Circulating HPV DNA as a marker for early detection of relapse in patients with cervical cancer
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Statement of translational relevance

HPV infection is responsible for almost all cervical cancers (CC) and circulating HPV DNA (HPV ctDNA) can be detected into blood of patients. Patients with advanced diseases have high risk to relapse and they are difficult to identify.

In the present study, we observed that HPV ctDNA can be detected before treatment by droplet-digital PCR (ddPCR) in a high proportion of patients with advanced stage (71% of stages III and IV) and persistence of HPV ctDNA after first-line therapy predicted relapse of CC.

The short turnaround time, high sensitivity and limited cost of the detection of HPV ctDNA by ddPCR are compatible with clinical practice. This opens the possibility of monitoring patients with CC and identifying patients with high risk to relapse, allowing studies to evaluate the benefit of salvage surgery or innovative drug combinations for patients with residual HPV ctDNA after treatment.
List of abbreviations:

- CC: Cervical Carcinoma
- cfDNA: Circulating Cell-free DNA
- ctDNA: Circulating Tumor DNA
- ddPCR: Droplet Digital PCR
- EOT: End of Treatment
- FIGO: International Federation of Gynecology and Obstetrics
- FFPE: Formalin-Fixed Paraffin-Embedded
- HPV: Human Papillomavirus
- HPV ctDNA: Circulating HPV DNA
- NGS: Next Generation Sequencing
- NMR: Nuclear Magnetic Resonance
- PFS: Progression-Free Survival
- RAIDs: Rational Molecular Assessment and Innovative Drug selection

Human genes:

- PIK3CA: Phosphatidylinositol-4,5-bisphosphate 3-Kinase Catalytic subunit Alpha
- RPP30: Ribonuclease P/MRP Subunit P30
Abstract

Purpose: Almost all cervical cancers (CC) are caused by human papillomavirus (HPV) and patients with advanced stage are at high risk for relapse. Circulating HPV DNA (HPV ctDNA) may serve as a residual tumor marker at the end of chemo-radiation or to predict relapse during the follow-up period.

Experimental design: We analyzed serum samples from 94 HPV16- or HPV18-related CCs from the BioRAIDs prospective cohort. Samples were collected before and after treatment and during an 18-month follow-up period. Using digital droplet PCR (ddPCR), we assessed the relevance of circulating HPV E7 gene as a marker for residual disease compared to HPV integration site and PIK3CA mutations. Finally, the prognostic impact of circulating HPV E7 gene was assessed with its prediction value of relapse.

Results: HPV E7 gene was the most sensitive tumor marker, superior to both HPV integration sites and PIK3CA mutations in serum. Circulating HPV DNA (HPV ctDNA) was detected in 63% (59/94) of patients, before treatment. HPV ctDNA detection in serum sample was associated with high FIGO stage (p=0.02) and para-aortic lymph node involvement (p=0.01). The level of HPV ctDNA was positively correlated with HPV copy number in the tumor (R=0.39, p<0.001). Complete clearance of HPV ctDNA by the end of treatment was significantly associated with a longer PFS (p<0.0001). Patients with persistent HPV ctDNA in serum relapsed with a median time of 10 months (range, 2-15) from HPV ctDNA detection.

Conclusion: HPV ctDNA detection is a useful marker to predict relapse in CC.
INTRODUCTION

Human papillomavirus (HPV) infection is the etiological agent of almost all cervical cancers (CC), the second leading cause of gynecological cancer-related death in women worldwide (1, 2). Standard treatment consists of surgery for early-stage disease (Stage FIGO ≤ IB2); while chemoradiation is recommended for patients with locally advanced disease (Stage FIGO IB3-IIIC/IVA). For locally advanced stages, salvage hysterectomy for suspected residual disease after chemoradiation has been extensively debated. While it improves local control of the tumor, it remains associated with increased morbidity and no impact on improved overall survival was ever documented (3, 4). Salvage hysterectomy after full dose radiotherapy is therefore usually limited to patients with a very high suspicion for residual tumor. However, these patients with high risk of developing local relapse or distant metastases are not easily identifiable as their monitoring remains complex. Radiological imaging results can be difficult to appreciate and pathological reports of biopsies may document fibrosis and inflammation, leaving an uncertainty as to whether there are still viable tumor cells (5).

