.06</td>
<td>1.54 (0.9-2.63)</td>
<td>0.118</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Immunoscore (IS&lt;sub&gt;B&lt;/sub&gt;)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Intermediate vs Low</td>
<td>0.476</td>
<td>0.65 (0.38-1.1)</td>
<td>0.111</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High vs Low</td>
<td>0.83</td>
<td>0.2 (0.08-0.49)</td>
<td>&lt;0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>cTNM stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III vs I-II</td>
<td>0.59</td>
<td>1.18 (0.68-2.04)</td>
<td>0.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ycTNM stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 vs III</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I vs III</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.916</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II vs III</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>ypTNM stage</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0 vs III</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I vs III</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II vs III</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*The significance of the multivariate Cox regression model was evaluated with the Wald test
- not applicable
IS, Immunoscore; PHA, proportional hazards assumption; HR, Hazard ratio
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[Images of microscopy slides showing cellular staining and distribution.]
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[Graph showing density of CD8 and CD3 cells, percentage of patients, and immunoscore.
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[Images of CD8 and CD3 staining with ISb High and ISb Low annotations.]
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[Cohort 1: Disease-free survival with different ISb groups.
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[Cohort 2: Disease-free survival with different ISb groups.

Statistical details:

- For Cohort 1:
  \[P_{\text{het}} = 0.012\]
  \[P_{\text{het} (\text{high vs low})} = 0.009\]
  \[HR_{\text{het} (\text{high vs low})} = 0.21 \text{ (95\% CI = 0.06 to 0.78)}\]

- For Cohort 2:
  \[P_{\text{het}} = 0.021\]
  \[P_{\text{het} (\text{high vs low})} = 0.019\]
  \[HR_{\text{het} (\text{high vs low})} = 0.25 \text{ (95\% CI = 0.06 to 0.78)}\]
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A. Relative variable contribution to disease-free survival
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B. Prediction of good response (ypTNM 0-1) based on ISB mean score (percentiles)
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