The detection of circulating tumor DNA (ctDNA), a fraction of cell-free circulating DNA released from tumor cells into the bloodstream, is now widely used for monitoring disease in various tumor types (6-8). Somatic mutations may thus serve as ctDNA markers and are commonly detected by digital PCR or NGS techniques (9-11). In patients with HPV-related tumors, circulating HPV DNA (HPV ctDNA) may be similarly detected in blood, either in episomal form or integrated into tumor DNA (12-16). Furthermore, HPV appears to be a stable tumor marker over time, since the same HPV type identified in a primary tumor is also observed in metastatic tissue (15).

The clinical value of HPV ctDNA has already been investigated in patients with HPV-related tumors (17-20). While we previously reported on the use of HPV ctDNA for monitoring the efficacy of immunotherapy or chemotherapy in metastatic anal cancer (21, 22), its use as a predictive and prognostic biomarker has recently been more and more reported by others, both in anal and head and neck cancers (23-25), as well as in a small prospective cohort of CC (26) and in a small retrospective cohort of metastatic CC (12).

In the present study from the European prospectively collected BioRAIDs (NCT02428842) cervical cancer dataset (27-31), the main objective was to investigate whether HPV ctDNA may be used for the early prediction of relapse after primary treatment in CC, similarly to other HPV-related cancers. Beyond HPV E7 detection in serum, we also assessed viral-cellular DNA junction sequences...
resulting from HPV integration into the tumor genome, together with PIK3CA mutations, a somatic marker of high prevalence in CC.

**MATERIALS AND METHODS**

**Patients and samples**

The “European funded RAIDs Network (Rational Molecular Assessment and Innovative Drug Selection, [www.raids-fp7.eu](http://www.raids-fp7.eu)) prospectively monitored the multicenter trial BioRAIDs (NCT02428842), with the objectives to generate high quality samples and molecular assessments to stratify patient populations with cervical cancer (CC) and to identify molecular patterns associated with poor outcome. Detailed eligibility criteria and treatments have been previously reported elsewhere (28, 29). Main eligibility criteria were: patients with stage IB2-IV disease (2018 FIGO staging system); all histological subtypes (excluding neuro-endocrine type); no prior treatment for CC; signed informed consent. The patients (n=419) included in the study received standard treatment, according to the standards of each center. The study was approved by the respective local ethics committees: Comité pour la protection des personnes (CPP) Ile de France in France, The Serbian Medical Society Belgrade in Serbia, The Protocol Toetsingscommissie of the Antoni van Leeuwenhoek (PTC) in the Netherlands, The Medizinische Hochschule Hannover Ethik-kommission in Germany, The National Ethics Committee of Health Ministry of Republic of Moldova, Academy of Medical Sciences National Ethics Committee for medicines and medical devices in Romania. The study was conducted according to national requirements and the guidelines of the Declaration of Helsinki.

Tumor samples were collected at baseline before treatment and cryopreserved for molecular analysis. All samples were histologically reviewed at the time they were included into HPV testing. Only tumor samples with at least 30% of tumor cells were selected for the study. Serum samples were collected at inclusion, at the end of treatment and during follow-up visits at 6, 12 and 18 months. Imaging was performed before treatment for all patients. Depending on the center, additional imaging may be available at the end of treatment and the follow-up visits. The present study focused on serum samples from 94 patients, selected for HPV16- or HPV18-positive CC, according to the workflow presented in the figure 1. Serum samples taken, at range 28
days before and 120 days after the date of the end of treatment (EOT), and 120 days before relapse, were considered as EOT samples.

**HPV typing and integration site**

HPV typing was previously performed as described (30), using the SPF10 primer set Inno-LiPA HPV genotyping extra line probe assay (Fujirebio Europe, Gent, Belgium) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Among the 94 HPV16/18-related cases, 2 SCC and 2 adenocarcinomas were initially identified carrying multiple infections using INNO-LIPA in FFPE samples. One SCC case and 2 adenocarcinoma cases related to HPV16 and HPV18, while the other SCC case was associated with HPV16 and HPV73. However, HPV typing by ddPCR in DNA from frozen tumor confirmed only one HPV type among the three cases initially characterized as HPV16- and HPV18-related. Hence, these 3 cases were considered single-type for further study.

For the 23 patients with an HPV integration site into tumor DNA previously identified by the Capture-HPV method followed by NGS (27), we designed digital droplet PCR (ddPCR) assays to specifically amplify the viral-cellular DNA junction sequences. Primer and probe sequences are listed in the supplemental Table S1.

**HPV ctDNA quantification**

Serum samples were stored at -80°C until DNA isolation. Circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) was isolated in duplicate from 200 µL of serum using the QIAamp Min Elute Virus Spin Kit (Qiagen®, Courtaboeuf, France), according to the manufacturer’s instructions. Elution was performed in 25 µL of supplied elution buffer; eluates from the same sample were pooled and stored at -20°C until HPV ctDNA analysis.

ddPCR reactions were performed using the QX100 system (Bio-Rad® Laboratories) according to the manufacturer’s protocol. Briefly, 20 µL of mastermix solution was prepared, containing 10 µL of 2x ddPCR™ Supermix for probes without dUTP (Bio-Rad), relevant primers at 450 nM each and relevant TaqMan® probes at 250 nM each (Applied Biosystems), DNA template (6 µL) and nuclease-free water. PCR reactions were run on a C1000 thermal cycler (Bio-Rad) using the following program: 95°C 10 min, 40 cycles of (94°C 30 s, 60°C 1 min) 98°C 10 min. The concentration of HPV DNA copies was estimated with the QuantaSoft v1.7.4 software.
Three replicates were performed to detect HPV16, HPV18 or HPV integration site using HPV16 E7, HPV18 E7 or viral-cellular junction specific primers and TaqMan probes (Supplemental Table S1), multiplexed with a commercial human ddPCR assay targeting RPP30 gene (dHSaCP2500350, Bio-Rad Laboratories, Hercules, California, USA), used as human DNA reference. For each patient, genomic DNA from frozen tumor was tested as positive control and a triplicate of no DNA was tested for each plate.

According to our previous findings, serum samples were considered HPV-positive in presence of at least three droplets containing HPV amplicons. A sample was considered HPV-negative when fewer than 3 or no droplets containing HPV amplicons were detected, while more than 500 copies/ml were detected for RPP30 human reference gene. Finally, HPV ctDNA concentration was expressed in copies/ml of serum.

**PIK3CA mutation detection**

PIK3CA mutation screening in tumor tissue was performed by paired-end whole exome sequencing and paired-end targeted gene panel sequencing as previously described (30). Serum samples used for PIK3CA analysis were from the same aliquots used for HPV analysis.

Circulating cell-free DNA (cfDNA) was isolated from 1 to 2 ml serum with the QIAamp Circulating Nucleic Acid kit (Qiagen®, KJ Venlo, The Netherlands) according to the manufacturer’s manual. This cfDNA was isolated into 20 µL elution buffer. PIK3CA ctDNA analysis was performed with Taqman p.E542K, p.E545K and p.H1047R-specific assays and the QuantStudio™ 3D Digital PCR system (Thermo Scientific) according to the manufacturer specifications. Reaction mixtures, including serum DNA and QuantStudio™ 3D Digital PCR Master Mix, were loaded on digital PCR chips with 20,000 wells, and cycled under standard conditions: 96°C for 10 min, 40 cycles of (60°C 2 min, 98°C 30s). QuantStudio™ 3D analysisSuite™ determined the proportion of mutant and wild-type templates, details are given in Vitale et al. (32).

**Tumoral HPV copy number**

HPV copy number in tumor cells was determined by ddPCR, using the same specific HPV and RPP30 assays as in HPV ctDNA quantification experiment. Experiments were performed with 5 ng of tumor DNA isolated from frozen tissues, in duplicates for each sample. The RRP30 gene was used as a
reference for two copies of DNA sequences per cell. The value retained as corresponding to HPV copy number in tumor cells was twice that of the ratio \textit{HPVE7/RPP30} provided by the ddPCR experiment.

\textbf{Statistical analysis}

This report was written in accordance with REMARK criteria (33). For this study, no pre-specified power was calculated. Fisher’s exact test was used for categorical variables and Spearman correlation test was used for continuous variables. Wilcoxon matched pairs signed rank test was used to compare HPV \textit{E7} ctDNA levels, HPV integration sites and \textit{PIK3CA} assays. Survival curves were compared with the use of an unstratified log-rank test, and hazard-ratio with 95% confidence interval was calculated using a univariable and a multivariable Cox model including clinical characteristics such as age, FIGO stage, lymph node involvement and HPV type. Progression-free survival (PFS) was defined as the time from the start of treatment to tumor recurrence or death. Statistical analyses and figures were performed using GraphPad Prism software version v-6-07 (GraphPad software, San Diego, CA) and R software version 4.0.2. All statistical tests were two-tailed, and p values <0.05 were considered statistically significant.

\textbf{Access to data}

All data are available upon request.

\section*{RESULTS}

\subsection*{Patient characteristics}

Ninety-four patients, from the BioRAIDs European study, with a documented HPV16- or HPV18-related tumor were included in the present study. The clinical and biological characteristics as well as their association with detectable HPV ctDNA before treatment are presented in Table 1 and supplemental Table S2. Briefly, the median age of the patients was 50 years (range 24-80); the main histological tumor type was squamous cell carcinoma (87%). HPV16 was detected in 81% of the tumors.

Almost three quarters of the patients (73%) presented with a FIGO stage III or IV tumor type. Sixty-two patients (66%) had positive lymph nodes (by pathology and/or NMR imaging), predominantly
in the pelvis (64%). Most patients (65%) were treated by first line chemo-radiation, 20% underwent primary surgery and 15% received neo-adjuvant chemotherapy (Table 1). Median follow-up was 16.7 months and 41% (39 out of 94) patients relapsed during the planned 18-month follow-up period.

Serum had been routinely collected at baseline for all patients (Figure 1). Additional serum samples collected after treatment were available in 72 patients (77%). Among them, 40 patients had a serum sample taken at the end of treatment and 44 patients had at least one serum sample collected during surveillance (Figure 1). In 6 patients, serum samples were available at the time of relapse. In this cohort of 94 CC, HPV integration sites and PIK3CA mutations had been previously identified in the tumor tissue of 23 and 27 patients respectively (Figure 1). Patient characteristics of both subgroups are detailed in supplemental Table S3.

**HPV ctDNA detection before treatment**

Using E7 gene sequence probes, HPV ctDNA was successfully detected before treatment in serum samples of 63% (59/94) patients (Table 1). The median level of HPV ctDNA was 42 copies/ml serum (range 0-353,750) in the whole cohort, while the median level of the housekeeping gene, RPP30, was 23,355 copies/ml serum (range 667-514,167), (supplemental figure S1). Among the 59 patients with detectable HPV ctDNA, the median level was 263 copies/ml serum with an interquartile range (IQR) of 53-2,916. There was a positive significant association between the detection of HPV ctDNA and tumor stage (HPV ctDNA detection in 42% (11/26) of stage I-II versus 70% (48/68) of stage III-IV; p=0.02, Fisher test) and histologically proven para-aortic lymph node involvement (HPV ctDNA detection in 56% (40/72) of node negative versus 86% (19/22) of node positive, p=0.01, Fisher test) (Table 1, Figure 2A). In addition, we observed a positive correlation between serum HPV ctDNA level and HPV copy number in tumor cells (R=+0.39, p<0.001, Spearman) (Figure 2B). There was no significant association between the detection of HPV ctDNA and other clinical characteristics such as patient age, histology, or HPV type.

**ctDNA detection by HPV integration site and PIK3CA mutation**

Furthermore, in the serum samples of a sub-group of 23 patients, the detection rates of HPV E7 gene and HPV integration site were compared. The results showed that the HPV integration site was a significantly less sensitive ctDNA marker than serum HPV E7 (p=0.001, Wilcoxon matched pair
signed rank test), with a detection rate of 39% (9/23), while HPV E7 detection rate reached 70% (16/23) (Figure 2C).

Finally, the detection rates of HPV E7 and PIK3CA mutations were compared in serum samples from the 27 patients harboring a PIK3CA mutation in their tumor. HPV E7 detection was significantly more sensitive than PIK3CA mutation (p<0.001 Wilcoxon matched pair signed rank test) with detection rates of 52% (14/27) and 30% (8/27), respectively (Figure 2D).

**Prognostic value of persistent HPV E7 detection in serum at end of treatment**

In the sequel, we defined the binary covariate HPV ctDNA detection with value 1 corresponding to HPV E7 positive and value 0 for undetectable HPV E7. Among the 94 patients, 40 experienced PFS. In a univariable Cox landmark regression model, HPV ctDNA detection at baseline was not associated with PFS (HR=1.59; 95% CI [0.79-3.20]; p=0.19) (Figure 3A). No significant association was observed with multivariable landmark analysis (HR=1.08, 95% CI [0.51-2.30]; p=0.841).

To estimate the effect of HPV ctDNA detection at the end of the treatment, we used the landmark approach considering only patients at risk of PFS at the end of their treatment. In a univariable Cox landmark regression model, HPV ctDNA detection was associated with a short PFS (HR= 10.95; 95% CI [2.94-40.7]; p <0.0001) (Figure 3B). Of note 81% (9/11) of the patients with a positive detection experienced a PFS.

Multivariable landmark analysis also showed significant association between HPV ctDNA detection at the end of treatment and PFS (HR=14.25, 95% CI [3.10-61.57]; p=0.001).

**Diagnosis of relapse by persistence of HPV E7 in serum during follow-up**

Forty-four out of 94 patients had a longitudinal follow-up, among whom 16 patients (36%) did relapse during the follow-up period.

Serum samples from patients with *undetectable HPV ctDNA at end of treatment* remained HPV negative during follow-up with one exception, patient #26 who presented a serum conversion at 14 months, remaining positive at 17 months (Figure 4). There was no clinical sign of recurrence at this last follow-up when the patient went off study and could not be traced thereafter. The negative predictive value of undetectable ctDNA for cervical cancer relapse was 95%.
Among the 11 patients with residual HPV ctDNA at end of treatment, 8 could be followed up longitudinally. HPV ctDNA remained detectable in 6/8 (75%) while there was no clinical sign of recurrence. All 6 patients (patients #3, #9, #10, #12, #14 and #15) relapsed eventually (Figure 4). HPV ctDNA was detected on average 10 months before a clinical diagnosis of relapse (range 2 to 15 months).

Serum samples at the time of relapse were available for 6 patients (patients #1, #4, #6, #9, #12 and 13), and HPV ctDNA was detected in 4/6 (patients #1, #9, #12 and #13). It is interesting to note that in these 4 patients, HPV ctDNA detection remained consistently positive throughout the follow-up, before and after treatment. Among these 4 patients, two (patients #1, #12) harbored also a somatic PIK3CA mutation which became undetectable by the end of treatment, contrary to HPV ctDNA (Figure 5). The PIK3CA mutation in serum became detectable again at the time of relapse in both patients. In patient #12, the PIK3CA mutation was detected 6 months before clinical diagnosis of relapse.

DISCUSSION

In this European prospective cohort of cervical cancers, we confirmed (i) that HPV E7 sequences are a sensitive marker to detect ctDNA, and (ii) that HPV ctDNA detection after treatment is strongly pronostic of an early recurrence.

Our 63% serum detection rate by ddPCR before treatment is consistent with previous studies that reported HPV detection rates of 56% to 100%, using HPV E7 gene primers in primary cervical cancers (14, 26, 34), or in other HPV-related cancers (14, 17, 18, 20).

HPV-related tumor cells usually contain many copies (up to hundreds) of the HPV genome, in episomal form as well as integrated into tumor DNA. Hence, the release of multiple HPV copies from dying tumor cells into the bloodstream, contributes to an increased sensitivity of the detection method compared to that of a single point mutation (one copy/tumor cell). In addition, HPV E7 ctDNA detection rate is also superior to that of HPV integration site (Figure 2C) since it includes both the episomal and the integrated HPV (16, 27). This is consistent with another retrospective study of 21 serum samples showing a poor detection rate (33%) of HPV integration site when analyzed by NGS before tumor resection (15). Hence, our results prioritize ctDNA detection by using HPV E7 sequences as a predictive biomarker of relapse when compared to HPV integration site or PIK3CA point mutations (16, 27, 30). However, it is well-known that HPV integration site is unique for each tumor and can be
considered as a highly specific tumor marker (35, 36). Depending on center resources, circulating HPV integration site screening may be performed and help for detection of relapse.

A main finding of the present prospective study is that patients with residual HPV ctDNA at the end of treatment have a high risk of relapse. Only 2/11 patients with a positive HPV ctDNA sample at the end of treatment were able to clear the HPV ctDNA sequences in the following sample and did not relapse by the end of follow-up. Similar findings have recently been described in another study in HPV-associated oropharyngeal cancer (25). Transient residual HPV ctDNA after treatment was observed for a subgroup of patients who cleared HPV ctDNA in the following sample and did not relapse (follow-up up to 5 years), suggesting that 2 consecutive serum samples may be required to predict relapse after treatment (25).

The ability to anticipate the presence of residual/persistent diseases up to 15 months before a diagnosis of relapse has a high clinical value (3, 4). In particular, it may enable to propose a salvage surgery to patients by confirming the likelihood of residual pelvic disease after chemo-radiation (37, 38). The early detection of persistent/recurrent disease is particularly important in irradiated tissues in order to minimize the radicality of the surgery which can go as far as total exenteration if the recurrence invades neighboring organs. Similar conclusions were reported in head and neck cancers, the immediate post-treatment HPV ctDNA detection being helpful for the post-radiotherapy management of patients with HPV-related tumors (39, 40).

A new prospective clinical trial, comparing the value of imaging versus repeated HPV serum detection by integrating sequential ddPCR would be useful to better define the role and the cost effectiveness of these tests. Since the prognosis of patients with detectable HPV ctDNA at the end of the chemo-radiation is poor, this population may benefit from innovative drugs or maintenance therapy, in particular immunotherapy. Monitoring of HPV ctDNA could help future clinical trial to target a high-risk population.

The analysis was focused on patients with HPV16- and HPV18-related tumors, which represent ~70% of CC patients. Further analyses, including patients with other HPV-type tumors would be useful. Moreover, since the cohort was led at a European level, it was not possible to pursue the follow-up beyond 18 months, as initially planned in the RAIDs consortium. For this reason, it was not possible to obtain additional information on patient (#26) with two consecutive HPV positive serum samples at the end of her follow-up period.
In conclusion, our study confirms that the HPV E7 gene is a sensitive and a suitable tumor marker for treatment monitoring and long-term follow-up of patients with cervical cancer. To our knowledge, this is the first large prospective study to show that detection of HPV ctDNA by ddPCR may predict relapse in cervical cancer at the end of first line therapy. Further studies are needed to confirm the clinical value of HPV ctDNA for an early detection of residual disease/relapse and to evaluate the role/purpose of salvage surgery as compared to that of innovative drug combinations for patients with residual HPV ctDNA after treatment. Two studies, Circa HPV (NCT03739775) and the PEVO basket trial (NCT04357873), are actually ongoing and will allow a comparison between imaging and HPV ctDNA and evaluate its predictive value for relapse detection and for efficacy of innovative drug combination (41).
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Table 1: Patient clinical and biological characteristics and comparison between patients with detectable versus undetectable HPV ctDNA

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Characteristics</th>
<th>All patients</th>
<th>Detectable HPV ctDNA at baseline</th>
<th>Undetectable HPV ctDNA at baseline</th>
<th>p</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>N=94</td>
<td>N=59</td>
<td>N=35</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (in years)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Median</td>
<td>50 (24-80)</td>
<td>50 (24-80)</td>
<td>51 (24-78)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FIGO stage (2018)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I</td>
<td>9 (9%)</td>
<td>4 (7%)</td>
<td>5 (14%)</td>
<td>0.02(I/IIvsIII/IV)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II</td>
<td>17 (18%)</td>
<td>7 (12%)</td>
<td>10 (29%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III</td>
<td>58 (62%)</td>
<td>39 (66%)</td>
<td>19 (54%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV</td>
<td>10 (11%)</td>
<td>9 (15%)</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymph node (N0)</td>
<td>32 (34%)</td>
<td>16 (27%)</td>
<td>16 (46%)</td>
<td>0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N+)</td>
<td>62 (66%)</td>
<td>43 (73%)</td>
<td>19 (54%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-aortic lymph node (N0)</td>
<td>72 (77%)</td>
<td>40 (68%)</td>
<td>32 (91%)</td>
<td>0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N+)</td>
<td>22 (23%)</td>
<td>19 (32%)</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pelvic lymph node (N0)</td>
<td>34 (36%)</td>
<td>18 (31%)</td>
<td>16 (46%)</td>
<td>0.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(N+)</td>
<td>60 (64%)</td>
<td>41 (69%)</td>
<td>19 (54%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Histology</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SCC</td>
<td>82 (87%)</td>
<td>52 (88%)</td>
<td>30 (86%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenocarcinoma</td>
<td>10 (11%)</td>
<td>6 (10%)</td>
<td>4 (11%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ASC</td>
<td>2 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (2%)</td>
<td>1 (3%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV type</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV16</td>
<td>76 (81%)</td>
<td>47 (80%)</td>
<td>29 (83%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV18</td>
<td>18 (19%)</td>
<td>12 (20%)</td>
<td>6 (17%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV copy number</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>&lt;5 copies/cell</td>
<td>32 (34%)</td>
<td>13 (22%)</td>
<td>19 (64%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>≥5 copies/cell</td>
<td>62 (66%)</td>
<td>46 (78%)</td>
<td>16 (46%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Initial treatment</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Surgery</td>
<td>19 (20%)</td>
<td>9 (15%)</td>
<td>10 (28%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chemoradiotherapy</td>
<td>61 (65%)</td>
<td>39 (66%)</td>
<td>22 (63%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Neo-Chemotherapy</td>
<td>14 (15%)</td>
<td>11(19%)</td>
<td>3 (9%)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*p*: Fisher test; SCC: Squamous Cell Carcinoma; ADK: Adenocarcinoma; ASC: Adenosquamous Carcinoma.
Figure 1. Workflow diagram for patient selection and ctDNA analysis. EOT: end of treatment

Figure 2. ctDNA detection by droplet digital PCR before treatment. (A) HPV E7 ctDNA levels according to FIGO stages (p=Kruskal-Wallis test) and para-aortic (PA) lymph node status (p=Mann-Whitney test). (B) Positive correlation between HPV E7 ctDNA level and tumor HPV E7 copy number. Spearman correlation r=0.39 (p<0.001). For undetectable HPV ctDNA cases, the points “a”, “b”, “c” and “d” refer to 5, 6, 3, and 5 tumors, respectively. (C) HPV ctDNA levels according to HPV E7 gene and HPV integration site (n=23). (D) ctDNA detection according to HPV E7 gene and PIK3CA mutation (n=27).

Figure 3. Progression-free survival of patients according to the positivity of HPV ctDNA detection. (A) before treatment, (n=94). (B) at the end of treatment, (n=40).

Figure 4. HPV ctDNA dynamics from the end of treatment (EOT) to the end of follow-up. Each line corresponds to a patient (N=44); patients without relapse and with relapse are identified in blue (upper part of the figure) and red (lower part), respectively. The length of the lines (upper part) corresponds to the duration of follow-up. Red (●) and blue (●) correspond to HPV-positive and HPV-negative serum samples, respectively. Serum samples in dashed area correspond to EOT samples. Patients with HPV ctDNA in their EOT samples are indicated by a black arrow. Diagnosis of relapse corresponds to the end of the line. S1: serum sample before treatment; R: relapse.

Figure 5. ctDNA dynamics according to HPV E7 (black line) and PIK3CA mutation (grey line).
**Figure 1**

Inclusions
N=419

Excluded
109 without HPV genotyping
38 HPV negative
66 no HPV16/18 types

N=206
HPV16/18 (FFPE samples)

Excluded
101 no complete clinical data/available samples
11 HPV negative in frozen tumor

**HPV E7 analysis**

Total patients N=94
Pre-treatment serum samples available

Excluded
22 progressive disease
9 relapse <4 months

N=63 Pre-treatment and follow-up serum samples available

Excluded
19 without post-treatment samples

N=40 Patients with pre-treatment and EOT samples
N=44 Patients with pre- and post-treatment samples

N=23 Patients with HPV integration site
N=27 Patients with PIK3CA mutation

**End of treatment (EOT):**
D(-)28 and D(+)120 after the therapeutic sequence
Figure 3

A

**Strata**  
- undetectable HPV ctDNA
- HPV ctDNA>0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>undetectable HPV ctDNA</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV ctDNA&gt;0</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PFS**

\[ p = 0.19 \]

B

**Strata**  
- undetectable HPV ctDNA
- HPV ctDNA>0

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Strata</th>
<th>0</th>
<th>10</th>
<th>20</th>
<th>30</th>
<th>40</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>undetectable HPV ctDNA</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HPV ctDNA&gt;0</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**PFS**

\[ p < 0.0001 \]
Figure 4

Patients without relapse

Patients with relapse (R)

Sample times from EOT to end of follow-up (months)

HPV ctDNA (+)

HPV ctDNA (-)
Figure 5

Graphs showing the HPV ctDNA copies/ml (log10) over time for Patient #1 and Patient #12.

- **Patient #1**: The HPV ctDNA copies/ml (log10) decreases from EOT to 2 months, then remains relatively stable until relapse.
- **Patient #12**: The HPV ctDNA copies/ml (log10) shows an increase from EOT to 5 months, followed by a decrease until relapse.

Key:
- Black circle with a black line: ctDNA-HPV
- Grey triangle with a grey line: PIK3CA mutation
Circulating HPV DNA as a marker for early detection of relapse in patients with cervical cancer
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